

A Combinatorial Data Analysis Toolbox for MATLAB

The HAM Team

October 7, 2002

Contents

I	Linear Unidimensional Scaling (LUS)	2
1	LUS in the L_2 Norm	5
1.1	Optimization Methods for $\sum_i (t_i^{(\rho)})^2$	6
1.1.1	Dynamic Programming	7
1.1.2	Iterative Quadratic Assignment	11
1.1.3	Gradient-Based Optimization	21
1.1.4	A Nonlinear Programming Heuristic	24
1.1.5	Solving Linear (In)equality Constrained Least Squares Tasks: The Example of the Confirmatory Fitting of a Given Order	28
1.1.6	Some Useful Utilities for Transforming and Displaying Proximity Matrices	32
1.2	The Incorporation of Additive Constants in L_2 LUS .	41
1.2.1	The L_2 Fitting of a Single Unidimensional Scale (with an Additive Constant)	43
1.2.2	The L_2 Finding and Fitting of Multiple Unidimensional Scales	49

1.2.3	Incorporating Monotonic Transformation of a Proximity Matrix in Fitting Multiple Unidimensional Scales: L_2 Nonmetric Multidimensional Scaling in the City-Block Metric	54
2	LUS in the L_1 Norm	59
2.1	The L_1 Fitting of a Single Unidimensional Scale	60
2.1.1	Iterative Linear Programming	64
2.2	The L_1 Finding and Fitting of Multiple Unidimensional Scales	67
A	main program files	74
A.1	uniscaldp.m	74
A.2	uniscaldpf.m	78
A.2.1	uscalfor.for	79
A.2.2	uscalforgw.for	82
A.3	uniscalqa.m	83
A.4	guttorder.m	87
A.5	plinorder.m	89
A.6	unifitl2nlp.m	92
A.6.1	objfunl2.m	95
A.7	linfit.m	96
A.8	linfitac.m	99
A.9	biscalqa.m	102
A.10	triscalqa.m	110
A.11	bimonscalqa.m	122
A.12	trimonscalqa.m	124
A.13	linfitl1.m	126

A.14	linfitl1ac.m	128
A.15	uniscallp.m	131
A.16	uniscallpac.m	132
A.17	biscallp.m	133
B	utility program files	138
B.1	ransymat.m	138
B.2	A GAUSS procedure corresponding to uniscaldp.m . .	139
B.3	Iterative improvement Quadratic Assignment proce- dures	142
B.3.1	pairwiseqa.m	142
B.3.2	rotateqa.m	143
B.3.3	insertqa.m	145
B.4	proxstd.m	147
B.5	proxrand.m	148
B.6	proxmon.m	148
B.7	matcolor.m	152

Part I

Linear Unidimensional Scaling (LUS)

The task of linear unidimensional scaling (LUS) can be characterized as a very specific data analysis problem: given a set of n objects, $S = \{O_1, \dots, O_n\}$, and an $n \times n$ symmetric proximity matrix $\mathbf{P} = \{p_{ij}\}$, arrange the objects along a single dimension such that the induced $n(n-1)/2$ interpoint distances between the objects reflect the proximities in \mathbf{P} . The term “proximity” merely refers to some arbitrary symmetric numerical measure of relationship between each object pair ($p_{ij} = p_{ji}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$) and for which all self-proximities are considered irrelevant and set equal to zero ($p_{ii} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$). As a technical convenience, proximities are assumed nonnegative and are given a dissimilarity interpretation, i.e., large proximities refer to dissimilar objects.

Given the inherent vagueness regarding the technical details involved in the unidimensional scaling task, it should not be surprising that a variety of approaches to it are available in the literature. As a starting point to be developed first in Chapter 1, we consider an obvious formalization assuming the interpoint distances along a continuum are Euclidean and that the measure of how close the interpoint distances are to the given proximities is the sum of squared discrepancies. Specifically, we wish to find the n coordinates, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , such that the least-squares (or L_2) criterion

$$\sum_{i < j} (p_{ij} - |x_j - x_i|)^2 \tag{1}$$

is minimized. Although there is some inherent arbitrariness in the selection of this measure of goodness-of-fit for metric scaling and the reliance on Euclidean interpoint distances, these choices are traditional and have been discussed in some detail in the literature by Guttman (1968), Defays (1978), de Leeuw and Heiser (1977), and Hubert and Arabie (1986), among others. In the first chapter that follows in this Part I, we present several functions in the Combinatorial Data Analysis (CDA) Toolbox (within a MATLAB environment) for this L_2 task based on a number of different optimization strategies, e.g., dynamic programming, the iterative use of a quadratic assignment improvement heuristic, Pliner’s technique of smoothing, the original Guttman update method, and a nonlinear programming reformulation by Lau, Leung, and Tse (1998). Several generalizations of the unidimensional scaling task are given (along with appropriate Toolbox implementations): the incorporation of a fitted additive constant by replacing the absolute coordinate difference $|x_j - x_i|$ by $[|x_j - x_i| - c]$, where c is a constant to be estimated along with the n coordinates; the extension to multiple (additive) unidimensional scalings of a common proximity matrix; and in Chapter 2, the replacement of the L_2 least-squares loss function by the minimization of the sum of absolute deviations (the L_1 criterion).

In addition to making available the basic MATLAB m-functions for carrying out the various unidimensional scaling tasks, several important computational improvements are also discussed and compared. These involve either transforming a given m-function with the MATLAB Compiler into C code that can in turn be submitted to a C/C++ compiler, or alternatively, rewriting an m-function and the mandatory MATLAB gateway directly in Fortran90 and then compiling into a MATLAB callable *.dll file (within a windows environment). In some cases studied, the computational improvements are very dramatic when

the use of an external Fortran coded *.dll is compared either to one generated through C by use of the MATLAB Compiler, or as might be more expected, to the original interpreted m-function directly within a MATLAB environment.

Chapter 1

LUS in the L_2 Norm

As an alternative reformulation of the L_2 unidimensional scaling task that will prove very convenient as a point of departure in our development of computational routines, the optimization suggested by (1) can be subdivided into two separate problems to be solved simultaneously: find a set of n numbers, $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$, and a permutation on the first n integers, $\rho(\cdot) \equiv \rho$, for which

$$\sum_{i < j} (p_{\rho(i)\rho(j)} - (x_j - x_i))^2 \quad (1.1)$$

is minimized. Thus, a set of locations (coordinates) is defined along a continuum as represented in ascending order by the sequence x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n ; the n objects are allocated to these locations by the permutation ρ , i.e., object $O_{\rho(i)}$ is placed at location i . In fact, without loss of generality we can and will impose one additional constraint that $\sum_i x_i = 0$, i.e., any set of values, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , can be replaced by $x_1 - \bar{x}, x_2 - \bar{x}, \dots, x_n - \bar{x}$, where $\bar{x} = (1/n) \sum_i x_i$, without altering the value of (1) or (1.1). Formally, if ρ^* and $x_1^* \leq x_2^* \leq \dots \leq x_n^*$ define a global minimum of (1.1), and Ω denotes the set of all permutations of the first n integers, then

$$\sum_{i < j} (p_{\rho^*(i)\rho^*(j)} - (x_j^* - x_i^*))^2 = \min \left[\sum_{i < j} (p_{\rho(i)\rho(j)} - (x_j - x_i))^2 \mid \rho \in \Omega; x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n; \sum_i x_i = 0 \right].$$

The measure of loss in (1.1) can be reduced algebraically:

$$\sum_{i < j} p_{ij}^2 + n \left(\sum_i x_i^2 - 2 \sum_i x_i t_i^{(\rho)} \right), \quad (1.2)$$

subject to the constraints that $x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n$ and $\sum_i x_i = 0$, and letting

$$t_i^{(\rho)} = (u_i^{(\rho)} - v_i^{(\rho)})/n,$$

where

$$u_i^{(\rho)} = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} p_{\rho(i)\rho(j)} \text{ for } i \geq 2;$$

$$v_i^{(\rho)} = \sum_{j=i+1}^n p_{\rho(i)\rho(j)} \text{ for } i < n,$$

and

$$u_1^{(\rho)} = v_n^{(\rho)} = 0.$$

In words, $u_i^{(\rho)}$ is the sum of the entries within row $\rho(i)$ of $\{p_{\rho(i)\rho(j)}\}$ from the extreme left up to the main diagonal; $v_i^{(\rho)}$ is the sum from the main diagonal to the extreme right. Or, we might rewrite (1.2) as

$$\sum_{i < j} p_{ij}^2 + n \left(\sum_i (x_i - t_i^{(\rho)})^2 - \sum_i (t_i^{(\rho)})^2 \right). \quad (1.3)$$

In (1.3), the two terms $\sum_i (x_i - t_i^{(\rho)})^2$ and $\sum_i (t_i^{(\rho)})^2$ control the size of the discrepancy index since $\sum_{i < j} p_{ij}^2$ is constant for any given data matrix. Thus, to minimize the original index in (1.1), we should simultaneously minimize $\sum_i (x_i - t_i^{(\rho)})^2$ and maximize $\sum_i (t_i^{(\rho)})^2$. If the equivalent form of (1.2) is considered, our concern would be in minimizing $\sum_i x_i^2$ and maximizing $\sum_i x_i t_i^{(\rho)}$.

As noted first by Defays (1978), the minimization of (1.3) can be carried out directly by the maximization of the single term, $\sum_i (t_i^{(\rho)})^2$ (under the mild regularity condition that all off-diagonal proximities in \mathbf{P} are positive and not merely nonnegative). Explicitly, if ρ^* is a permutation that maximizes $\sum_i (t_i^{(\rho)})^2$, then we can let $x_i = t_i^{(\rho^*)}$, which eliminates the term $\sum_i (x_i - t_i^{(\rho^*)})^2$ from (1.3). In short, because the order induced by $t_1^{(\rho^*)}, \dots, t_n^{(\rho^*)}$ is consistent with the constraint $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$, the minimization of (1.3) reduces to the maximization of the single term $\sum_i (t_i^{(\rho)})^2$ with the coordinate estimation completed as an automatic byproduct.

1.1 Optimization Methods for $\sum_i (t_i^{(\rho)})^2$

The maximization of $\sum_i (t_i^{(\rho)})^2$ over all permutations is a prototypical combinatorial optimization task, and a variety of different methods are available for its solution. Unfortunately, because this optimization task is representative of the class of so-called NP-hard problems (e.g., see Garey and Johnson, 1979), any procedure yielding verifiably globally optimal solutions would be severely limited by the size of the matrices that could be realistically processed. We begin in the first subsection below, the discussion of a dynamic programming strategy for the maximization of $\sum_i (t_i^{(\rho)})^2$ proposed by Hubert and Arabie (1986) that will produce globally optimal solutions for proximity matrices of sizes up to, say, the low twenties (within a MATLAB environment). We will provide and illustrate in some detail a MATLAB function that carries out the optimization; also as a mechanism for speeding up the optimization, we discuss the use of the MATLAB C/C++ Compiler and external Fortran subroutines and their gateways to allow externally generated functions to be callable from MATLAB. The other subsections of this section present other (heuristic) methods for the

maximization of $\sum_i (t_i^{(\rho)})^2$ and illustrate the use of their MATLAB function implementations that are provided.

It is convenient to have a small numerical example available as we discuss the various optimization strategies in the unidimensional scaling context. To this end we list a data file below, called ‘`number.dat`’, that contains a dissimilarity matrix taken from Shepard, Kilpatric, and Cunningham (1975). The stimulus domain is the first ten single-digits $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, 9\}$ considered as abstract concepts; the 10×10 proximity matrix (with an i^{th} row or column corresponding to the $i - 1$ digit) was constructed by averaging dissimilarity ratings for distinct pairs of those integers over a number of subjects and conditions. An inspection of these data suggests there may be some very regular but possibly complex manifest patterning reflecting either structural characteristics of the digits (e.g., the powers of 2 or of 3, the salience of the two additive/multiplicative identities $[0/1]$, oddness/evenness), or of absolute magnitudes. These data will be relied on to provide concrete numerical illustrations of the various MATLAB functions we introduce, and will be loaded as a proximity matrix (and importantly, as one that is symmetric and has zero values along the main diagonal) in the MATLAB environment by the command ‘`load number.dat`’.

```
.000 .421 .584 .709 .684 .804 .788 .909 .821 .850
.421 .000 .284 .346 .646 .588 .758 .630 .791 .625
.584 .284 .000 .354 .059 .671 .421 .796 .367 .808
.709 .346 .354 .000 .413 .429 .300 .592 .804 .263
.684 .646 .059 .413 .000 .409 .388 .742 .246 .683
.804 .588 .671 .429 .409 .000 .396 .400 .671 .592
.788 .758 .421 .300 .388 .396 .000 .417 .350 .296
.909 .630 .796 .592 .742 .400 .417 .000 .400 .459
.821 .791 .367 .804 .246 .671 .350 .400 .000 .392
.850 .625 .808 .263 .683 .592 .296 .459 .392 .000
```

1.1.1 Dynamic Programming

To maximize $\sum_i (t_i^{(\rho)})^2$ over all permutations, we construct a function, $\mathcal{F}(\cdot)$, by recursion for all possible subsets of the first n integers, $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$:

a) $\mathcal{F}(\emptyset) = 0$, where \emptyset is the empty set;

b) $\mathcal{F}(R') = \max[\mathcal{F}(R) + d(R, i)]$, where R' and R are subsets of size $k + 1$ and k , respectively; the maximum is taken over all subsets R and indices i such that $R' = R \cup \{i\}$; and $d(R, i)$ is the incremental value that would be added to the criterion *if* the objects in R *had* formed the first k values assigned by the optimal permutation and i had been the next assignment made, i.e., $\rho(k + 1) = i$. Explicitly,

$$d(R, i) = [(1/n)\{\sum_{j \in R} p_{ij} - \sum_{j(\neq i) \notin R} p_{ij}\}]^2;$$

c) the optimal value of the criterion, i.e., $\mathcal{F}(\{1, 2, \dots, n\})$, is obtained for $R = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and the optimal permutation, ρ^* , identified by working backwards through the recursion to

identify the sequence of successive subsets of decreasing size that led to the value attained for $\mathcal{F}(\{1, 2, \dots, n\})$.

This type of dynamic programming strategy is a very general one and can be used for any criterion for which the incremental value in identifying the index to be assigned to $\rho(k+1)$ does not depend on the particular *order* of the assigned values in the set $\{\rho(1), \dots, \rho(k)\}$. The reader might refer to Hubert, Arabie, and Meulman (2001) for many more applications of dynamic programming in the combinatorial data analysis context.

The MATLAB function `uniscaldp.m`

The MATLAB function m-file given in Section A.1 of Appendix A, `uniscaldp.m`, carries out a unidimensional scaling of a symmetric proximity matrix (with a zero main diagonal and a dissimilarity interpretation) using the dynamic programming recursion just described. The usage syntax has the form

```
[coord permut cumobfun diff] = uniscaldp(prox)
```

where `PROX` is the input proximity matrix; `COORD` is the set of coordinates of the optimal unidimensional scaling in ascending order; `PERMUT` is the order of the objects in the optimal permutation (say, ρ^*); `CUMOBFUN` gives the cumulative values of the objective function for successive placements of the objects in the optimal permutation: $\sum_{i=1}^k (t_i^{(\rho^*)})^2$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$; `DIFF` is the value of the least-squares loss function for the optimal coordinates and object permutation. A recording of a MATLAB session using the `number.dat` data file follows:

```
load number.dat
number
```

```
number =
```

```
Columns 1 through 7
```

0	0.4210	0.5840	0.7090	0.6840	0.8040	0.7880
0.4210	0	0.2840	0.3460	0.6460	0.5880	0.7580
0.5840	0.2840	0	0.3540	0.0590	0.6710	0.4210
0.7090	0.3460	0.3540	0	0.4130	0.4290	0.3000
0.6840	0.6460	0.0590	0.4130	0	0.4090	0.3880
0.8040	0.5880	0.6710	0.4290	0.4090	0	0.3960
0.7880	0.7580	0.4210	0.3000	0.3880	0.3960	0
0.9090	0.6300	0.7960	0.5920	0.7420	0.4000	0.4170
0.8210	0.7910	0.3670	0.8040	0.2460	0.6710	0.3500
0.8500	0.6250	0.8080	0.2630	0.6830	0.5920	0.2960

```
Columns 8 through 10
```

0.9090	0.8210	0.8500
0.6300	0.7910	0.6250
0.7960	0.3670	0.8080
0.5920	0.8040	0.2630
0.7420	0.2460	0.6830
0.4000	0.6710	0.5920
0.4170	0.3500	0.2960
0	0.4000	0.4590
0.4000	0	0.3920
0.4590	0.3920	0

[coord permut cumobfun diff] = uniscaldp(number)

coord =

-0.6570
-0.4247
-0.2608
-0.1492
-0.0566
0.0842
0.1988
0.3258
0.4050
0.5345

permut =

1
2
3
5
4
6
7
9
10
8

cumobfun =

43.1649
61.2019
68.0036
70.2296
70.5500
71.2590
75.2111
85.8257
102.2282
130.7972

diff =

1.9599

The second column of Table 1.1 provides some time comparisons (in seconds) for the use of `uniscaldp.m` over randomly constructed proximity matrices of size $n \times n$ for $n = 10$ to 24. The matrices were randomly generated using the utility program `ransymat.m` given in Section B.1 of Appendix B (the usage syntax of `ransymat.m` can be seen from its header comments). The computer on which these times were obtained is a laptop with a 750MHz Pentium processor and 512MB of RAM; for matrices of size 25×25 and above, an “insufficient memory” message is obtained so the largest matrix size possible in Table 1.1 is 24. The execution times (obtained using the `tic toc` command pair in MATLAB) range from 1.43 seconds for $n = 10$ to a rather enormous 116550.0 seconds for $n = 24$ (which is about 32.4 hours). As can be seen from the timings given, there is a fairly regular proportional increase in execution time of about 2.2 for each unit increase in n .

The MATLAB C/C++ Compiler

One of the separate add-on components that can be obtained with MATLAB is a C/C++ Compiler that when applied to a function m-file, such as `uniscaldp.m`, produces C or C++ code. The latter can itself then be compiled by a separate C/C++ compiler to produce (in a windows environment) a *.dll file that can be called within MATLAB just like a *.m function. As an example, we first renamed a version of `uniscaldp.m` to `uniscaldpc.m` and then applied in MATLAB 6 the C/C++ Compiler Version 2.1 with all the possible optimization options selected; the resulting code was compiled with the built-in C compiler (called `lcc`) to produce `uniscaldpc.dll`

We give the timings for the use of `uniscaldpc.dll` in the third column of Table 1.1. As n increases by 1, the execution times increase by about 2.2 just as for `uniscaldp.m`; but

overall, the compiled `uniscaldpc.dll` executes at about 4.3 times faster than the interpreted file `uniscaldp.m`.

A GAUSS procedure paralleling `uniscaldp.m`

One of the arguments heard informally (at least among some of our colleagues) for using the program GAUSS rather than MATLAB is that the former is generally much faster computationally than the latter, even though both are interpreters. To evaluate this conjecture within the present unidimensional scaling context, a GAUSS procedure, given in Appendix B.2, was written that parallels `uniscaldp.m`. The fourth column of Table 1.1 gives the timings for the recent version of GAUSS (Version 3.5). Again, there is an increase in execution time of about 2.2 for each unit increase in n ; GAUSS, however, is about 7.5 times faster than the comparable MATLAB function, and even about 1.8 times faster than using the C compiled `uniscaldpc.dll`. Our colleagues apparently have a point here.

External Fortran subroutines

One strategy for decreasing the execution time of an m-file would replace part of the code that may be slow (usually nonvectorizable “for” loops, for example) by a call to a *.dll that is produced from a Fortran subroutine implementing the code in its own language. As an example, the m-function listed in Appendix A.2, `uniscaldpf.m`, is a parallel of `uniscaldp.m` except that the actual recursion constructing the two crucial vectors (and from which the optimal solution is eventually identified by working backwards) is replaced by a call to `uscalfor.dll`. This latter file (called a Fortran MEX-file in MATLAB) was produced using the MATLAB Application Program Interface (API) through the Digital Visual Fortran90 (6.0) Compiler and with the central computational Fortran subroutine `uscalfor.for` in Appendix Section A.2.1 and the second necessary gateway Fortran subroutine `uscalforfw.for` in Appendix Section A.2.2. These two subroutines are compiled together to produce `uscalfor.dll` that is then called in `uniscaldpf.m` to do “the heavy lifting”.

In comparison to the other times listed in Table 1.1, the speedup provided by using the routine `uniscaldpf.m` is rather incredible. There is generally the same type of 2.2 proportional increase in time for each unit change in n (except for the odd anomaly for $n = 24$, which must be due to some type of caching/virtual memory difficulty). In comparison to the basic m-function, `uniscaldp.m`, there is a 700/800 increase in speed for `uniscaldpf.m`. As one dramatic example, when $n = 23$ the analysis takes about a minute for `uniscaldpf.m`, but 15.5 hours for `uniscaldp.m`.

1.1.2 Iterative Quadratic Assignment

Because of the manner in which the discrepancy index for the unidimensional scaling task can be rephrased as in (1.2) and (1.3), the two optimization subproblems to be solved simultaneously of identifying an optimal permutation and a set of coordinates can be separated:

Table 1.1: Time comparisons in seconds for the various implementations of unidimensional scaling through dynamic programming

matrix size	uniscaldp.m	uniscaldpc.dll	gauss/uniscaldp	uniscaldpf.m
10	1.43	.33	.22	.00
11	3.30	.77	.44	.00
12	7.42	1.81	.99	.00
13	16.75	3.96	2.25	.00
14	37.62	8.90	5.05	.05
15	83.98	19.88	11.37	.17
16	186.09	43.99	25.54	.28
17	411.45	96.95	56.63	.60
18	904.57	212.56	125.01	1.43
19	2007.5	465.66	272.65	3.02
20	4465.4	1050.3	595.29	6.54
21	11415.	2236.9	1351.3	14.06
22	24728.	4992.4	3103.8	30.04
23	55706.	12451.	6840.5	63.88
24	116550.	23371.	15942.	1148.4

(a) assuming that an ordering of the objects is known (and denoted, say, as ρ^0 for the moment), find those values $x_1^0 \leq \dots \leq x_n^0$ to minimize $\sum_i (x_i^0 - t_i^{(\rho^0)})^2$. If the permutation ρ^0 produces a *monotonic* form for the matrix $\{p_{\rho^0(i)\rho^0(j)}\}$ in the sense that $t_1^{(\rho^0)} \leq t_2^{(\rho^0)} \leq \dots \leq t_n^{(\rho^0)}$, the coordinate estimation is immediate by letting $x_i^0 = t_i^{(\rho^0)}$, in which case $\sum_i (x_i^0 - t_i^{(\rho^0)})^2$ is zero.

(b) assuming that the locations $x_1^0 \leq \dots \leq x_n^0$ are known, find the permutation ρ^0 to maximize $\sum_i x_i t_i^{(\rho^0)}$. We note from the work of Hubert and Arabie (1986, p. 189) that any such permutation which even only locally maximizes $\sum_i x_i t_i^{(\rho^0)}$ in the sense that no adjacently placed pair of objects in ρ^0 could be interchanged to increase the index, will produce a monotonic form for the non-negative matrix $\{p_{\rho^0(i)\rho^0(j)}\}$. Also, the task of finding the permutation ρ^0 to maximize $\sum_i x_i t_i^{(\rho^0)}$ is actually a quadratic assignment (QA) task which has been discussed extensively in the literature of operations research, e.g., see Francis and White (1974), Lawler (1975), Hubert and Schultz (1976), among others. As usually defined, a QA problem involves two $n \times n$ matrices $\mathbf{A} = \{a_{ij}\}$ and $\mathbf{B} = \{b_{ij}\}$, and we seek a permutation ρ to maximize

$$\Gamma(\rho) = \sum_{i,j} a_{\rho(i)\rho(j)} b_{ij}. \quad (1.4)$$

If we define $b_{ij} = |x_i - x_j|$ and let $a_{ij} = p_{ij}$, then

$$\Gamma(\rho) = \sum_{i,j} p_{\rho(i)\rho(j)} |x_i - x_j| = 2n \sum_i x_i t_i^{(\rho)},$$

and thus, the permutation that maximizes $\Gamma(\rho)$ also maximizes $\sum x_i t_i^{(\rho)}$.

The QA optimization task as formulated through (1.4) has an enormous literature attached to it, and the reader is referred to Pardalos and Wolkowicz (1994) for an up-to-date and comprehensive review. For current purposes and as provided in three general m-functions of the next subsection (`pairwiseqa.m`, `rotateqa.m`, and `insertqa.m`), one might consider the optimization of (1.4) through simple object interchange/rearrangement heuristics. Based on given matrices **A** and **B**, and beginning with some permutation (possibly chosen at random), local interchanges/rearrangements of a particular type are implemented until no improvement in the index can be made. By repeatedly initializing such a process randomly, a distribution over a set of local optima can be achieved. At least within the context of some common data analysis applications, such a distribution may be highly relevant diagnostically for explaining whatever structure might be inherent in the matrix **A**.

In a subsequent subsection below, we introduce the main m-function (`uniscalqa.m`) for unidimensional scaling based on these earlier QA optimization strategies. In effect, we begin with an equally-spaced set of fixed coordinates with their interpoint distances defining the **B** matrix of the general QA index in (1.4) and a random object permutation; a locally-optimal permutation is then identified through a collection of local interchanges/rearrangements; the coordinates are re-estimated based on this identified permutation, and the whole process repeated until no change can be made in either the identified permutation or coordinate collection.

The QA interchange/rearrangement heuristics

The three m-functions of Appendix B.3 that carry out general QA interchange/rearrangement heuristics all have the same general usage syntax:

```
[outperm rawindex allperms index] = pairwiseqa(prox,targ,inperm)
```

```
[outperm rawindex allperms index] = rotateqa(prox,targ,inperm,kblock)
```

```
[outperm rawindex allperms index] = insertqa(prox,targ,inperm,kblock)
```

`pairwiseqa.m` carries out an iterative QA maximization task using the pairwise interchanges of objects in the current permutation defining the row and column order of the data matrix. All possible such interchanges are generated and considered in turn, and whenever an increase in the cross-product index would result from a particular interchange, it is made immediately. The process continues until the current permutation cannot be improved upon by any such pairwise object interchange; this final locally optimal permutation is `OUTPERM`. The input beginning permutation is `INPERM` (a permutation of the first n integers); `PROX` is the $n \times n$ input proximity matrix and `TARG` is the $n \times n$ input target matrix (which are respective analogues of the matrices **A** and **B** of (1.4)); the final `OUTPERM` row and column

permutation of PROX has the cross-product index RAWINDEX with respect to TARG. The cell array ALLPERMS contains INDEX entries corresponding to all the permutations identified in the optimization, from ALLPERMS{1} = INPERM to ALLPERMS{INDEX} = OUTPERM. (Notice in the example given below how the entries of a cell array must be accessed through the curly braces, { }.) rotateqa.m carries out a similar iterative QA maximization task but now uses the rotation (or inversion) of from 2 to KBLOCK (which is less than or equal to $n - 1$) consecutive objects in the current permutation defining the row and column order of the data matrix. insertqa.m relies on the (re-)insertion of from 1 to KBLOCK consecutive objects somewhere in the permutation defining the current row and column order of the data matrix.

A recording of a MATLAB session follows using the data file number.dat, and as one representative of the three QA heuristic m-functions, invoking insertqa.m for kblock = 2. Note (a) the application of the built-in MATLAB function randperm(10) to obtain a random input permutation of the first 10 digits; (b) the use of the utility m-function from the Appendix B.1, ransymat(10), to generate a target matrix targlin based on an equally (and unit) spaced set of coordinates; (c) the mechanism through the use of a “for i = 1:18” loop for displaying the permutations identified from INPERM to OUTPERM; and (d) the identified OUTPERM here for a QA task relying on an equally-spaced set of coordinates turns out to be the same as found for our (globally optimal) DP solution for LUS in the L_2 norm.

```
load number.dat
[prox10 targlin targcir] = ransymat(10);
targlin

targlin =

    0     1     2     3     4     5     6     7     8     9
    1     0     1     2     3     4     5     6     7     8
    2     1     0     1     2     3     4     5     6     7
    3     2     1     0     1     2     3     4     5     6
    4     3     2     1     0     1     2     3     4     5
    5     4     3     2     1     0     1     2     3     4
    6     5     4     3     2     1     0     1     2     3
    7     6     5     4     3     2     1     0     1     2
    8     7     6     5     4     3     2     1     0     1
    9     8     7     6     5     4     3     2     1     0

inperm = randperm(10)

inperm =

    10     5     6     8     4     3     1     9     7     2
```

```
kblock = 2
```

```
kblock =
```

```
2
```

```
[outperm rawindex allperms index] = ...  
insertqa(number,targlin,inperm,kblock)
```

```
elapsed_time =
```

```
0.0600
```

```
outperm =
```

```
1 2 3 5 4 6 7 9 10 8
```

```
rawindex =
```

```
206.4920
```

```
allperms =
```

```
Columns 1 through 4
```

```
[1x10 double] [1x10 double] [1x10 double] [1x10 double]
```

```
Columns 5 through 8
```

```
[1x10 double] [1x10 double] [1x10 double] [1x10 double]
```

```
Columns 9 through 12
```

```
[1x10 double] [1x10 double] [1x10 double] [1x10 double]
```

```
Columns 13 through 16
```

```

    [1x10 double]    [1x10 double]    [1x10 double]    [1x10 double]
Columns 17 through 18
    [1x10 double]    [1x10 double]

```

```
index =
```

```
    18
```

```
for i = 1:18
    allperms{i}
end
```

```
ans =
```

```
    10     5     6     8     4     3     1     9     7     2
```

```
ans =
```

```
     6    10     5     8     4     3     1     9     7     2
```

```
ans =
```

```
     8     6    10     5     4     3     1     9     7     2
```

```
ans =
```

```
     1     8     6    10     5     4     3     9     7     2
```

```
ans =
```

```
     2     1     8     6    10     5     4     3     9     7
```

```
ans =
```

1 2 8 6 10 5 4 3 9 7

ans =

1 2 4 8 6 10 5 3 9 7

ans =

1 2 3 4 8 6 10 5 9 7

ans =

1 2 3 5 4 8 6 10 9 7

ans =

1 2 3 5 6 4 8 10 9 7

ans =

1 2 3 5 9 6 4 8 10 7

ans =

1 2 3 5 7 9 6 4 8 10

ans =

1 2 3 5 7 4 9 6 8 10

ans =

1 2 3 5 4 7 9 6 8 10

```
ans =  
     1     2     3     5     4     7     6     9     8    10
```

```
ans =  
     1     2     3     5     4     6     7     9     8    10
```

```
ans =  
     1     2     3     5     4     6     7    10     9     8
```

```
ans =  
     1     2     3     5     4     6     7     9    10     8
```

The MATLAB function `uniscalqa.m`

The MATLAB function m-file in Section A.3 of Appendix A, `uniscalqa.m`, carries out a unidimensional scaling of a symmetric dissimilarity matrix (with a zero main diagonal) using an iterative quadratic assignment strategy. We begin with an equally-spaced target, a (random) starting permutation, and use a sequential combination of the pairwise interchange/rotation/insertion heuristics; the target matrix is re-estimated based on the identified (locally optimal) permutation. The whole process is repeated until no changes can be made in the target or the identified (locally optimal) permutation. The explicit usage syntax is

```
[outperm rawindex allperms index coord diff] = uniscalqa(prox,targ,inperm,kblock)
```

where all terms are present either in `uniscaldp.m` or in the three QA heuristic m-functions of the previous subsection. A recording of a MATLAB session using `number.dat` follows with results completely consistent with what was identified using `uniscaldp.m`.

```
load number.dat  
[prox10 targlin targcir] = ransymat(10);  
kblock = 2;  
inperm = randperm(10);  
targlin
```

targlin =

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
2	1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
3	2	1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
4	3	2	1	0	1	2	3	4	5
5	4	3	2	1	0	1	2	3	4
6	5	4	3	2	1	0	1	2	3
7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	1	2
8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	1
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0

inperm

inperm =

10	5	6	8	4	3	1	9	7	2
----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

[outperm rawindex allperms index coord diff] = ...
uniscalqa(number,targlin,inperm,kblock)

elapsed_time =

0.0600

outperm =

8	10	9	7	6	4	5	3	2	1
---	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

rawindex =

26.1594

allperms =

Columns 1 through 4

[1x10 double]	[1x10 double]	[1x10 double]	[1x10 double]
Columns 5 through 8			
[1x10 double]	[1x10 double]	[1x10 double]	[1x10 double]
Columns 9 through 12			
[1x10 double]	[1x10 double]	[1x10 double]	[1x10 double]
Columns 13 through 16			
[1x10 double]	[1x10 double]	[1x10 double]	[1x10 double]
Columns 17 through 20			
[1x10 double]	[1x10 double]	[1x10 double]	[1x10 double]
Columns 21 through 24			
[1x10 double]	[1x10 double]	[1x10 double]	[1x10 double]

index =

24

coord =

- 0.5345
- 0.4050
- 0.3258
- 0.1988
- 0.0842
- 0.0566
- 0.1492
- 0.2608
- 0.4247
- 0.6570

diff =

1.9599

1.1.3 Gradient-Based Optimization

In Guttman's 1968 paper on multidimensional scaling, the optimization task in (1) is treated as a special case of his general iterative algorithm based on the partial derivatives of (1) with respect to the unknown locations. For one dimension, Guttman's multidimensional scaling algorithm reduces to a simple updating procedure:

$$x_i^{(t+1)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n p_{ij} \text{sign}(x_i^{(t)} - x_j^{(t)}), \quad (1.5)$$

where t is the index of iteration. As pointed out by de Leeuw and Heiser (1977), convergence of (1.5) is guaranteed because $x_i^{(t+1)}$ only depends on the rank order of $x_1^{(t)}, \dots, x_n^{(t)}$, there are a finite number of different rank orders, and no rank order can be repeated with intermediate different rank orders. In fact, the stationary points of (1.5) are defined by all possible orderings of \mathbf{P} that lead to monotonic forms. Specifically, if x_1, \dots, x_n is a stationary point of (1.5) and ρ is the permutation for which $x_{\rho(1)} \leq x_{\rho(2)} \leq \dots \leq x_{\rho(n)}$, then $\{p_{\rho(i)\rho(j)}\}$ is monotonic, i.e., $t_1^{(\rho)} \leq \dots \leq t_n^{(\rho)}$, and, in fact, $t_i^{(\rho)} = x_{\rho(i)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Conversely, if $\{p_{ij}\}$ is monotonic, then (1.5) converges in one step if we let the initial value of x_i be, say, i for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Guttman's updating algorithm is in reality a procedure for finding monotonic forms for a proximity matrix and only very indirectly can it even be characterized as a strategy for unidimensional scaling. From a somewhat wider perspective, the general weakness of the monotonic forms for a given matrix may indicate why multidimensional scaling methods generally have such difficulties with local optima when restricted to a single dimension (e.g., see Shepard, 1974, pp. 378–379). As can be seen in the way the index of goodness-of-fit is rewritten in (1.3), the crucial quantity for distinguishing among different monotonic forms is $\sum_i (t_i^{(\rho)})^2$. Unfortunately, consideration of this latter term disappears in Guttman's update method because of the algorithm's reliance on a gradient approach.

The MATLAB function `guttorder.m`

The MATLAB m-function in Section A.4 of Appendix A, `guttorder.m`, carries out a unidimensional scaling of a symmetric proximity matrix based on the Guttman update formula in (1.5). The usage syntax is

```
[gcoordsort gperm] = guttorder(prox,inperm)
```

where `PROX` and `INPERM` are as before, and the output vector `GCOORDSORT` contains the coordinates ordered from the most negative to most positive; `GPERM` is the object permutation

indicating where the objects are placed at the ordered coordinates in `GCOORDSORT`. One easy exercise for the reader would be to call `guttorder` with `inperm` as `randperm(10)` and `prox` as `number` and merely use the ‘up arrow’ key to retrieve the call to `guttorder` and rerun the routine with a new random starting permutation. One will quickly see the weakest of the update procedure in (1.5) in finding anything that isn’t just another local optimum.

Pliner’s smoothing strategy and the MATLAB function `plinorder.m`

Although the use of the basic Guttman update formula appears destined to be severely prone to finding only local optima, a smoothing strategy applied to (1.5) seems to alleviate this problem (almost) completely. Very simply, Pliner’s (1996) smoothing strategy for the sign function would replace $\text{sign}(t)$ in (1.5) with

$$\begin{array}{ll} (t/\epsilon)(2 - [|t|/\epsilon]) & \text{if } |t| \leq \epsilon; \\ \text{sign}(t) & \text{if } |t| > \epsilon, \end{array}$$

for $\epsilon > 0$. Beginning with a randomly generated set of initial coordinate values and a sufficiently large value of ϵ (e.g., in the m-function `plinorder.m` introduced below, we use Pliner’s suggestion of an initial value of ϵ equal to twice the maximum of the row (or column) averages of the input proximity matrix), the update in (1.5) (with the replacement smoother) would be applied until convergence. The parameter ϵ (given as `ep` in the m-function) is then reduced (e.g., we use `ep = ep*(100-k+1)/100` for `k = 2:100`), and beginning with the coordinates from the previous solution, the update in (1.5) is again applied until convergence. The process continues until ϵ has been effectively reduced to zero.

Pliner’s strategy is a relatively simple modification in the use of the iterative update in (1.5), and although it is still a heuristic strategy in the sense that a globally optimal solution is not guaranteed, the authors’ experience with it suggests that it works incredibly well. (We might also add that because of its computational simplicity and speed of execution, it may be the key to scaling huge proximity matrices.) The m-function `plinorder.m` in Section A.5 of the appendix has the usage syntax as follows:

```
[pcoordsort pperm gcoordsort gperm gdiff pdiff] = plinorder(prox,inperm)
```

where some of the terms are the same as in `guttorder.m` since that update method is initially repeated with the invocation of `plinorder.m`; `PCOORDSORT` and `PPERM` are analogues of `GCOORDSORT` and `GPERM` but using the smoother, and `PDIFF` and `GDIFF` are the least-squares loss function values for using the Pliner smoother and the Guttman update, respectively. The pattern illustrated by the single call of `plinorder.m` to follow is expected: the smoothing strategy identifies a globally optimal solution and the Guttman update provides one that is only locally optimal.

```
load number.dat
[pcoordsort, pperm, gcoordsort, gperm, gdiff, pdiff] = ...
```

```
plinorder(number,randperm(10))
```

```
pcoordsort =
```

```
-0.5345  
-0.4050  
-0.3258  
-0.1988  
-0.0842  
0.0566  
0.1492  
0.2608  
0.4247  
0.6570
```

```
pperm =
```

```
8 10 9 7 6 4 5 3 2 1
```

```
gcoordsort =
```

```
-0.5345  
-0.3829  
-0.2800  
-0.1808  
-0.0572  
0.0982  
0.1192  
0.2708  
0.2902  
0.6570
```

```
gperm =
```

```
8 2 10 4 7 3 6 9 5 1
```

```
gdiff =
```

3.4425

pdiff =

1.9599

1.1.4 A Nonlinear Programming Heuristic

In considering the unidimensional scaling task in (1), Lau, Leung, and Tse (1998) note the equivalence to the minimization over x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n of

$$\sum_{i < j} \min\{[p_{ij} - (x_i - x_j)]^2, [p_{ij} - (x_j - x_i)]^2\}. \quad (1.6)$$

Two zero/one variables can then be defined, w_{1ij} and w_{2ij} , and (1.6) rewritten as the mathematical program

$$\text{minimize } \sum_{i < j} \{w_{1ij}(e_{1ij})^2 + w_{2ij}(e_{2ij})^2\} \quad (1.7)$$

subject to

$$p_{ij} = x_i - x_j + e_{1ij};$$

$$p_{ij} = x_j - x_i + e_{2ij};$$

$$w_{1ij} + w_{2ij} = 1;$$

$$w_{1ij}, w_{2ij} \geq 0,$$

where e_{1ij} is the error if $x_i > x_j$ and e_{2ij} is the error if $x_i < x_j$. The authors observe that the binary restriction on w_{1ij} and w_{2ij} can be removed since they will automatically be forced to zero or one. In short, what initially appears as a combinatorial optimization task in (1) has now been replaced by a nonlinear programming model in (1.7).

The MATLAB function `unifitl2nlp.m`

The m-function `unifitl2nlp.m` given in Section A.6 carries out the optimization task specified in (1.7) by a call to a very general m-function from the MATLAB Optimization Toolbox, `fmincon.m`. The latter is an extremely general routine for the minimization of a constrained multivariable function, and requires in our case a separate m-function, `objfunl2.m`, that we give in Section A.6.1 to evaluate the objective function in (1.7). So, to use the function `unifitl2nlp.m`, the user needs to have the Optimization Toolbox installed. The usage syntax for `unifitl2nlp.m` has the form

```
[startcoord begval outcoord endval exitflag] = unifitl2nlp(prox,inperm)
```

An input permutation `INPERM` is used to obtain a set of starting coordinates (`STARTCOORD`) that would lead to an initial least-squares loss value (`BEGVAL`). The starting coordinates are obtained from the usual $t_i^{(\rho)}$ formula of (1.2) irrespective of whether `INPERM` provides a monotonic form for the reordered matrix `PROX(INPERM, INPERM)` or not. The ending coordinates (`OUTCOORD`) at the end of the process leads to a final least-squares loss value (`ENDVAL`). The `EXITFLAG` variable gives the success of the optimization (greater than 0 indicates convergence; 0 implies that the maximum number of function evaluations or iterations were reached; less than 0 denotes nonconvergence).

An example of the use of `unifit12nlp.m` is given below for two starting permutations — the identify ordering and the second one random. Given these results and others that the reader can replicate given the availability of the m-function, it appears in general that “the apple is not allowed to fall very far from the tree”. The end result is very close to where one starts, which is very similar to the dismal performance of an unmodified Guttman update strategy. The need to have such a good initial permutation to start with, pretty much defeats the use of the nonlinear programming reformulation as a search technique. Both iterative QA and Pliner’s smoother, which can begin just with random permutations and usually end up with very good final permutations, would appear thus far to be the heuristic methods of choice.

```
load number.dat
inperm = 1:10
```

```
inperm =
```

```
     1     2     3     4     5     6     7     8     9    10
```

```
[startcoord begval outcoord endval exitflag] = unifit12nlp(number,inperm)
```

```
Warning: Large-scale (trust region) method does not currently solve this type of problem,
switching to medium-scale (line search).
```

```
  In C:\MATLABR12\toolbox\optim\fmincon.m at line 213
```

```
  In D:\unifit12nlp.m at line 115
```

```
Optimization terminated successfully:
```

```
  Search direction less than 2*options.TolX and
```

```
  maximum constraint violation is less than options.TolCon
```

```
startcoord =
```

```
 -0.6570
 -0.4247
 -0.2608
 -0.1392
 -0.0666
  0.0842
  0.1988
```

```
0.3627
0.4058
0.4968
```

```
begval =
```

```
2.1046
```

```
outcoord =
```

```
-0.6570
-0.4247
-0.2608
-0.1392
-0.0666
0.0842
0.1988
0.3627
0.4058
0.4968
```

```
endval =
```

```
2.1046
```

```
exitflag =
```

```
1
```

```
[startcoord begval outcoord endval exitflag] = unifitl2nlp(number,randperm(10))
```

```
Warning: Large-scale (trust region) method does not currently solve this type of problem,  
switching to medium-scale (line search).
```

```
In C:\MATLABR12\toolbox\optim\fmincon.m at line 213
```

```
In D:\unifitl2nlp.m at line 115
```

```
Warning: Divide by zero.
```

```
In C:\MATLABR12\toolbox\optim\private\nlconst.m at line 198
```

```
In C:\MATLABR12\toolbox\optim\fmincon.m at line 458
```

```
In D:\unifitl2nlp.m at line 115
```

```
Optimization terminated successfully:
```

```
Magnitude of directional derivative in search direction
```

```
less than 2*options.TolFun and maximum constraint violation
```

is less than options.TolCon

startcoord =

0.6570
-0.3829
0.0982
-0.1208
0.2902
0.1192
-0.1172
-0.5345
0.2708
-0.2800

begval =

3.5145

outcoord =

0.6572
-0.3830
0.0982
-0.1807
0.2902
0.1194
-0.0573
-0.5347
0.2707
-0.2800

endval =

3.4425

exitflag =

1

1.1.5 Solving Linear (In)equality Constrained Least Squares Tasks: The Example of the Confirmatory Fitting of a Given Order

A strategy for solving linear systems of equations through the use of iterative projection and typically attributed to Kaczmarz (1937) (e.g., see Bodewig, 1956, pp. 163–164, or more recently, Deutsch, 1992, pp. 107–108) has some very close connections with several more recent approaches in the Applied Statistics/Psychometrics (AS/P) literature to the least-squares representation of a data matrix. The latter rely on a close relative to the Kaczmarz strategy and what is now commonly referred to as Dykstra’s method for solving linear inequality constrained weighted least-squares tasks (e.g., see Dykstra, 1983).

Kaczmarz’s method can be characterized as follows:

Given $\mathbf{A} = \{a_{ij}\}$ of order $m \times n$, $\mathbf{x}' = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, $\mathbf{b}' = \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$, and assuming the linear system $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ is consistent, define the set $C_i = \{\mathbf{x} \mid a_{ij}x_j = b_i\}$, for $1 \leq i \leq m$. The projection of any $n \times 1$ vector \mathbf{y} onto C_i is simply $\mathbf{y} - (\mathbf{a}'_i\mathbf{y} - b_i)\mathbf{a}_i(\mathbf{a}'_i\mathbf{a}_i)^{-1}$, where $\mathbf{a}'_i = \{a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in}\}$. Beginning with a vector \mathbf{x}_0 , and successively projecting \mathbf{x}_0 onto C_1 , and that result onto C_2 , and so on, and cyclically and repeatedly reconsidering projections onto the sets C_1, \dots, C_m , leads at convergence to a vector \mathbf{x}_0^* that is closest to \mathbf{x}_0 (in vector 2-norm, so $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_{0i} - x_{0i}^*)^2$ is minimized) and $\mathbf{Ax}_0^* = \mathbf{b}$. In short, Kaczmarz’s method provides an iterative way to solve least-squares tasks subject to equality restrictions.

Dykstra’s method can be characterized as follows:

Given $\mathbf{A} = \{a_{ij}\}$ of order $m \times n$, $\mathbf{x}'_0 = \{x_{01}, \dots, x_{0n}\}$, $\mathbf{b}' = \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$, and $\mathbf{w}' = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$, where $w_j > 0$ for all j , find \mathbf{x}_0^* such that $\mathbf{a}'_i\mathbf{x}_0^* \leq b_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i(x_{0i} - x_{0i}^*)^2$ is minimized. Again, (re)define the (closed convex) sets $C_i = \{\mathbf{x} \mid a_{ij}x_j \leq b_i\}$ and when a vector $\mathbf{y} \notin C_i$, its projection onto C_i (in the metric defined by the weight vector \mathbf{w}) is $\mathbf{y} - (\mathbf{a}'_i\mathbf{y} - b_i)\mathbf{a}_i\mathbf{W}^{-1}(\mathbf{a}'_i\mathbf{W}^{-1}\mathbf{a}_i)^{-1}$, where $\mathbf{W}^{-1} = \text{diag}\{w_1^{-1}, \dots, w_n^{-1}\}$. We again initialize the process with the vector \mathbf{x}_0 and each set C_1, \dots, C_m is considered in turn. If the vector being carried forward to this point when C_i is (re)considered does not satisfy the constraint defining C_i , a projection onto C_i occurs. The sets C_1, \dots, C_m are cyclically and repeatedly considered but with one difference from the operation of Kaczmarz’s method — each time a constraint set C_i is revisited, any changes from the previous time C_i was reached are first “added back”. This last process ensures convergence to an optimal solution \mathbf{x}_0^* (see Dykstra, 1983). Thus, Dykstra’s method generalizes the equality restrictions that can be handled by Kaczmarz’s strategy to the use of inequality constraints.

The Dykstra method is currently serving as the major computational tool for a variety of newer data representation devices in AS/P. For example, and first considering an arbitrary rectangular data matrix, Dykstra and Robertson (1982) use it to fit a least-squares approximation constrained by entries within rows and within columns being monotonic with respect to given row and column orders. For an arbitrary symmetric proximity matrix \mathbf{A} (of order $p \times p$ and with diagonal entries typically set to zero), a number of applications of Dykstra’s method have been discussed for approximating \mathbf{A} in a least-squares sense by

$\mathbf{A}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{A}_K$, where K is typically small (e.g., 2 or 3) and each \mathbf{A}_k is patterned in a particularly informative way that can be characterized by a set of linear inequality constraints that its entries should satisfy. We note three exemplar classes of patterns that \mathbf{A}_k might have, and all with a substantial history in the AS/P literature. In each instance, Dykstra's method can be used to fit the additive structures satisfying the inequality constraints once they are identified, possibly through an initial combinatorial optimization task seeking an optimal reordering of a given (residual) data matrix, or in some instances in a heuristic form to identify the constraints to impose in the first place. We merely give the patterns sought in \mathbf{A}_k and refer the reader to sources that develop the representations in more detail.

(a) Order constraints (Hubert and Arabie, 1994): The entries in $\mathbf{A}_k = \{a_{ij(k)}\}$ should satisfy the anti-Robinson constraints: there exists a permutation on the first p integers $\rho(\cdot)$ such that $a_{\rho(i)\rho(j)(k)} \leq a_{\rho(i)\rho(j')(k)}$ for $1 \leq i < j < j' \leq p$, and $a_{\rho(i)\rho(j)(k)} \leq a_{\rho(i')\rho(j)(k)}$ for $1 \leq i < i' < j \leq p$.

(b) Ultrametric and additive trees (Hubert and Arabie, 1995): The entries in \mathbf{A}_k should be represented by an ultrametric: for all i, j , and h , $a_{ij(k)} \leq \max\{a_{ih(k)}, a_{jh(k)}\}$; or an additive tree: for all i, j, h , and l , $a_{ij(k)} + a_{hl(k)} \leq \max\{a_{ih(k)} + a_{jl(k)}, a_{il(k)} + a_{jh(k)}\}$.

(c) Linear and circular unidimensional scales (Hubert, Arabie, and Meulman, 1997): The entries in \mathbf{A}_k should be represented by a linear unidimensional scale: $a_{ij(k)} = |x_j - x_i|$ for some set of coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n ; or a circular unidimensional scale: $a_{ij(k)} = \min\{|x_j - x_i|, x_0 - |x_j - x_i|\}$ for some set of coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n and x_0 representing the circumference of the circular structure.

The confirmatory fitting of a given order using the MATLAB function `linfit.m`

The MATLAB m-function in Section A.7, `linfit.m`, fits a set of coordinates to a given proximity matrix based on some given input permutation, say, $\rho^{(0)}$. Specifically, we seek $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$ such that $\sum_{i < j} (p_{\rho^{(0)}(i)\rho^{(0)}(j)} - |x_j - x_i|)^2$ is minimized (and where the permutation $\rho^{(0)}$ may not even put the matrix $\{p_{\rho^{(0)}(i)\rho^{(0)}(j)}\}$ into a monotonic form). Using the syntax

```
[fit diff coord] = linfit(prox,inperm)
```

the matrix $\{|x_j - x_i|\}$ is referred to as the fitted matrix (FIT); `COORD` gives the ordered coordinates; and `DIFF` is the value of the least-squares criterion. The fitted matrix is found through the Dykstra-Kaczmarz method where the equality constraints defined by distances along a continuum are imposed to find the fitted matrix, i.e., if $i < j < k$, then $|x_i - x_j| + |x_j - x_k| = |x_i - x_k|$. Once found, the actual ordered coordinates are retrieved by the usual $t_i^{(\rho^{(0)})}$ formula in (1.2) but computed on FIT.

The example below of the use of `linfit.m` fits two separate orders: the identity permutation and the one that we know is least-squares optimal. The consistency of the results can be compared to those given earlier.

```
load number.dat
```



```
inperm = 1:10
```

```
inperm =
```

```
    1    2    3    4    5    6    7    8    9   10
```

```
[fit diff coord] = linfit(number,inperm)
```

```
fit =
```

```
Columns 1 through 6
```

0	0.2323	0.3962	0.5178	0.5904	0.7412
0.2323	0	0.1639	0.2855	0.3581	0.5089
0.3962	0.1639	0	0.1216	0.1942	0.3450
0.5178	0.2855	0.1216	0	0.0726	0.2234
0.5904	0.3581	0.1942	0.0726	0	0.1508
0.7412	0.5089	0.3450	0.2234	0.1508	0
0.8558	0.6235	0.4596	0.3380	0.2654	0.1146
1.0179	0.7856	0.6217	0.5001	0.4275	0.2767
1.0646	0.8323	0.6684	0.5468	0.4742	0.3234
1.1538	0.9215	0.7576	0.6360	0.5634	0.4126

```
Columns 7 through 10
```

0.8558	1.0179	1.0646	1.1538
0.6235	0.7856	0.8323	0.9215
0.4596	0.6217	0.6684	0.7576
0.3380	0.5001	0.5468	0.6360
0.2654	0.4275	0.4742	0.5634
0.1146	0.2767	0.3234	0.4126
0	0.1621	0.2088	0.2980
0.1621	0	0.0467	0.1359
0.2088	0.0467	0	0.0892
0.2980	0.1359	0.0892	0

```
diff =
```

```
2.1046
```

coord =

-0.6570
-0.4247
-0.2608
-0.1392
-0.0666
0.0842
0.1988
0.3627
0.4058
0.4968

inperm = [8 10 9 7 6 4 5 3 2 1]

inperm =

8 10 9 7 6 4 5 3 2 1

[fit diff coord] = linfit(number,inperm)

fit =

Columns 1 through 6

0	0.1295	0.2087	0.3357	0.4503	0.5911
0.1295	0	0.0792	0.2062	0.3208	0.4616
0.2087	0.0792	0	0.1270	0.2416	0.3824
0.3357	0.2062	0.1270	0	0.1146	0.2554
0.4503	0.3208	0.2416	0.1146	0	0.1408
0.5911	0.4616	0.3824	0.2554	0.1408	0
0.6837	0.5542	0.4750	0.3480	0.2334	0.0926
0.7953	0.6658	0.5866	0.4596	0.3450	0.2042
0.9592	0.8297	0.7505	0.6235	0.5089	0.3681
1.1915	1.0620	0.9828	0.8558	0.7412	0.6004

Columns 7 through 10

0.6837	0.7953	0.9592	1.1915
0.5542	0.6658	0.8297	1.0620
0.4750	0.5866	0.7505	0.9828
0.3480	0.4596	0.6235	0.8558

```

0.2334    0.3450    0.5089    0.7412
0.0926    0.2042    0.3681    0.6004
      0     0.1116    0.2755    0.5078
0.1116           0     0.1639    0.3962
0.2755    0.1639           0     0.2323
0.5078    0.3962    0.2323           0

```

```
diff =
```

```
1.9599
```

```
coord =
```

```

-0.5345
-0.4050
-0.3258
-0.1988
-0.0842
 0.0566
 0.1492
 0.2608
 0.4247
 0.6570

```

1.1.6 Some Useful Utilities for Transforming and Displaying Proximity Matrices

This section gives several miscellaneous m-functions that carry out various operations on a proximity matrix, and for which no other section seemed appropriate. The first two, `proxstd.m` and `proxrand.m`, given in Sections B.4 and B.5, are very simple and provide standardized and randomly (entry-)permuted proximity matrices, respectively, that might be useful, for example, in testing the various m-functions we give. The syntax

```
[stanprox stanproxmult] = proxstd(prox,mean)
```

is intended to suggest that `STANPROX` provides a linear transformation of the off-diagonal entries in `PROX` to a standard deviation of one and a mean of `MEAN`; `STANPROXMULT` is a multiplicative transformation so the entries in the upper-triangular portion of this $n \times n$ matrix have a sum-of-squares of $n(n - 1)/2$. For the second utility m-function

```
[randprox] = proxrand(prox)
```

implies that the symmetric matrix RANDPROX has its (upper-triangular) entries as a random permutation of the (upper-triangular) entries in PROX. The illustration below using number.dat should make both of these usages clear.

```
load number.dat
[stanprox stanproxmult] = proxstd(number,5.0)
```

```
stanprox =
```

```
Columns 1 through 7
```

0	4.4081	5.2105	5.8258	5.7027	6.2934	6.2147
4.4081	0	3.7337	4.0389	5.5157	5.2302	6.0670
5.2105	3.7337	0	4.0783	2.6261	5.6387	4.4081
5.8258	4.0389	4.0783	0	4.3687	4.4475	3.8124
5.7027	5.5157	2.6261	4.3687	0	4.3490	4.2456
6.2934	5.2302	5.6387	4.4475	4.3490	0	4.2850
6.2147	6.0670	4.4081	3.8124	4.2456	4.2850	0
6.8103	5.4369	6.2541	5.2498	5.9882	4.3047	4.3884
6.3771	6.2294	4.1423	6.2934	3.5466	5.6387	4.0586
6.5199	5.4123	6.3131	3.6303	5.6978	5.2498	3.7928

```
Columns 8 through 10
```

6.8103	6.3771	6.5199
5.4369	6.2294	5.4123
6.2541	4.1423	6.3131
5.2498	6.2934	3.6303
5.9882	3.5466	5.6978
4.3047	5.6387	5.2498
4.3884	4.0586	3.7928
0	4.3047	4.5951
4.3047	0	4.2653
4.5951	4.2653	0

```
stanproxmult =
```

```
Columns 1 through 7
```

0	6.9086	9.5835	11.6347	11.2245	13.1937	12.9311
6.9086	0	4.6605	5.6779	10.6009	9.6491	12.4388

9.5835	4.6605	0	5.8092	0.9682	11.0111	6.9086
11.6347	5.6779	5.8092	0	6.7773	7.0399	4.9230
11.2245	10.6009	0.9682	6.7773	0	6.7117	6.3671
13.1937	9.6491	11.0111	7.0399	6.7117	0	6.4984
12.9311	12.4388	6.9086	4.9230	6.3671	6.4984	0
14.9167	10.3383	13.0624	9.7147	12.1762	6.5640	6.8430
13.4726	12.9803	6.0225	13.1937	4.0369	11.0111	5.7435
13.9485	10.2563	13.2593	4.3158	11.2081	9.7147	4.8574

Columns 8 through 10

14.9167	13.4726	13.9485
10.3383	12.9803	10.2563
13.0624	6.0225	13.2593
9.7147	13.1937	4.3158
12.1762	4.0369	11.2081
6.5640	11.0111	9.7147
6.8430	5.7435	4.8574
0	6.5640	7.5322
6.5640	0	6.4327
7.5322	6.4327	0

[randprox] = proxrand(number)

randprox =

Columns 1 through 7

0	0.4000	0.4000	0.4590	0.6840	0.2840	0.3960
0.4000	0	0.3540	0.3460	0.7090	0.7420	0.7580
0.4000	0.3540	0	0.8040	0.8040	0.6710	0.4210
0.4590	0.3460	0.8040	0	0.4130	0.4290	0.8500
0.6840	0.7090	0.8040	0.4130	0	0.7910	0.3670
0.2840	0.7420	0.6710	0.4290	0.7910	0	0.8080
0.3960	0.7580	0.4210	0.8500	0.3670	0.8080	0
0.9090	0.6300	0.6830	0.6250	0.4210	0.2460	0.7960
0.8210	0.6710	0.3880	0.7880	0.2630	0.3000	0.3500
0.4170	0.5920	0.5880	0.0590	0.4090	0.5920	0.5840

Columns 8 through 10

0.9090	0.8210	0.4170
--------	--------	--------

0.6300	0.6710	0.5920
0.6830	0.3880	0.5880
0.6250	0.7880	0.0590
0.4210	0.2630	0.4090
0.2460	0.3000	0.5920
0.7960	0.3500	0.5840
0	0.2960	0.6460
0.2960	0	0.3920
0.6460	0.3920	0

The third utility function, `proxmon.m`, given in Section B.6, provides a monotonically transformed proximity matrix that is close in a least-squares sense to a given input matrix. The syntax is

```
[monproxpermut vaf diff] = proxmon(proxpermut,fitted)
```

Here, `PROXPERMUT` is the original input proximity matrix (which may have been subjected to an initial row/column permutation, hence the suffix ‘PERMUT’) and `FITTED` is a given target matrix; the output matrix `MONPROXPERMUT` is closest to `FITTED` in a least-squares sense and obeys the order constraints obtained from each pair of entries in (the upper-triangular portion of) `PROXPERMUT` (and where the inequality constrained optimization is carried out using the Dykstra-Kaczmarz iterative projection strategy); `VAF` denotes ‘variance-accounted-for’ and indicates how much variance in `MONPROXPERMUT` can be accounted for by `FITTED`; finally `DIFF` is the value of the least-squares loss function and is (one-half) the sum of squared differences between the entries in `MONPROXPERMUT` and `FITTED`.

In the notation of the previous section when fitting a given order, `FITTED` would correspond to the matrix $\{|x_j - x_i|\}$, where $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$; the input `PROXPERMUT` would be $\{p_{\rho^0(i)\rho^0(j)}\}$; `MONPROXPERMUT` would be $\{f(p_{\rho^0(i)\rho^0(j)})\}$, where the function $f(\cdot)$ satisfies the monotonicity constraints, i.e., if $p_{\rho^0(i)\rho^0(j)} < p_{\rho^0(i')\rho^0(j')}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $1 \leq i' < j' \leq n$, then $f(p_{\rho^0(i)\rho^0(j)}) \leq f(p_{\rho^0(i')\rho^0(j')})$. The transformed proximity matrix $\{f(p_{\rho^0(i)\rho^0(j)})\}$ minimizes the least-squares criterion (`DIFF`) of

$$\sum_{i < j} (f(p_{\rho^0(i)\rho^0(j)}) - |x_j - x_i|)^2,$$

over all functions $f(\cdot)$ that satisfy the monotonicity constraints. The `VAF` is a normalization of this loss value by the sum of squared deviations of the transformed proximities from their mean:

$$\text{VAF} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i < j} (f(p_{\rho^0(i)\rho^0(j)}) - |x_j - x_i|)^2}{\sum_{i < j} (f(p_{\rho^0(i)\rho^0(j)}) - \bar{f})^2},$$

where \bar{f} denotes the mean of the off-diagonal entries in $\{f(p_{\rho^0(i)\rho^0(j)})\}$.

The script m-file listed below gives an application of `proxmon.m` using the globally optimal permutation found previously for our `number.dat` matrix. First, `linfit.m` is invoked

to obtain a fitted matrix (`fit`); `proxmon.m` then generates the monotonically transformed proximity matrix (`monproxpermut`) with `vaf` = .5821 and `diff` = 1.0623. The strategy is then repeated cyclically (i.e., finding a fitted matrix based on the monotonically transformed proximity matrix, finding a new monotonically transformed matrix, and so on). To avoid degeneracy (where all matrices would converge to zeros), the sum of squares of the fitted matrix is kept the same as it was initially; convergence is based on observing a minimal change (less than 1.0e-006) in the `vaf`. As indicated in the output below, the final `vaf` is .6672 with a `diff` of .9718.

```
load number.dat
inperm = [8 10 9 7 6 4 5 3 2 1]
[fit diff coord] = linfit(number,inperm)
[monproxpermut vaf diff] = ...
    proxmon(number(inperm,inperm),fit)
sumfitsq = sum(sum(fit.^2));
prevvaf = 2;
while (abs(prevvaf-vaf) >= 1.0e-006)

    prevvaf = vaf;
    [fit diff coord] = linfit(monproxpermut,1:10);
    sumnewfitsq = sum(sum(fit.^2));
    fit = sqrt(sumfitsq)*(fit/sqrt(sumnewfitsq));

    [monproxpermut vaf diff] = proxmon(number(inperm,inperm), fit);

end

fit
diff
coord
monproxpermut
vaf

inperm =

     8     10     9     7     6     4     5     3     2     1

fit =
```

Columns 1 through 7

0	0.1295	0.2087	0.3357	0.4503	0.5911	0.6837
0.1295	0	0.0792	0.2062	0.3208	0.4616	0.5542
0.2087	0.0792	0	0.1270	0.2416	0.3824	0.4750
0.3357	0.2062	0.1270	0	0.1146	0.2554	0.3480
0.4503	0.3208	0.2416	0.1146	0	0.1408	0.2334
0.5911	0.4616	0.3824	0.2554	0.1408	0	0.0926
0.6837	0.5542	0.4750	0.3480	0.2334	0.0926	0
0.7953	0.6658	0.5866	0.4596	0.3450	0.2042	0.1116
0.9592	0.8297	0.7505	0.6235	0.5089	0.3681	0.2755
1.1915	1.0620	0.9828	0.8558	0.7412	0.6004	0.5078

Columns 8 through 10

0.7953	0.9592	1.1915
0.6658	0.8297	1.0620
0.5866	0.7505	0.9828
0.4596	0.6235	0.8558
0.3450	0.5089	0.7412
0.2042	0.3681	0.6004
0.1116	0.2755	0.5078
0	0.1639	0.3962
0.1639	0	0.2323
0.3962	0.2323	0

diff =

1.9599

coord =

-0.5345
-0.4050
-0.3258
-0.1988
-0.0842
0.0566
0.1492

0.2608
0.4247
0.6570

monproxpermut =

Columns 1 through 7

0	0.2701	0.2701	0.2701	0.2701	0.5380	0.6536
0.2701	0	0.2701	0.2701	0.4148	0.2701	0.5380
0.2701	0.2701	0	0.2701	0.5380	0.6960	0.2701
0.2701	0.2701	0.2701	0	0.2701	0.2701	0.2701
0.2701	0.4148	0.5380	0.2701	0	0.2701	0.2701
0.5380	0.2701	0.6960	0.2701	0.2701	0	0.2701
0.6536	0.5380	0.2701	0.2701	0.2701	0.2701	0
0.6960	0.7035	0.2701	0.2701	0.5380	0.2701	0.1116
0.5380	0.5380	0.6960	0.6536	0.4148	0.2701	0.5380
1.1915	1.0620	0.9828	0.6960	0.7035	0.6004	0.5380

Columns 8 through 10

0.6960	0.5380	1.1915
0.7035	0.5380	1.0620
0.2701	0.6960	0.9828
0.2701	0.6536	0.6960
0.5380	0.4148	0.7035
0.2701	0.2701	0.6004
0.1116	0.5380	0.5380
0	0.2701	0.3962
0.2701	0	0.2701
0.3962	0.2701	0

vaf =

0.5821

diff =

1.0623

fit =

Columns 1 through 7

0	0.0824	0.1451	0.3257	0.4123	0.5582	0.5834
0.0824	0	0.0627	0.2432	0.3298	0.4758	0.5010
0.1451	0.0627	0	0.1806	0.2672	0.4131	0.4383
0.3257	0.2432	0.1806	0	0.0866	0.2325	0.2578
0.4123	0.3298	0.2672	0.0866	0	0.1459	0.1711
0.5582	0.4758	0.4131	0.2325	0.1459	0	0.0252
0.5834	0.5010	0.4383	0.2578	0.1711	0.0252	0
0.7244	0.6419	0.5793	0.3987	0.3121	0.1662	0.1410
0.8696	0.7872	0.7245	0.5440	0.4573	0.3114	0.2862
1.2231	1.1406	1.0780	0.8974	0.8108	0.6649	0.6397

Columns 8 through 10

0.7244	0.8696	1.2231
0.6419	0.7872	1.1406
0.5793	0.7245	1.0780
0.3987	0.5440	0.8974
0.3121	0.4573	0.8108
0.1662	0.3114	0.6649
0.1410	0.2862	0.6397
0	0.1452	0.4987
0.1452	0	0.3535
0.4987	0.3535	0

diff =

0.9718

coord =

-0.4558
-0.3795
-0.3215
-0.1544

-0.0742
0.0609
0.0842
0.2147
0.3492
0.6764

monproxpermut =

Columns 1 through 7

0	0.2612	0.2458	0.2612	0.2458	0.5116	0.6080
0.2612	0	0.2458	0.2458	0.4286	0.2458	0.5116
0.2458	0.2458	0	0.2458	0.5116	0.6899	0.2458
0.2612	0.2458	0.2458	0	0.2458	0.2458	0.2458
0.2458	0.4286	0.5116	0.2458	0	0.2612	0.2458
0.5116	0.2458	0.6899	0.2458	0.2612	0	0.2458
0.6080	0.5116	0.2458	0.2458	0.2458	0.2458	0
0.6899	0.7264	0.2458	0.2612	0.5116	0.2458	0.1410
0.5116	0.5116	0.6899	0.6080	0.4286	0.2458	0.5116
1.2231	1.1406	1.0780	0.6899	0.7264	0.6080	0.6080

Columns 8 through 10

0.6899	0.5116	1.2231
0.7264	0.5116	1.1406
0.2458	0.6899	1.0780
0.2612	0.6080	0.6899
0.5116	0.4286	0.7264
0.2458	0.2458	0.6080
0.1410	0.5116	0.6080
0	0.2458	0.4286
0.2458	0	0.2612
0.4286	0.2612	0

vaf =

0.6672

The final m-function of this miscellany section, `matcolor.m`, and given in Section B.7, provides a way of displaying a set of permutations constructed for a proximity matrix (as might be obtained, say, from one of the QA interchange routines) in a color-coded manner. The usage syntax is

```
matcolor(datamat,perms,numperms)
```

where `DATAMAT` is an $n \times n$ symmetric proximity matrix; `PERMS` is a cell array containing `NUMPERMS` permutations. A movie is constructed and played that illustrates the transformations carried out on the proximity matrix from the first permutation, `perms{1}`, to the last, `perms{numperms}`. The `colormap` used in this example is ‘`summer`’, but a variety of other alternatives are available within the MATLAB environment.

To give an example of the use of `matcolor.m`, the MATLAB statements given below should be entered by the reader; stand back and enjoy the movie.

```
load number.dat
[prox10 targlin targcir] = ransymat(10);
inperm = randperm(10);
[outperm,rawindex,allperms,index] = ...
pairwiseqa(number,targlin,inperm);
matcolor(number,allperms,index)
```

1.2 The Incorporation of Additive Constants in L_2 LUS

Thus far in Chapter 1 the emphasis has been solely on the basic unidimensional scaling model in (1), where we seek a set of n coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n so the interpoint distances $|x_j - x_i|$ are close in a least-squares sense to the proximities p_{ij} ($1 \leq i, j \leq n$). This section will extend this simple linear unidimensional scaling (LUS) structure to one that incorporates an additional additive constant. Explicitly, we consider the slightly more general least-squares loss function of the form

$$\sum_{i < j} (p_{ij} + c - |x_j - x_i|)^2, \quad (1.8)$$

or equivalently,

$$\sum_{i < j} (p_{ij} - \{|x_j - x_i| - c\})^2, \quad (1.9)$$

where c is some constant to be estimated along with the coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n . The optimization task implicit in the use of (1.8) and (1.9) can be interpreted in either of two ways, as reflected by the equality of the two forms of the loss function: (a) the interpoint distances among a set of n coordinates along a line, $\{|x_j - x_i|\}$, are being fitted to a constant translation of the originally given proximities, $\{p_{ij} + c\}$; or (b) a generalization from the usual unidimensional model to one of the form $\{|x_j - x_i| - c\}$ is being fitted to the proximities $\{p_{ij}\}$ originally given. Although these two interpretations will not affect how we presently proceed,

the second, which includes the additive constant as a part of the model, will become relevant in how generalizations are framed in Section 1.2.2 concerning the fitting of multiple unidimensional structures to a given proximity matrix. In any case, the presence of the constant c in (1.8) and (1.9) obviates the need to impose any type of non-negativity constraints on the input proximities (in fact, for consistency of presentation, we routinely begin with proximities standardized to a mean of zero and standard deviation of one as a way of providing some type of common interpretive scale for whatever proximities we may be originally given, although such a transformation is not necessary for the methods of optimization pursued). This step of estimating an additive constant may seem like a minor modification at first, but the presence of negative proximities can produce rather serious difficulties in discussions of linear unidimensional scaling; e.g., they cannot be accommodated in Pliner's (1996) suggestion of a smooth gradient approximation, and their presence invalidates several convenient properties or characterizations that certain optimization heuristics would otherwise possess. In various related optimization tasks, specialized algorithms have been devised to deal with the possibility of negative proximities, however they might arise (see Heiser, 1989, 1991).

The discussion in the previous sections has been restricted to the fitting of a single unidimensional structure to a symmetric proximity matrix. Given the type of computational approach being developed here for carrying out this task that lack dependence on the presence of non-negative proximities, extensions are very direct to the use of multiple unidimensional structures through a process of successive residualization of the original proximity matrix. For example, the fitting of two LUS structures to a proximity matrix $\{p_{ij}\}$ could be rephrased as the minimization of a least squares loss function that generalizes (1.9) to the form

$$\sum_{i < j} (p_{ij} - [|x_{j1} - x_{i1}| - c_1] - [|x_{j2} - x_{i2}| - c_2])^2. \quad (1.10)$$

The attempt to minimize (1.10) could proceed with the fitting of a single LUS structure to $\{p_{ij}\}$, $[|x_{j1} - x_{i1}| - c_1]$, using the iterative QA procedure of section 1.1.2, and once obtained, fitting a second LUS structure, $[|x_{j2} - x_{i2}| - c_2]$, to the residual matrix, $\{p_{ij} - [|x_{j1} - x_{i1}| - c_1]\}$. The process would then cycle by repetitively fitting the residuals from the second linear structure by the first, and the residuals from the first linear structure by the second, until the sequence converges. In any case, obvious extensions would exist for (1.10) to the inclusion of more than two LUS structures, or even to the eventual mixture of other types of representations in the spirit of Carroll and Pruzansky's (1980) hybrid models.

The explicit inclusion of two constants, c_1 and c_2 in (1.10) rather than adding these two together and including a single additive constant c , deserves some additional explanation. In the case of fitting a single LUS structure using the loss functions in (1.8) and (1.9), it was noted in the introduction that two interpretations exist for the role of the additive constant c . We could consider $\{|x_j - x_i|\}$ to be fitted to the translated proximities $\{p_{ij} + c\}$, or alternatively, $\{|x_j - x_i| - c\}$ to be fitted to the original proximities $\{p_{ij}\}$, where the constant c becomes part of the actual model. Although these two interpretations do not lead to any algorithmic differences in how we would proceed with minimizing the loss functions in (1.8) and (1.9), a consistent use of the second interpretation suggests that we frame extensions to

the use of multiple LUS structures as we did in (1.10), where it is explicit that the constants c_1 and c_2 are part of the actual models to be fitted to the (untransformed) proximities $\{p_{ij}\}$. Once c_1 and c_2 are obtained, they could be summed as $c = c_1 + c_2$, and an interpretation made that we have attempted to fit a transformed set of proximities $\{p_{ij} + c\}$ by the sum $\{|x_{j1} - x_{i1}| + |x_{j2} - x_{i2}|\}$ (and in this latter case, a more usual terminology would be one of a two-dimensional scaling (MDS) based on the city-block distance function). However, such a further interpretation is unnecessary and could lead to at least some small terminological confusion in further extensions that we might wish to pursue. For instance, if some type of (optimal nonlinear) transformation, say $f(\cdot)$, of the proximities is also sought (e.g., a monotonic function of some form as in Section 1.2.3 below) in addition to fitting multiple LUS structures, and where p_{ij} in (1.10) is replaced by $f(p_{ij})$, and $f(\cdot)$ is to be constructed, the first interpretation would require the use of a ‘doubly transformed’ set of proximities $\{f(p_{ij}) + c\}$ to be fitted by the sum $\{|x_{j1} - x_{i1}| + |x_{j2} - x_{i2}|\}$. In general, it seems best to avoid the need to incorporate the notion of a double transformation in this context, and instead merely consider the constants c_1 and c_2 to be part of the models being fitted to a transformed set of proximities $f(p_{ij})$.

1.2.1 The L_2 Fitting of a Single Unidimensional Scale (with an Additive Constant)

Given a fixed object permutation, $\rho^{(0)}$, we denote the set of all $n \times n$ matrices that are additive translations of the off-diagonal entries in the reordered symmetric proximity matrix $\{p_{\rho^{(0)}(i)\rho^{(0)}(j)}\}$ by $\Delta_{\rho^{(0)}}$, and let Ξ be the set of all $n \times n$ matrices that represent the interpoint distances between all pairs of n coordinate locations along a line. Explicitly,

$$\Delta_{\rho^{(0)}} \equiv \{\{q_{ij}\} | q_{ij} = p_{\rho^{(0)}(i)\rho^{(0)}(j)} + c, \text{ for some constant } c, i \neq j; q_{ii} = 0, 1 \leq i \leq n\};$$

$$\Xi \equiv \{\{r_{ij}\} | r_{ij} = |x_j - x_i| \text{ for some set of } n \text{ coordinates, } x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n; \sum_i x_i = 0\}.$$

Alternatively, we could define Ξ through a set of linear inequality (for non-negativity restrictions) and equality constraints (to represent the additive nature of distances along a line – as we did in `linfit.m`). In any case, both $\Delta_{\rho^{(0)}}$ and Ξ are closed convex sets (in a Hilbert space), and thus, given any $n \times n$ symmetric matrix with a zero main diagonal, its projection onto either $\Delta_{\rho^{(0)}}$ or Ξ exists, i.e., there is a (unique) member of $\Delta_{\rho^{(0)}}$ or Ξ at a closest (Euclidean) distance to the given matrix (e.g., see Cheney and Goldstein, 1959). Moreover, if a procedure of alternating projections onto $\Delta_{\rho^{(0)}}$ and Ξ is carried out (where a given matrix is first projected onto one of the sets, and that result is then projected onto the second which result is in turn projected back onto the first, and so on), the process is convergent and generates members of $\Delta_{\rho^{(0)}}$ and Ξ that are closest to each other (again, this last statement is justified in Cheney and Goldstein, 1959, Theorems 2 and 4).

Given any $n \times n$ symmetric matrix with a main diagonal of all zeros, which we denote arbitrarily as $\mathbf{U} = \{u_{ij}\}$, its projection onto $\Delta_{\rho^{(0)}}$ may be obtained by a simple formula for

the sought constant c . Explicitly, the minimum over c of

$$\sum_{i < j} (\{p_{\rho^{(0)}(i)\rho^{(0)}(j)}\} + c - u_{ij})^2,$$

is obtained for

$$\hat{c} = (2/n(n-1)) \sum_{i < j} (u_{ij} - p_{\rho^{(0)}(i)\rho^{(0)}(j)}),$$

and thus, this last value defines a constant translation of the proximities necessary to generate that member of $\Delta_{\rho^{(0)}}$ closest to $\mathbf{U} = \{u_{ij}\}$. For the second necessary projection and given any $n \times n$ symmetric matrix (again with a main diagonal of all zeros, that we denote arbitrarily as $\mathbf{V} = \{v_{ij}\}$ (but which in our applications will generally have the form $v_{ij} = p_{\rho^{(0)}(i)\rho^{(0)}(j)} + c$ for $i \neq j$ and some constant c), its projection onto Ξ is somewhat more involved and requires minimizing

$$\sum_{i < j} (v_{ij} - r_{ij})^2,$$

over r_{ij} , where $\{r_{ij}\}$ is subject to the linear inequality nonnegativity constraints, and the linear equality constraints of representing distances along a line (of the set Ξ). Although this is a (classic) quadratic programming problem for which a wide variety of optimization techniques has been published, we adopt (as we did in fitting a LUS without an additive constant in `linfit.m`, the Dykstra-Kaczmarz iterative projection strategy that we reviewed earlier in Section 1.1.5).

The MATLAB function `linfitac.m`

As discussed above, the MATLAB m-function in Section A.8, `linfitac.m`, fits a set of coordinates to a given proximity matrix based on some given input permutation, say, $\rho^{(0)}$, plus an additive constant c . The usage syntax of

```
[fit vaf coord addcon] = linfitac(prox,inperm)
```

is similar to that of `linfit.m` except for the inclusion (as output) of the additive constant `ADDCON`, and the replacement of the least-squares criterion of `DIFF` by the variance-accounted-for (`VAF`) given by the general formula

$$\text{vaf} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i < j} (p_{\rho^{(0)}(i)\rho^{(0)}(j)} + c - |x_j - x_i|)^2}{\sum_{i < j} (p_{ij} - \bar{p})^2},$$

where \bar{p} is the mean of the proximity values being used.

To illustrate the invariance of `VAF` to the use of linear transformations of the proximity matrix (although `COORD` and `ADDCON` obviously will change depending on the transformation used), we fit the permutation found optimal earlier, to three different matrices: the original proximity matrix for `number.dat`; one standardized to mean zero and variance one; and the third standardized to have the sum of the (upper-triangular) squared entries be $n(n-1)/2$. The latter two matrices are obtained with the utility `proxstd.m`.

```
load number.dat
inperm = [1 2 3 5 4 6 7 9 10 8]
```

```
inperm =
```

```
      1      2      3      5      4      6      7      9     10      8
```

```
[numberstan numbermult] = proxstd(number,0.0);
[fit vaf coord addcon] = linfitac(number,inperm)
```

```
fit =
```

```
Columns 1 through 6
```

0	0.1705	0.2727	0.3225	0.3533	0.4323
0.1705	0	0.1021	0.1520	0.1828	0.2618
0.2727	0.1021	0	0.0498	0.0807	0.1597
0.3225	0.1520	0.0498	0	0.0308	0.1099
0.3533	0.1828	0.0807	0.0308	0	0.0790
0.4323	0.2618	0.1597	0.1099	0.0790	0
0.4852	0.3146	0.2125	0.1627	0.1319	0.0528
0.5504	0.3799	0.2777	0.2279	0.1971	0.1181
0.5678	0.3973	0.2952	0.2453	0.2145	0.1355
0.6355	0.4650	0.3629	0.3131	0.2822	0.2032

```
Columns 7 through 10
```

0.4852	0.5504	0.5678	0.6355
0.3146	0.3799	0.3973	0.4650
0.2125	0.2777	0.2952	0.3629
0.1627	0.2279	0.2453	0.3131
0.1319	0.1971	0.2145	0.2822
0.0528	0.1181	0.1355	0.2032
0	0.0652	0.0827	0.1504
0.0652	0	0.0174	0.0852
0.0827	0.0174	0	0.0677
0.1504	0.0852	0.0677	0

```
vaf =
```

```
0.5612
```


coord =

-0.3790
-0.2085
-0.1064
-0.0565
-0.0257
0.0533
0.1061
0.1714
0.1888
0.2565

addcon =

-0.3089

[fit vaf coord addcon] = linfitac(numberstan,inperm)

fit =

Columns 1 through 6

0	0.8394	1.3421	1.5873	1.7390	2.1280
0.8394	0	0.5027	0.7479	0.8996	1.2886
1.3421	0.5027	0	0.2452	0.3969	0.7859
1.5873	0.7479	0.2452	0	0.1517	0.5407
1.7390	0.8996	0.3969	0.1517	0	0.3890
2.1280	1.2886	0.7859	0.5407	0.3890	0
2.3880	1.5486	1.0459	0.8007	0.6490	0.2600
2.7091	1.8697	1.3670	1.1217	0.9700	0.5811
2.7948	1.9554	1.4527	1.2075	1.0558	0.6668
3.1282	2.2888	1.7861	1.5408	1.3891	1.0002

Columns 7 through 10

2.3880	2.7091	2.7948	3.1282
1.5486	1.8697	1.9554	2.2888
1.0459	1.3670	1.4527	1.7861

0.8007	1.1217	1.2075	1.5408
0.6490	0.9700	1.0558	1.3891
0.2600	0.5811	0.6668	1.0002
0	0.3210	0.4068	0.7401
0.3210	0	0.0857	0.4191
0.4068	0.0857	0	0.3334
0.7401	0.4191	0.3334	0

vaf =

0.5612

coord =

-1.8656
-1.0262
-0.5235
-0.2783
-0.1266
0.2624
0.5224
0.8435
0.9292
1.2626

addcon =

1.1437

[fit vaf coord addcon] = linfitac(numbermult,inperm)

fit =

Columns 1 through 6

0	2.7982	4.4740	5.2916	5.7974	7.0941
2.7982	0	1.6758	2.4934	2.9991	4.2958
4.4740	1.6758	0	0.8176	1.3233	2.6200
5.2916	2.4934	0.8176	0	0.5058	1.8025

5.7974	2.9991	1.3233	0.5058	0	1.2967
7.0941	4.2958	2.6200	1.8025	1.2967	0
7.9609	5.1626	3.4868	2.6693	2.1635	0.8668
9.0311	6.2329	4.5571	3.7395	3.2338	1.9371
9.3170	6.5188	4.8430	4.0254	3.5196	2.2229
10.4283	7.6301	5.9543	5.1367	4.6309	3.3342

Columns 7 through 10

7.9609	9.0311	9.3170	10.4283
5.1626	6.2329	6.5188	7.6301
3.4868	4.5571	4.8430	5.9543
2.6693	3.7395	4.0254	5.1367
2.1635	3.2338	3.5196	4.6309
0.8668	1.9371	2.2229	3.3342
0	1.0703	1.3561	2.4674
1.0703	0	0.2859	1.3972
1.3561	0.2859	0	1.1113
2.4674	1.3972	1.1113	0

vaf =

0.5612

coord =

-6.2193
-3.4210
-1.7452
-0.9277
-0.4219
0.8748
1.7416
2.8119
3.0977
4.2090

addcon =

1.2.2 The L_2 Finding and Fitting of Multiple Unidimensional Scales

As reviewed in the introduction of Section 1.2, the fitting of multiple unidimensional structures will be done by (repetitive) successive residualization, along with a reliance on the m-function, `linfitac.m`, to fit each separate unidimensional structure, including its associated additive constant. The m-function, `biscalqa.m`, in Section A.9 is a two-(or bi-)dimensional scaling strategy for the L_2 loss function of (1.10). It has the syntax

```
[outpermone outpermtwo coordone coordtwo fitone fittwo addconone addcontwo
vaf] = biscalqa(proxy,targone,targtwo,inpermone,inpermtwo,kblock,nopt)
```

where the variables are similar to `linfitac.m`, but with a suffix of ONE or TWO to indicate which one of the two unidimensional structures is being referenced. The new variable NOPT controls the confirmatory or exploratory fitting of the two unidimensional scales; a value of NOPT = 0 will fit in a confirmatory manner the two scales indicated by INPERMONE and INPERMTWO; if NOPT = 1, iterative QA is used to locate the better permutations to fit.

In the example given below, the input PROX is the standardized (to a mean of zero and a standard deviation of one) 10×10 proximity matrix based on `number.m` (referred to as STANNUMBER); TARGONE and TARGTWO are identical 10×10 equally-spaced target matrices; INPERMONE and INPERMTWO are different random permutations of the first 10 integers; KBLOCK is set at 2 (for the iterative QA subfunctions). In the output, OUTPERMONE and OUTPERMTWO refer to the object orders; COORDONE and COORDTWO give the coordinates; FITONE and FITTWO are based on the absolute coordinate differences for the two unidimensional structures; ADDCONONE and ADDCONTWO are the two associated additive constraints; and finally, VAF is the variance-accounted-for in PROX by the two-dimensional structure.

```
load number.dat
[stannumber,stannumbermult] = proxstd(number,0);
stannumber
```

```
stannumber =
```

```
Columns 1 through 6
```

```

      0   -0.5919   0.2105   0.8258   0.7027   1.2934
-0.5919         0  -1.2663  -0.9611   0.5157   0.2302
 0.2105  -1.2663         0  -0.9217  -2.3739   0.6387
 0.8258  -0.9611  -0.9217         0  -0.6313  -0.5525
 0.7027   0.5157  -2.3739  -0.6313         0  -0.6510
 1.2934   0.2302   0.6387  -0.5525  -0.6510         0
 1.2147   1.0670  -0.5919  -1.1876  -0.7544  -0.7150
```

1.8103	0.4369	1.2541	0.2498	0.9882	-0.6953
1.3771	1.2294	-0.8577	1.2934	-1.4534	0.6387
1.5199	0.4123	1.3131	-1.3697	0.6978	0.2498

Columns 7 through 10

1.2147	1.8103	1.3771	1.5199
1.0670	0.4369	1.2294	0.4123
-0.5919	1.2541	-0.8577	1.3131
-1.1876	0.2498	1.2934	-1.3697
-0.7544	0.9882	-1.4534	0.6978
-0.7150	-0.6953	0.6387	0.2498
0	-0.6116	-0.9414	-1.2072
-0.6116	0	-0.6953	-0.4049
-0.9414	-0.6953	0	-0.7347
-1.2072	-0.4049	-0.7347	0

inpermone = randperm(10)

inpermone =

10	5	6	8	4	3	1	9	7	2
----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

inpermtwo = randperm(10)

inpermtwo =

2	6	5	9	1	10	8	4	3	7
---	---	---	---	---	----	---	---	---	---

kblock = 2

kblock =

2

nopt = 1

nopt =

1

[prox10 targone targcir] = ransymat(10);
targone

targone =

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
2	1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
3	2	1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
4	3	2	1	0	1	2	3	4	5

```

5   4   3   2   1   0   1   2   3   4
6   5   4   3   2   1   0   1   2   3
7   6   5   4   3   2   1   0   1   2
8   7   6   5   4   3   2   1   0   1
9   8   7   6   5   4   3   2   1   0

```

```

targtwo = targone;
[outpermone outpermtwo coordone coordtwo fitone fittwo addconone ...
addcontwo vaf] = bisca1qa(stannumber,targone,targtwo,inpermone, ...
inpermtwo,kblock,nopt)

```

```
elapsed_time =
```

```
552.2200
```

```
outpermone =
```

```
10   8   9   7   6   5   4   3   2   1
```

```
outpermtwo =
```

```
5   9   3   1   7   4   10  2   8   6
```

```
coordone =
```

```

-1.4191
-1.0310
-1.0310
-0.6805
-0.0858
-0.0009
0.2915
0.5418
1.2363
2.1786

```

```
coordtwo =
```

```

-0.8791
-0.8791
-0.8791
-0.2629
-0.1151
0.2472
0.2472
0.3639
0.9885

```

1.1688

fitone =

Columns 1 through 6

0	0.3881	0.3881	0.7386	1.3333	1.4182
0.3881	0	0	0.3505	0.9452	1.0301
0.3881	0	0	0.3505	0.9452	1.0301
0.7386	0.3505	0.3505	0	0.5947	0.6796
1.3333	0.9452	0.9452	0.5947	0	0.0849
1.4182	1.0301	1.0301	0.6796	0.0849	0
1.7106	1.3225	1.3225	0.9720	0.3773	0.2924
1.9609	1.5727	1.5727	1.2222	0.6275	0.5426
2.6554	2.2673	2.2673	1.9168	1.3221	1.2371
3.5977	3.2096	3.2096	2.8591	2.2644	2.1795

Columns 7 through 10

1.7106	1.9609	2.6554	3.5977
1.3225	1.5727	2.2673	3.2096
1.3225	1.5727	2.2673	3.2096
0.9720	1.2222	1.9168	2.8591
0.3773	0.6275	1.3221	2.2644
0.2924	0.5426	1.2371	2.1795
0	0.2503	0.9448	1.8871
0.2503	0	0.6945	1.6368
0.9448	0.6945	0	0.9423
1.8871	1.6368	0.9423	0

fittwo =

Columns 1 through 6

0	0	0	0.6162	0.7640	1.1263
0	0	0.0000	0.6162	0.7640	1.1263
0	0.0000	0	0.6162	0.7640	1.1263
0.6162	0.6162	0.6162	0	0.1478	0.5101
0.7640	0.7640	0.7640	0.1478	0	0.3623
1.1263	1.1263	1.1263	0.5101	0.3623	0
1.1263	1.1263	1.1263	0.5101	0.3623	0
1.2430	1.2430	1.2430	0.6268	0.4790	0.1167
1.8676	1.8676	1.8676	1.2514	1.1036	0.7413
2.0479	2.0479	2.0479	1.4317	1.2839	0.9216

Columns 7 through 10

1.1263	1.2430	1.8676	2.0479
1.1263	1.2430	1.8676	2.0479

1.1263	1.2430	1.8676	2.0479
0.5101	0.6268	1.2514	1.4317
0.3623	0.4790	1.1036	1.2839
0	0.1167	0.7413	0.9216
0	0.1167	0.7413	0.9216
0.1167	0	0.6246	0.8049
0.7413	0.6246	0	0.1803
0.9216	0.8049	0.1803	0

addconone =

1.3137

addcontwo =

0.8803

vaf =

0.8243

Although we have used the proximity matrix in `number.m` primarily as a convenient numerical example to illustrate the various m-functions provided in the appendix, the substantive interpretation for this particular two-dimensional structure is rather remarkable and worth pointing out. The first dimension reflects number magnitude perfectly (in its coordinate order) with two objects (the actual digits 7 8) at the same (tied) coordinate value. The second axis reflects the structural characteristics perfectly, with the coordinates split into the odd and even numbers (the digits 4 8 2 0 6 in the first five positions; 3 9 1 7 5 in the second five); there is a grouping of 4 8 2 at the same coordinates (reflecting powers of 2); a grouping of 6 3 9 (reflecting multiples of three) and of 3 9 at the same coordinates (reflecting the powers of 3); the odd numbers 7 5 that are not powers of 3 are at the extreme two coordinates of this second dimension.

Although we will not explicitly illustrate its use here, a tridimensional m-function, `triscalqa.m`, is given in A.10 that is an obvious generalization of `biscalqa.m`. The pattern of programming that this shows could be used directly as a pattern for extensions even beyond three unidimensional structures.

1.2.3 Incorporating Monotonic Transformation of a Proximity Matrix in Fitting Multiple Unidimensional Scales: L_2 Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling in the City-Block Metric

As a direct extension of the m-function `biscalqa.m` discussed in the last section, Appendix A.11 gives `bimonscalqa.m` which provides an optimal monotonic transformation (by incorporating the use of `proxmon.m`) of the original proximity matrix given as input in addition to the later's bidimensional scaling. To prevent degeneracy, the sum-of-squares value for the initial input proximity matrix is maintained in the optimally transformed proximities; the overall strategy is iterative with termination again dependent on a change in the variance-accounted-for being less than 1.0e-005. The usage syntax is almost identical to that of `biscalqa.m` except for the inclusion of the monotonically transformed proximity matrix `MONPROX` as an output matrix:

```
[ ... monprox] = bimonscalqa( ... )
```

The ellipses indicate that the same items should be used as in `biscalqa.m`. If `bimonscalqa` would have been used in the numerical example of the previous section, the same results given would have been provided initially plus the results for the optimally transformed proximity matrix. We give this additional output below, which shows that the incorporation of an optimal monotonic transformation provides an increase in the VAF from .8243 to .9362; the orderings on the two dimensions remain the same as well as the nice substantive explanation of the previous section.

```
outpermone =
```

```
Columns 1 through 8
```

```
10    8    9    7    6    5    4    3
```

```
Columns 9 through 10
```

```
2    1
```

```
outpermtwo =
```

```
Columns 1 through 8
```

```
6    8    2    4    10    7    1    3
```

```
Columns 9 through 10
```

5 9

coordone =

-1.6247
-1.1342
-1.1342
-0.5857
-0.1216
-0.0775
0.3565
0.6409
1.3290
2.3514

coordtwo =

-1.0035
-0.8467
-0.3480
-0.3242
-0.3242
0.1196
0.3891
0.7793
0.7793
0.7793

fitone =

Columns 1 through 5

0	0.4906	0.4906	1.0390	1.5032
0.4906	0	0	0.5484	1.0126
0.4906	0	0	0.5484	1.0126
1.0390	0.5484	0.5484	0	0.4642
1.5032	1.0126	1.0126	0.4642	0
1.5473	1.0567	1.0567	0.5083	0.0441

1.9812	1.4906	1.4906	0.9422	0.4780
2.2657	1.7751	1.7751	1.2267	0.7625
2.9538	2.4632	2.4632	1.9148	1.4506
3.9762	3.4856	3.4856	2.9372	2.4730

Columns 6 through 10

1.5473	1.9812	2.2657	2.9538	3.9762
1.0567	1.4906	1.7751	2.4632	3.4856
1.0567	1.4906	1.7751	2.4632	3.4856
0.5083	0.9422	1.2267	1.9148	2.9372
0.0441	0.4780	0.7625	1.4506	2.4730
0	0.4339	0.7184	1.4065	2.4289
0.4339	0	0.2845	0.9726	1.9950
0.7184	0.2845	0	0.6881	1.7105
1.4065	0.9726	0.6881	0	1.0224
2.4289	1.9950	1.7105	1.0224	0

fittwo =

Columns 1 through 5

0	0.1568	0.6555	0.6793	0.6793
0.1568	0	0.4987	0.5225	0.5225
0.6555	0.4987	0	0.0238	0.0238
0.6793	0.5225	0.0238	0	0
0.6793	0.5225	0.0238	0	0
1.1231	0.9663	0.4677	0.4439	0.4439
1.3926	1.2358	0.7371	0.7133	0.7133
1.7828	1.6260	1.1273	1.1035	1.1035
1.7828	1.6260	1.1273	1.1035	1.1035
1.7828	1.6260	1.1273	1.1035	1.1035

Columns 6 through 10

1.1231	1.3926	1.7828	1.7828	1.7828
0.9663	1.2358	1.6260	1.6260	1.6260
0.4677	0.7371	1.1273	1.1273	1.1273
0.4439	0.7133	1.1035	1.1035	1.1035
0.4439	0.7133	1.1035	1.1035	1.1035
0	0.2695	0.6597	0.6597	0.6597

0.2695	0	0.3902	0.3902	0.3902
0.6597	0.3902	0	0.0000	0
0.6597	0.3902	0.0000	0	0
0.6597	0.3902	0	0	0

addconone =

1.4394

addcontwo =

0.7922

vaf =

0.9362

monprox =

Columns 1 through 5

0	-0.7387	-0.1667	0.5067	0.5067
-0.7387	0	-0.8218	-0.8218	0.5067
-0.1667	-0.8218	0	-0.8218	-1.6174
0.5067	-0.8218	-0.8218	0	-0.7387
0.5067	0.5067	-1.6174	-0.7387	0
1.4791	-0.1667	0.5067	-0.7387	-0.7387
1.0321	0.5067	-0.7387	-0.8218	-0.8218
2.6590	0.5067	1.0321	-0.1667	0.5067
1.7609	1.0321	-0.8218	1.0321	-1.2541
2.6231	0.5067	1.4791	-0.8218	0.5067

Columns 6 through 10

1.4791	1.0321	2.6590	1.7609	2.6231
-0.1667	0.5067	0.5067	1.0321	0.5067
0.5067	-0.7387	1.0321	-0.8218	1.4791
-0.7387	-0.8218	-0.1667	1.0321	-0.8218

-0.7387	-0.8218	0.5067	-1.2541	0.5067
0	-0.8218	-0.8218	0.5067	-0.0534
-0.8218	0	-0.7387	-0.8218	-0.8218
-0.8218	-0.7387	0	-0.7387	-0.7387
0.5067	-0.8218	-0.7387	0	-0.8218
-0.0534	-0.8218	-0.7387	-0.8218	0

Although we will not provide an example of its use here, Appendix A.12 gives `trimonscalqa.m`, which extends `triscalqa.m` (listed in A.10) to include an optimal monotonic transformation of whatever is given as the original input proximity matrix.

Chapter 2

LUS in the L_1 Norm

The linear unidimensional scaling task in the L_1 norm can be phrased as one of finding a set of coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n such that the L_1 criterion

$$\sum_{i < j} |p_{ij} - (|x_j - x_i| - c)| \quad (2.1)$$

is minimized, where we now immediately include the possibility of an additive constant in the model (in what follows, c can just be set to 0 for the more elemental model without an additive constant). As an alternative reformulation of the optimization task in (1) that will prove convenient as a point of departure in our development of computational routines (much as what we did within the L_2 norm), we subdivide (2.1) into the two separate problems of finding a set of n numbers, $x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n$, and a permutation on the first n integers, $\rho(\cdot) \equiv \rho$, for which

$$\sum_{i < j} |p_{\rho(i)\rho(j)} - ((x_j - x_i) - c)| \quad (2.2)$$

is minimized. We can again impose the additional constraint that $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$.

Assuming for now that the permutation ρ is given, the task of finding $x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n$ to minimize (2.2) is a linear programming problem. Without loss of generality, we let ρ be the identity permutation and first rewrite $\sum_{i < j} |p_{ij} - (|x_j - x_i| - c)|$ as the loss criterion $\sum_{i < j} (z_{ij}^+ + z_{ij}^-)$, where

$$z_{ij}^+ = \frac{1}{2} \{ |p_{ij} - (|x_j - x_i| - c)| - (p_{ij} - (|x_j - x_i| - c)) \};$$

$$z_{ij}^- = \frac{1}{2} \{ |p_{ij} - (|x_j - x_i| - c)| + (p_{ij} - (|x_j - x_i| - c)) \},$$

for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. The unknowns are c, x_1, \dots, x_n , and for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, z_{ij}^+, z_{ij}^- , and y_{ij} ($\equiv |x_j - x_i|$). The constraints of the linear program take the form:

$$-z_{ij}^+ + z_{ij}^- + y_{ij} - c = p_{ij};$$

$$-x_j + x_i + y_{ij} = 0;$$

$$z_{ij}^+ \geq 0, z_{ij}^- \geq 0, y_{ij} \geq 0,$$

for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, and

$$x_1 + \cdots + x_n = 0.$$

2.1 The L_1 Fitting of a Single Unidimensional Scale

Based on the linear programming reformulation just given for finding a set of ordered coordinates for a fixed object permutation, Appendices A.13 and A.14 give the m-functions, `linfitl1.m` and `linfitl1ac.m`, where the latter includes an additive constant in the model and the former does not. Both of these m-functions serve to setup the relevant (constraint) matrices for the associated linear programming task; the actual linear programming optimization is carried out by invoking `linprog.m` from the MATLAB Optimization Toolbox.

The syntax for `linfitl1.m` is

```
[fit diff coord exitflag] = linfitl1(prox,inperm)
```

where if we denote the given permutation as $\rho^0(\cdot)$ (`INPERM`), we seek a set of coordinates $x_1 \leq \cdots \leq x_n$ (`COORD`) to minimize (at a value of `DIFF`)

$$\sum_{i < j} |p_{\rho^0(i)\rho^0(j)} - |x_j - x_i||;$$

`FIT` refers to the matrix $\{|x_j - x_i|\}$, and `EXITFLAG` describes the exit condition of the linear program optimization (greater than 0 for convergence; 0 denotes the maximum number of function evaluations or iterations was exceeded; less than 0 indicates a failure of convergence to a solution). For using `linfitl1ac.m`, the syntax is

```
[fit dev coord addcon exitflag] = linfitl1ac(prox,inperm)
```

Here, we minimize

$$\sum_{i < j} |p_{\rho^0(i)\rho^0(j)} - (|x_j - x_i| - c)|$$

where c is given by `ADDCON` and `DEV` refers to the deviance(-accounted-for) defined by the normalized L_1 loss value:

$$\text{DEV} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i < j} |p_{\rho^0(i)\rho^0(j)} - (|x_j - x_i| - c)|}{\sum_{i < j} |p_{ij} - p_{med}|},$$

where p_{med} is the median of the off-diagonal proximity values.

We illustrate below the use of `linfitl1.m` and `linfitl1ac.m` on the `number.m` proximity matrix using the identity permutation as the input object order.

```
load number.dat
inperm = 1:10
```

```
inperm =
```

```
     1     2     3     4     5     6     7     8     9    10
```

```
[fit diff coord exitflag] = linfitl1(number,inperm)
Optimization terminated successfully.
```

```
fit =
```

```
Columns 1 through 6
```

0	0.3000	0.5840	0.6460	0.6460	0.8040
0.3000	0	0.2840	0.3460	0.3460	0.5040
0.5840	0.2840	0	0.0620	0.0620	0.2200
0.6460	0.3460	0.0620	0	0.0000	0.1580
0.6460	0.3460	0.0620	0.0000	0	0.1580
0.8040	0.5040	0.2200	0.1580	0.1580	0
1.0050	0.7050	0.4210	0.3590	0.3590	0.2010
1.2040	0.9040	0.6200	0.5580	0.5580	0.4000
1.2040	0.9040	0.6200	0.5580	0.5580	0.4000
1.3290	1.0290	0.7450	0.6830	0.6830	0.5250

```
Columns 7 through 10
```

1.0050	1.2040	1.2040	1.3290
0.7050	0.9040	0.9040	1.0290
0.4210	0.6200	0.6200	0.7450
0.3590	0.5580	0.5580	0.6830
0.3590	0.5580	0.5580	0.6830
0.2010	0.4000	0.4000	0.5250
0	0.1990	0.1990	0.3240
0.1990	0	0.0000	0.1250
0.1990	0.0000	0	0.1250
0.3240	0.1250	0.1250	0

```
diff =
```


8.2120

coord =

-0.7722
-0.4722
-0.1882
-0.1262
-0.1262
0.0318
0.2328
0.4318
0.4318
0.5568

exitflag =

1

[fit dev coord addcon exitflag] = linfitllac(number,inperm)
Optimization terminated successfully.

fit =

Columns 1 through 6

0	0.4993	0.5840	0.6130	0.6783	0.7397
0.4993	0	0.4323	0.4613	0.5267	0.5880
0.5840	0.4323	0	0.3767	0.4420	0.5033
0.6130	0.4613	0.3767	0	0.4130	0.4743
0.6783	0.5267	0.4420	0.4130	0	0.4090
0.7397	0.5880	0.5033	0.4743	0.4090	0
0.7880	0.6363	0.5517	0.5227	0.4573	0.3960
0.8573	0.7057	0.6210	0.5920	0.5267	0.4653
0.8573	0.7057	0.6210	0.5920	0.5267	0.4653
0.9017	0.7500	0.6653	0.6363	0.5710	0.5097

Columns 7 through 10

0.7880	0.8573	0.8573	0.9017
--------	--------	--------	--------

0.6363	0.7057	0.7057	0.7500
0.5517	0.6210	0.6210	0.6653
0.5227	0.5920	0.5920	0.6363
0.4573	0.5267	0.5267	0.5710
0.3960	0.4653	0.4653	0.5097
0	0.4170	0.4170	0.4613
0.4170	0	0.3477	0.3920
0.4170	0.3477	0	0.3920
0.4613	0.3920	0.3920	0

dev =

0.4252

coord =

-0.3390
-0.1873
-0.1026
-0.0736
-0.0083
0.0530
0.1014
0.1707
0.1707
0.2150

addcon =

-0.3477

exitflag =

1

2.1.1 Iterative Linear Programming

Given the availability of the two linear programming based m-functions (discussed in the previous Section 2.1) for fitting given unidimensional scales defined by specific input object permutations, it is possible to imbed these two routines in a search strategy for actually finding the (at least hopefully) best such permutations in the first place. This imbedding is analogous to adopting iterative quadratic assignment in `uniscalqa.m` (of Section 1.1.2) and attempting to locate good unidimensional scalings in the L_2 norm. Here, we have an iterative use of linear programming in `uniscallp.m` (in A.15) and `uniscallpac.m` (in A.16) to identifying the good unidimensional scales in the L_1 norm, without and with, respectively, an additive constant in the fitted model. The usage syntax of both m-functions are as follows:

```
[outperm coord diff fit] = uniscallp(prox,inperm)
[outperm coord dev fit addcon] = uniscallpac(prox,inperm)
```

Both m-functions begin with a given object ordering (`INPERM`) and evaluate the effect of pairwise object interchanges on the current permutation carried forward to that point. If an object interchange is identified that improves the L_1 loss value, that interchange is made and the changed permutation becomes the current one. When no pairwise object interchange can reduce `DIFF` in `uniscallp.m`, or increase `DEV` in `uniscallpac.m` over its current value, that ending permutation is provided as `OUTPERM` along with its coordinates (`COORD`) and the matrix `FIT` (the absolute differences of the ordered coordinates). In `uniscallpac.m`, the additive constant (`ADDCON`) is also given.

The numerical example that follows on our `number.dat` proximity matrix, initialize both the m-functions with the identity permutation (1:10). From other random starts that we have tried in addition to this very rational starting permutation, the resulting scales we give below are (almost undoubtedly) L_1 norm optimal. We might note that the (optimal) object orderings differ depending on whether or not an additive constant is included in the model.

```
[outperm coord diff fit] = uniscallp(number,1:10)
```

```
elapsed_time =
```

```
1.0611e+003
```

```
outperm =
```

```
1 2 3 5 4 9 7 6 10 8
```

```
coord =
```

-0.7025
-0.3685
-0.2485
-0.1895
-0.0225
0.1185
0.1725
0.2195
0.4685
0.5525

diff =

7.0430

fit =

Columns 1 through 6

0	0.3340	0.4540	0.5130	0.6800	0.8210
0.3340	0	0.1200	0.1790	0.3460	0.4870
0.4540	0.1200	0	0.0590	0.2260	0.3670
0.5130	0.1790	0.0590	0	0.1670	0.3080
0.6800	0.3460	0.2260	0.1670	0	0.1410
0.8210	0.4870	0.3670	0.3080	0.1410	0
0.8750	0.5410	0.4210	0.3620	0.1950	0.0540
0.9220	0.5880	0.4680	0.4090	0.2420	0.1010
1.1710	0.8370	0.7170	0.6580	0.4910	0.3500
1.2550	0.9210	0.8010	0.7420	0.5750	0.4340

Columns 7 through 10

0.8750	0.9220	1.1710	1.2550
0.5410	0.5880	0.8370	0.9210
0.4210	0.4680	0.7170	0.8010
0.3620	0.4090	0.6580	0.7420
0.1950	0.2420	0.4910	0.5750
0.0540	0.1010	0.3500	0.4340
0	0.0470	0.2960	0.3800
0.0470	0	0.2490	0.3330

```
0.2960    0.2490         0    0.0840
0.3800    0.3330    0.0840         0
```

```
[outperm coord dev fit addcon] = uniscallpac(number,1:10)
```

```
elapsed_time =
```

```
1651
```

```
outperm =
```

```
1 2 3 4 5 7 6 9 10 8
```

```
coord =
```

```
-0.3807
-0.1647
-0.1087
-0.0637
0.0143
0.0903
0.1113
0.1283
0.1573
0.2163
```

```
dev =
```

```
0.4479
```

```
fit =
```

```
Columns 1 through 6
```

```
0 0.5280 0.5840 0.6290 0.7070 0.7830
0.5280 0 0.3680 0.4130 0.4910 0.5670
0.5840 0.3680 0 0.3570 0.4350 0.5110
```

0.6290	0.4130	0.3570	0	0.3900	0.4660
0.7070	0.4910	0.4350	0.3900	0	0.3880
0.7830	0.5670	0.5110	0.4660	0.3880	0
0.8040	0.5880	0.5320	0.4870	0.4090	0.3330
0.8210	0.6050	0.5490	0.5040	0.4260	0.3500
0.8500	0.6340	0.5780	0.5330	0.4550	0.3790
0.9090	0.6930	0.6370	0.5920	0.5140	0.4380

Columns 7 through 10

0.8040	0.8210	0.8500	0.9090
0.5880	0.6050	0.6340	0.6930
0.5320	0.5490	0.5780	0.6370
0.4870	0.5040	0.5330	0.5920
0.4090	0.4260	0.4550	0.5140
0.3330	0.3500	0.3790	0.4380
0	0.3290	0.3580	0.4170
0.3290	0	0.3410	0.4000
0.3580	0.3410	0	0.3710
0.4170	0.4000	0.3710	0

addcon =

-0.3120

2.2 The L_1 Finding and Fitting of Multiple Unidimensional Scales

In analogy to the L_2 fitting of multiple unidimensional structures, the use of the L_1 norm can again be done by (repetitive) successive residualization, but now with a reliance on the m-function, `linfitl1ac.m`, to fit each separate unidimensional structure with its additive constant. The m-function, `biscallp.m`, given in the Appendix Section A.17 is a two-(or bi-)dimensional scaling strategy for the L_1 loss-function

$$\sum_{i < j} |p_{ij} - [|x_{j1} - x_{i1}| - c_1] - [|x_{j2} - x_{i2}| - c_2]|, \quad (2.3)$$

with syntax (and all variables) similar to `biscalqa.m` of Section 1.2.2, including a provision for the confirmatory fitting of two given input orders (by setting `NOPT = 1`).

In the example given below, the two beginning permutations used were the ones identified with the L_2 norm. Compared to the input permutations, there is only one slight change in the interchange of “3” and “5” in the second output permutation. The final deviance-accounted-for (DEV) of this solution is .6780.

```

load number.dat
[stannumber,stannumbermult] = proxstd(number,0);
nopt = 1;
inpermone = [10 8 9 7 6 5 4 3 2 1]

inpermone =
    10     8     9     7     6     5     4     3     2     1

inpermtwo = [5 9 3 1 7 4 10 2 8 6]

inpermtwo =
     5     9     3     1     7     4    10     2     8     6

[outpermone,outpermtwo,coordone,coordtwo,fitone,fittwo,...
addconone,addcontwo,dev] = biscallp(stannumber,inpermone,inpermtwo,nopt)

outpermone =
    10     8     9     7     6     5     4     3     2     1

outpermtwo =
     5     3     9     1     7     4    10     2     8     6

coordone =
-1.3666
-1.1641
-0.8702
-0.4647
-0.1317

```

-0.0203
0.3600
0.4902
1.1473
2.0201

coordtwo =

-0.9600
-0.9356
-0.8132
-0.1471
-0.0082
0.1366
0.1366
0.5387
0.8147
1.2375

fitone =

Columns 1 through 7

0	-1.0431	-0.7492	-0.3436	-0.0106	0.1008	0.4810
-1.0431	0	-0.9516	-0.5461	-0.2131	-0.1017	0.2786
-0.7492	-0.9516	0	-0.8400	-0.5070	-0.3956	-0.0153
-0.3436	-0.5461	-0.8400	0	-0.9125	-0.8011	-0.4209
-0.0106	-0.2131	-0.5070	-0.9125	0	-1.1341	-0.7539
0.1008	-0.1017	-0.3956	-0.8011	-1.1341	0	-0.8653
0.4810	0.2786	-0.0153	-0.4209	-0.7539	-0.8653	0
0.6113	0.4088	0.1149	-0.2906	-0.6236	-0.7350	-1.1153
1.2684	1.0659	0.7720	0.3664	0.0334	-0.0780	-0.4582
2.1412	1.9388	1.6449	1.2393	0.9063	0.7949	0.4147

Columns 8 through 10

0.6113	1.2684	2.1412
0.4088	1.0659	1.9388
0.1149	0.7720	1.6449
-0.2906	0.3664	1.2393

-0.6236	0.0334	0.9063
-0.7350	-0.0780	0.7949
-1.1153	-0.4582	0.4147
0	-0.5885	0.2844
-0.5885	0	-0.3727
0.2844	-0.3727	0

fittwo =

Columns 1 through 7

0	-0.8806	-0.7583	-0.0922	0.0468	0.1915	0.1915
-0.8806	0	-0.7827	-0.1166	0.0223	0.1671	0.1671
-0.7583	-0.7827	0	-0.2389	-0.1000	0.0448	0.0448
-0.0922	-0.1166	-0.2389	0	-0.7661	-0.6213	-0.6213
0.0468	0.0223	-0.1000	-0.7661	0	-0.7603	-0.7603
0.1915	0.1671	0.0448	-0.6213	-0.7603	0	-0.9050
0.1915	0.1671	0.0448	-0.6213	-0.7603	-0.9050	0
0.5936	0.5692	0.4469	-0.2192	-0.3582	-0.5029	-0.5029
0.8697	0.8452	0.7229	0.0568	-0.0821	-0.2269	-0.2269
1.2925	1.2680	1.1457	0.4796	0.3407	0.1959	0.1959

Columns 8 through 10

0.5936	0.8697	1.2925
0.5692	0.8452	1.2680
0.4469	0.7229	1.1457
-0.2192	0.0568	0.4796
-0.3582	-0.0821	0.3407
-0.5029	-0.2269	0.1959
-0.5029	-0.2269	0.1959
0	-0.6290	-0.2062
-0.6290	0	-0.4822
-0.2062	-0.4822	0

addconone =

1.2455

addcontwo =

0.9050

dev =

0.6780

Bibliography

- [1] Bodewig, E. (1956). *Matrix calculus*. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- [2] Carroll, J. D. & Pruzansky, S. (1980). Discrete and hybrid scaling models. In E. D. Lantermann & H. Feger (Eds.), *Similarity and choice* (pp. 108–139). Bern: Hans Huber.
- [3] Cheney, W., & Goldstein, A. (1959). Proximity maps for convex sets. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, *10*, 448–450.
- [4] Defays, D. (1978). A short note on a method of seriation. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, *3*, 49–53.
- [5] de Leeuw, J., & Heiser, W. (1977). Convergence of correction-matrix algorithms for multidimensional scaling. In J. C. Lingoes, E. E. Roskam, & I. Borg (Eds.), *Geometric representations of relational data* (pp. 735–752). Ann Arbor, MI: Mathesis Press.
- [6] Deutsch, F. (1992). The method of alternating orthogonal projections. In S. P. Singh (Ed.), *Approximation theory, spline functions, and applications* (pp. 105–121). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer.
- [7] Dykstra, R. L. (1983). An algorithm for restricted least squares regression. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *78*, 837–842.
- [8] Dykstra, R. L., & Robertson, R. (1982). An algorithm for isotonic regression for two or more independent variables. *Annals of Statistics*, *10*, 708–718.
- [9] Francis, R. L., & White, J. A. (1974). *Facility layout and location: An analytical approach*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- [10] Garey, M. R., & Johnson, D. S. (1979). *Computers and intractability*. San Francisco: W. H. Freeman.
- [11] Guttman, L. (1968). A general nonmetric technique for finding the smallest coordinate space for a configuration of points. *Psychometrika*, *33*, 469–506.
- [12] Heiser, W. J. (1989). The city-block model for three-way multidimensional scaling. In R. Coppi & S. Bolasco (Eds.), *Multiway data analysis* (pp. 395–404). Amsterdam: North-Holland.

- [13] Heiser, W. J. (1991). A generalized majorization method for least squares multidimensional scaling of pseudodistances that may be negative. *Psychometrika*, *56*, 7–27.
- [14] Hubert, L. J., & Arabie, P. (1986). Unidimensional scaling and combinatorial optimization. In J. de Leeuw, W. Heiser, J. Meulman, & F. Critchely (Eds.), *Multidimensional data analysis* (pp. 181–196). Leiden, The Netherlands: DSWO Press.
- [15] Hubert, L. J., & Arabie, P. (1994). The analysis of proximity matrices through sums of matrices having (anti-)Robinson forms. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, *47*, 1–40.
- [16] Hubert, L. J., & Arabie, P. (1995). Iterative projection strategies for the least-squares fitting of tree structures to proximity data. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, *48*, 281–317.
- [17] Hubert, L. J., Arabie, P., & Meulman, J. (1997). Linear and circular unidimensional scaling for symmetric proximity matrices. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, *50*, 253–284.
- [18] Hubert, L. J., Arabie, P., & Meulman, J. (2001). *Combinatorial data analysis: Optimization by dynamic programming*. Philadelphia: SIAM.
- [19] Hubert, L. J., & Schultz, J. W. (1976). Quadratic assignment as a general data analysis strategy. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, *29*, 190–241.
- [20] Kaczmarz, S. (1937). Angenäherte Auflösung von Systemen linearer Gleichungen. *Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences*, *A35*, 355–357.
- [21] Lawler, E. L. (1975). The quadratic assignment problem: A brief review. In R. Roy (Ed.), *Combinatorial programming: Methods and applications* (pp. 351–360). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Reidel.
- [22] Lau, Kin-nam, Leung, Pui Lam, & Tse, Ka-kit (1998). A nonlinear programming approach to metric unidimensional scaling. *Journal of Classification*, *15*, 3–14.
- [23] Pardalos, P. M., & Wolkowicz, H. (Eds.). (1994). *Quadratic assignment and related problems*. DIMACS Series on Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society.
- [24] Pliner, V. (1996). Metric unidimensional scaling and global optimization. *Journal of Classification*, *13*, 3–18.
- [25] Shepard, R. N. (1974). Representation of structure in similarity data: Problems and prospects. *Psychometrika*, *39*, 373–421.
- [26] Shepard, R. N., Kilpatrick, D. W., & Cunningham, J. P. (1975). The internal representation of numbers. *Cognitive Psychology*, *7*, 82–138.

Appendix A

main program files

A.1 uniscaldp.m

```
function [coord,permut,cumobfun,diff] = uniscaldp(prox)

%UNISCALDP carries out a unidimensional scaling of a symmetric proximity
% matrix using dynamic programming.
% PROX is the input proximity matrix (with a zero main diagonal and a
% dissimilarity interpretation);
% COORD is the set of coordinates of the optimal unidimensional scaling
% in ascending order;
% PERMUT is the order of the objects in the optimal permutation(say,
%  $\rho^*$ );
% CUMOBFUN gives the cumulative values of the objective function for
% the successive placements of the objects in the optimal permutation:
%  $\sum_{i=1}^k (t_i^{\rho^*})^2$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ;
% DIFF is the value of the least-squares loss function for the optimal
% coordinates and object permutation.

% Initializations. The vectors VALSTORE and IDXSTORE store the results of
% of the recursion for the  $(2^n)-1$  nonempty subsets of $$$$. The integer
% positions in these vectors correspond to subsets whose binary
% number equivalents are equal those integer positions.

tic;
n = size(prox,1);
bigneg = -1.0e+20;
nsum = (2^n) - 1;
valstore = ones(nsum,1)*bigneg;
idxstore = zeros(nsum,1);
sub = zeros(n,1);
```

```

subcomp = zeros(n,1);
coord = zeros(n,1);
permut = zeros(n,1);
cumobfun = zeros(n,1);

% Initializing the recursion for subsets of size 1
for i = 1:n
    rowsum = 0;
    for j = 1:n
        rowsum = rowsum + prox(i,j);
    end
    index = 2^(i-1);
    idxstore(index) = i;
    valstore(index) = rowsum^2;
end

% Carrying out the recursion for subsets of size $k$ from $k = 1$
% to $n-1$ by adapting a Fortran subroutine from Nijenhuis and Wilf
% (1978, Combinatorial Algorithms, Academic Press, pp. 26--38) on
% generating the next k-subset from an n-Set
% (and placed in the variable SUB)
mtc = 0;
for k = 1:(n-1)
    nfirst = 0;
    if(mtc == 0)
        m2 = 0;
        nh = k;
        for j = 1:nh
            sub(k+j-nh) = m2 + j;
        end
        if(sub(1) ~= (n-k+1))
            mtc = 1;
        end
    end
end

while (mtc == 1)
    if(nfirst == 1)
        if(m2 < (n-nh))
            nh = 0;
        end
        nh = nh + 1;
        m2 = sub(k+1-nh);
        for j = 1:nh
            sub(k+j-nh) = m2 + j;
        end
    end
end

```

```

        if(sub(1) ~= (n-k+1))
            mtc = 1;
        else
            mtc = 0;
        end
    end
end

if(nfirst == 0)
    nfirst = 1;
end
index = 0;
for i = 1:k
    index = index + 2^(sub(i)-1);
end

% Generating the complement (SUBCOMP) of the subset indicated in SUB
jj = 1;
subcomp = zeros(n,1);
for i = 1:n
    idxcomp = 1;
    for j = 1:k
        if(sub(j) == i)
            idxcomp = 0;
        end
    end
    if(idxcomp == 1)
        subcomp(jj) = i;
        jj = jj + 1;
    end
end

% Trying to add objects from SUBCOMP one at a time to the end of
% SUB to see if better placements can be found
nk = n - k;
for jj = 1:nk
    jone = subcomp(jj);
    sum = 0.0;
    for i = 1:k
        ione = sub(i);
        sum = sum + prox(jone,ione);
    end
    for i = 1:nk
        ione = subcomp(i);
        if(ione ~= jone)
            sum = sum - prox(jone,ione);
        end
    end
end

```

```

        end
    end

% Evaluating the placement of the objects from SUBCOMP to
% the end of those in SUB
    incre = sum^2;
    temp = valstore(index) + incre;
    idxtmp = index + 2^(jone-1);
    comp = valstore(idxtmp);
    if(temp > comp)
        valstore(idxtmp) = temp;
        idxstore(idxtmp) = jone;
    end
end
end
end

% Recursion complete; working backwards to find the optimal solution
permut(n) = idxstore(nsum);
cumobfun(n) = valstore(nsum);
index = nsum;
lastint = permut(n);
for i = 1:(n-1)
    index = index - (2^(lastint-1));
    lastint = idxstore(index);
    permut(n-i) = lastint;
    cumobfun(n-i) = valstore(index);
end

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n
        if(i > j)
            coord(i) = coord(i) + prox(permut(i),permut(j));
        end
        if(i < j)
            coord(i) = coord(i) - prox(permut(i),permut(j));
        end
    end
    coord(i) = coord(i)/n;
end

diff = 0;
for i = 1:(n-1)
    for j = (i+1):n
        diff = diff + (prox(permut(i),permut(j)) - ...

```



```

        abs(coord(i) - coord(j))^2;
    end
end
toc

```

A.2 uniscaldpf.m

```

function [coord,permut,cumobfun,diff] = uniscaldpf(prox)

tic;
n = size(prox,1);
bigneg = -1.0e+20;
nsum = (2^n) - 1;
sub = zeros(n,1);
subcomp = zeros(n,1);
coord = zeros(n,1);
permut = zeros(n,1);
cumobfun = zeros(n,1);

[valstore vidxstore] = uscalfor(prox);

permut(n) = vidxstore(nsum);
cumobfun(n) = valstore(nsum);
index = nsum;
lastint = permut(n);
for i = 1:(n-1)
    index = index - (2^(lastint-1));
    lastint = vidxstore(index);
    permut(n-i) = lastint;
    cumobfun(n-i) = valstore(index);
end

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n
        if(i > j)
            coord(i) = coord(i) + prox(permut(i),permut(j));
        end
        if(i < j)
            coord(i) = coord(i) - prox(permut(i),permut(j));
        end
    end
end
coord(i) = coord(i)/n;

```

```

end

diff = 0;
for i = 1:(n-1)
    for j = (i+1):n
        diff = diff + (prox(permut(i),permut(j)) - ...
            abs(coord(i) - coord(j)))^2;
    end
end
end
toc

```

A.2.1 uscalfor.for

```

subroutine uscalfor(valstore,vidxstore,prox,n,nsum)

integer nsum,i,n,j,index,k,nfirst,mtc,m2,nh,idxcomp
integer nk,jone,ione,idxtmp,jj
integer nsub(n),nsubcomp(n)
real*8 bigneg,zero,rowsum,sum,xincre,temp,comp
real*8 valstore(nsum),prox(n,n),vidxstore(nsum)

bigneg = -1.0E20
nsum = (2**n) - 1
zero = 0.0

do i = 1,nsum
    valstore(i) = bigneg
    vidxstore(i) = zero
end do

do i = 1,n
    nsub(i) = 0
    nsubcomp(i) = 0
end do

do i = 1,n
    rowsum = 0
    do j = 1,n
        rowsum = rowsum + prox(i,j)
    end do

```

```

    index = 2**(i-1)
    valstore(index) = rowsum**2
    vidxstore(index) = i
end do

mtc = 0

do k = 1,n-1
    nfirst = 0

    if(mtc.eq.0) then
        m2 = 0
        nh = k
        do j = 1,nh
            nsub(k+j-nh) = m2 + j
        end do
        if(nsub(1).ne.(n-k+1)) then
            mtc = 1
        end if
    end if

    do while(mtc.eq.1)
        if(nfirst.eq.1) then
            if(m2.lt.(n-nh)) then
                nh = 0
            end if
            nh = nh + 1
            m2 = nsub(k+1-nh)
            do j = 1,nh
                nsub(k+j-nh) = m2 + j
            end do
            if(nsub(1).ne.(n-k+1)) then
                mtc = 1
            else
                mtc = 0
            end if
        end if

        if(nfirst.eq.0) then
            nfirst = 1
        end if
        index = 0
        do i = 1,k
            index = index + 2**(nsub(i)-1)
        end do
    end while
end do

```

```

jj = 1
do i =1,n
  nsubcomp(i) = 0
end do

do i = 1,n
  idxcomp = 1
  do j = 1,k
    if(nsub(j).eq.i) then
      idxcomp = 0
    end if
  end do
  if(idxcomp.eq.1) then
    nsubcomp(jj) = i
    jj = jj + 1
  end if
end do

nk = n - k

do jj = 1,nk
  jone = nsubcomp(jj)
  sum = zero
  do i = 1,k
    ione = nsub(i)
    sum = sum + prox(jone,ione)
  end do
  do i = 1,nk
    ione = nsubcomp(i)
    if(ione.ne.jone) then
      sum = sum - prox(jone,ione)
    end if
  end do

  xincre = sum**2
  temp = valstore(index) + xincre
  idxtmp = index + 2**(jone-1)
  comp = valstore(idxtmp)

  if(temp.gt.comp) then
    valstore(idxtmp) = temp
    vidxstore(idxtmp) = jone
  end if
end do

```

```

        end do
    end do

    return
end

```

A.2.2 uscalforgw.for

```

subroutine mexFunction(nlhs,plhs,nrhs,prhs)

integer plhs(*),prhs(*)
integer mxCreateFull,mxGetPr
integer mxGetM,mxGetN,m,n,nsiz,nsu
integer valstore_pr,vidxstore_pr,prox_pr
integer nlhs,nrhs
real*8 valstore(2**25),prox(25*25),vidxstore(2**25)

m = mxGetM(prhs(1))
n = mxGetN(prhs(1))
nsiz = m*n
nsu = (2**n) - 1
if(n.gt.25) then
    call mexErrMsgTxt('n must be <= 25')
end if

plhs(1) = mxCreateFull(nsu,1,0)
plhs(2) = mxCreateFull(nsu,1,0)
prox_pr = mxGetPr(prhs(1))
valstore_pr = mxGetPr(plhs(1))
vidxstore_pr = mxGetPr(plhs(2))

call mxCopyPtrToReal8(prox_pr,prox,nsiz)
call uscalfor(valstore,vidxstore,prox,n,nsu)
call mxCopyReal8ToPtr(valstore,valstore_pr,nsu)
call mxCopyReal8ToPtr(vidxstore,vidxstore_pr,nsu)

return

end

```

A.3 uniscalqa.m

```
function [outperm, rawindex, allperms, index, coord, diff] = ...
    uniscalqa(prox, targ, inperm, kblock)

%UNISCALQA carries out a unidimensional scaling of a symmetric proximity
% matrix using iterative quadratic assignment.
% PROX is the input proximity matrix (with a zero main diagonal and a
% dissimilarity interpretation);
% TARG is the input target matrix (usually with a zero main diagonal and
% with a dissimilarity interpretation representing equally-spaced locations
% along a continuum);
% INPERM is the input beginning permutation (a permutation of the first $n$ integers).
% OUTPERM is the final permutation of PROX with the cross-product index RAWINDEX
% with respect to TARG redefined as $ = \{abs(coord(i) - coord(j))\}$;
% ALLPERMS is a cell array containing INDEX entries corresponding to all the
% permutations identified in the optimization from ALLPERMS{1} = INPERM to
% ALLPERMS{INDEX} = OUTPERM.
% The insertion and rotation routines use from 1 to KBLOCK
% (which is less than or equal to $n-1$)
% consecutive objects in
% the permutation defining the row and column order of the data matrix.
% COORD is the set of coordinates of the unidimensional scaling
% in ascending order;
% DIFF is the value of the least-squares loss function for the
% coordinates and object permutation.

tic;
n = size(prox,1);
outperm = inperm;
index = 1;
allperms{index} = inperm;
beginindex = sum(sum(prox(inperm,inperm).*targ));
coord = zeros(n,1);

prevperm = inperm;
nprevperm = 1;

while (nprevperm == 1)
    nprevperm = 0;

    nchange = 1;

while (nchange == 1)
```

```

nchange=0;

for k = 1:(n-1)
    for j = (k+1):n

        intrperm = outperm;

        intrperm(k) = outperm(j);
        intrperm(j) = outperm(k);

        tryindex = sum(sum(prox(intrperm,intrperm).*targ));

        if(tryindex > (beginindex + 1.0e-008))
            nchange = 1;
            beginindex = tryindex;
            outperm = intrperm;
            index = index + 1;
            allperms{index} = intrperm;
        end

    end

end

for k = 1:kblock
    for insertpt = 1:(n+1)
        for nlimlow = 1:(n+1-k)

            intrperm = outperm;

            if (nlimlow > insertpt)

                jtwo = 0;
                for j = insertpt:(insertpt+k-1)
                    intrperm(j) =outperm(nlimlow+jtwo);
                    jtwo = jtwo + 1;
                end

                jone = 0;
                for j = (insertpt+k):(nlimlow+k-1);
                    intrperm(j) = outperm(insertpt+jone);
                    jone = jone + 1;
                end
            end
        end
    end
end

```

```

elseif ((nlimlow+k) < insertpt)

    jtwo = 0;
    for j = (insertpt-k):(insertpt-1)
        intrperm(j) = outperm(nlimlow+jtwo);
        jtwo = jtwo + 1;
    end

    jone = 0;
    for j = nlimlow:(insertpt-k-1)
        intrperm(j) = outperm(nlimlow+k+jone);
        jone = jone + 1;
    end

else

end

tryindex = sum(sum(prox(intrperm,intrperm).*targ));

if(tryindex > (beginindex + 1.0e-008))
    nchange = 1;
    beginindex = tryindex;
    outperm = intrperm;
    index = index +1;
    allperms{index} = intrperm;
end

    end
end
end

if (kblock > 1)

for k = 2:kblock
    for nlimlow = 1:(n+1-k)

        intrperm = outperm;

        for j = 1:k
            intrperm(nlimlow+j-1) = outperm(nlimlow+k-j);
        end

        tryindex = sum(sum(prox(intrperm,intrperm).*targ));
    end
end
end

```



```

        if(tryindex > (beginindex + 1.0e-008))
            nchange = 1;
            beginindex = tryindex;
            outperm = intrperm;
            index = index + 1;
            allperms{index} = intrperm;
        end

    end

end

end

end

rawindex = beginindex;
if(any(prevperm - outperm) == 1)
    nprevperm = 1;
    prevperm = outperm;
end

coord = zeros(n,1);

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n
        if(i > j)
            coord(i) = coord(i) + prox(outperm(i),outperm(j));
        end
        if(i < j)
            coord(i) = coord(i) - prox(outperm(i),outperm(j));
        end
    end
    coord(i) = coord(i)/n;
end

diff = 0;
for i = 1:(n-1)
    for j = (i+1):n
        diff = diff + (prox(outperm(i),outperm(j)) - ...
            abs(coord(i) - coord(j)))^2;
    end
end

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n

```

```

        targ(i,j) = abs(coord(i) - coord(j));
    end
end

beginindex = sum(sum(prox(outperm,outperm).*targ));

end

toc

```

A.4 guttorder.m

```

function [gcoordsort, gperm] = ...
    guttorder(prox,inperm)

%GUTTORDER carries out a unidimensional scaling of a symmetric proximity
% matrix using Guttman's updating algorithm.
% PROX is the input proximity matrix (with a zero main diagonal and a
% dissimilarity interpretation);
% INPERM is an input permutation of the first $n$ integers;
% GCOORDSORT are the coordinates ordered from most negative to most positive;
% GPERM is the object permutation that indicates which objects are at which of the
% ordered coordinates in GCOORDSORT.

n = size(prox,1);
gpermprev = inperm;
gcoord = zeros(n,1);
gcoordprev = zeros(n,1);

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n
        if(inperm(j) == i)
            gcoordprev(i) = j;
        end
    end
end

for i = 1:n

```

```

    for j = 1:n
        gcoord(i) = gcoord(i) + (prox(i,j)*sign(gcoordprev(i) - ...
            gcoordprev(j)))/n;
    end
end

gperm = 1:n;
gcoordsort = gcoord;

for i = 1:(n-1)
    for j = (i+1):n
        if(gcoordsort(i) > gcoordsort(j))
            tempcoord = gcoordsort(i);
            gcoordsort(i) = gcoordsort(j);
            gcoordsort(j) = tempcoord;
            tempperm = gperm(i);
            gperm(i) = gperm(j);
            gperm(j) = tempperm;
        end
    end
end

while(any(gpermprev - gperm) == 1)
    gcoordprev = gcoord;
    gpermprev = gperm;
    gcoord = zeros(n,1);
    for i = 1:n
        for j = 1:n
            gcoord(i) = gcoord(i) + (prox(i,j)*sign(gcoordprev(i) - ...
                gcoordprev(j)))/n;
        end
    end

    gperm = 1:n;
    gcoordsort = gcoord;

    for i = 1:(n-1)
        for j = (i+1):n
            if(gcoordsort(i) > gcoordsort(j))
                tempcoord = gcoordsort(i);
                gcoordsort(i) = gcoordsort(j);
                gcoordsort(j) = tempcoord;
            end
        end
    end
end

```

```

        tempperm = gperm(i);
        gperm(i) = gperm(j);
        gperm(j) = tempperm;
    end
end
end
end

```

```
end
```

A.5 plinorder.m

```
function [pcoordsort, pperm, gcoordsort, gperm, gdiff, pdiff] = ...
    plinorder(prox,inperm)
```

```

%PLINORDER carries out a unidimensional scaling of a symmetric proximity
% matrix using Pliner smoothing function in Guttman's updating algorithm.
% PROX is the input proximity matrix (with a zero main diagonal and a
% dissimilarity interpretation);
% INPERM is an input permutation of the first $n$ integers;
% GCOORDSORT are the coordinates from the Guttman update ordered from most negative to most
% GPERM is the object permutation that indicates which objects are at which of the
% ordered coordinates in GCOORDSORT;
% PCOORDSORT are the coordinates from the Pliner smoother of the Guttman update ordered from
% negative to most positive;
% PPERM is the object permutation that indicates which objects are at which of the ordered
% coordinates in PCOORDSORT;
% GDIFF is the value of the least-squares loss function for the
% coordinates and object permutation obtained from the Guttman update;
% PDIFF is the value of the least-squares loss function for the coordinates
% and object permutation obtained from the Pliner smoothing procedure.

```

```

n = size(prox,1);
gpermprev = inperm;
gcoord = zeros(n,1);
gcoordprev = zeros(n,1);

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n
        if(inperm(j) == i)
            gcoordprev(i) = j;
        end
    end
end

```

```

        end
    end
end

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n
        gcoord(i) = gcoord(i) + (prox(i,j)*sign(gcoordprev(i) - ...
            gcoordprev(j)))/n;
    end
end

gperm = 1:n;
gcoordsort = gcoord;

for i = 1:(n-1)
    for j = (i+1):n
        if(gcoordsort(i) > gcoordsort(j))
            tempcoord = gcoordsort(i);
            gcoordsort(i) = gcoordsort(j);
            gcoordsort(j) = tempcoord;
            tempperm = gperm(i);
            gperm(i) = gperm(j);
            gperm(j) = tempperm;
        end
    end
end

gpermprev = randperm(n);

while(any(gpermprev - gperm) == 1)
    gcoordprev = gcoord;
    gpermprev = gperm;
    gcoord = zeros(n,1);
    for i = 1:n
        for j = 1:n
            gcoord(i) = gcoord(i) + (prox(i,j)*sign(gcoordprev(i) - ...
                gcoordprev(j)))/n;
        end
    end
end

gperm = 1:n;
gcoordsort = gcoord;

for i = 1:(n-1)

```

```

    for j = (i+1):n
        if(gcoordsort(i) > gcoordsort(j))
            tempcoord = gcoordsort(i);
            gcoordsort(i) = gcoordsort(j);
            gcoordsort(j) = tempcoord;
            tempperm = gperm(i);
            gperm(i) = gperm(j);
            gperm(j) = tempperm;
        end
    end
end

gdiff = 0;
for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n
        gdiff = gdiff + (prox(i,j) - abs(gcoord(i) - gcoord(j)))^2;
    end
end
end
end

```

```

ep = 2*(max(sum(prox))/n);
pperm = gperm;
pcoord = gcoord;

```

```

for k = 2:100

```

```

    ppermprev = randperm(n);

```

```

    while(any(ppermprev - pperm) == 1)

```

```

        pcoordprev = pcoord;
        ppermprev = pperm;

```

```

        pcoord = zeros(n,1);

```

```

        for i = 1:n

```

```

            for j = 1:n

```

```

                abst = abs(pcoordprev(i) - pcoordprev(j));

```

```

                if(abst < ep)

```

```

                    factor = ((pcoordprev(i) - pcoordprev(j))/ep)* ...
                        (2 - (abst/ep));

```

```

                end

```

```

                if(abst >= ep)

```

```

                    factor = sign(pcoordprev(i) - pcoordprev(j));

```

```

        end
        pcoord(i) = pcoord(i) + (prox(i,j)*factor)/n;
    end
end

pperm = 1:n;
pcoordsort = pcoord;

for i = 1:(n-1)
    for j = (i+1):n
        if(pcoordsort(i) > pcoordsort(j))
            tempcoord = pcoordsort(i);
            pcoordsort(i) = pcoordsort(j);
            pcoordsort(j) = tempcoord;
            tempperm = pperm(i);
            pperm(i) = pperm(j);
            pperm(j) = tempperm;
        end
    end
end

pdiff = 0;
for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n
        pdiff = pdiff + (prox(i,j) - abs(pcoord(i) - pcoord(j)))^2;
    end
end

end
ep = ep*(100 - k +1)/100;

end
gdifff = .5*gdifff;
pdifff = .5*pdifff;

```

A.6 unifitl2nlp.m

```
function [startcoord, begval, outcoord, endval, exitflag] = ...
```

```

unifitl2nlp(prox,inperm)

%UNIFITL2NLP carries out a unidimensional scaling of a symmetric proximity
% matrix using the l2 norm and the nonlinear programming reformulation of
% Lau, Leung, and Tse.
% PROX is the input proximity matrix (with a zero main diagonal and a
% dissimilarity interpretation);
% INPERM is the input permutation of the first $n$ integers which is used to
% obtain the starting coordinates (STARTCOORD) and the initial least squares
% loss value (BEGVAL);
% OUTCOORD are the ending coordinates values having the final least squares loss
% value of ENDVAL;
% EXITFLAG indicates the success of the optimization ( > 0 indicates convergence;
% 0 indicates that the maximum number of function evaluations or iterations were
% reached; and < 0 denotes nonconvergence).

n = size(prox,1);
outcoord = zeros(n,1);
tmpcoord = zeros(n,1);
coord = zeros(n,1);
proxmat = prox(inperm,inperm);

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n
        if(i>j)
            tmpcoord(i) = tmpcoord(i) + proxmat(i,j);
        end
        if(i<j)
            tmpcoord(i) = tmpcoord(i) - proxmat(i,j);
        end
    end
    tmpcoord(i) = tmpcoord(i)/n;
end

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n
        if(inperm(i) == j)
            coord(j) = tmpcoord(i);
        end
    end
end

nch2 = n*(n-1)/2;

```



```

xlength = (4*nch2) + n;
xstart = zeros(xlength,1);

for i = 1:n
    xstart(i) = coord(i);
end

index = n;
for i = 2:n
    for j = 1:(i-1)
        index = index + 1;
        xstart(index) = prox(i,j) - coord(i) + coord(j);
        xstart(index+nch2) = prox(i,j) - coord(j) + coord(i);
        if(coord(i) > coord(j))
            xstart(index+2*nch2) = 1;
        end
        if(coord(i) < coord(j))
            xstart(index+3*nch2) = 1;
        end
    end
end

aequal = zeros(3*nch2+1,xlength);

index = 0;
for i = 2:n
    for j = 1:(i-1)
        index = index + 1;
        aequal(index,i) = 1;
        aequal(index,j) = -1;
        aequal(index,index+n) = 1;
        aequal(index+nch2,i) = -1;
        aequal(index+nch2,j) = 1;
        aequal(index+nch2,index+nch2+n) = 1;
        aequal(index+2*nch2,index+2*nch2+n) = 1;
        aequal(index+2*nch2,index+3*nch2+n) = 1;
    end
end

for i = 1:n
    aequal(3*nch2+1,i) = 1;
end

```

```

bconst = zeros(3*nch2+1,1);

index = 0;
for i = 2:n
    for j = 1:(i-1)
        index = index + 1;
        bconst(index) = prox(i,j);
        bconst(index+nch2) = prox(i,j);
        bconst(index+2*nch2) = 1;
    end
end

bconst(3*nch2+1) = 0;

for i = 1:xlength
    lbound(i) = -inf;
    if(i > (n+2*nch2))
        lbound(i) = 0;
    end
end

[xend,fval,exitflag,output] = ...
    fmincon('objfunl2',xstart,[],[],aequal,bconst,lbound,[]);
for i = 1:n
    outcoord(i) = xend(i);
end

startcoord = coord;
begval = objfunl2(xstart);
outcoord;
endval = objfunl2(xend);

```

A.6.1 objfunl2.m

```
function f = objfunl2(x)
```

```
%OBJFUNL2 provides the objective function evaluations needed for unifit12nlp.m.
```

```
c = length(x);
n = round((1 + sqrt(1+8*c))/4);
nch2 = n*(n-1)/2;

f = 0;
index = n;
for i = 2:n
    for j = 1:(i-1)
        index = index + 1;
        f = f + x(index+2*nch2)*x(index)*x(index) + ...
            x(index+3*nch2)*x(index+nch2)*x(index+nch2);
    end
end
```

A.7 linfit.m

```
function [fit, diff, coord] = linfit(prox,inperm)
```

```
%LINFIT does a confirmatory fitting of a given unidimensional order using Dykstra's
% (Kaczmarz's) iterative projection least-squares method.
% INPERM is the given order;
% FIT is an  $n \times n$  matrix that is fitted to PROX(INPERM,INPERM) with
% least-squares value DIFF;
% COORD gives the ordered coordinates whose absolute differences
% could be used to reconstruct FIT.
```

```
n=size(prox,1);
work = zeros(n*(n-1)*(n-2),1);
fit = prox(inperm,inperm);
work = zeros(n*(n-1)*(n-2),1);
cr = 1.0;

while (cr >= 1.0e-006)

    cr = 0.0;
    index = 0;

    for jone = 1:(n-2)
        for jtwo = (jone+1):(n-1)
            for jthree = (jtwo+1):n
```

```

    p1 = fit(jone,jtwo);
    p2 = fit(jone,jthree);
    p3 = fit(jtwo,jthree);

    fit(jone,jtwo) = fit(jone,jtwo) - work(index+1);
    fit(jone,jthree) = fit(jone,jthree) - work(index+2);
    fit(jtwo,jthree) = fit(jtwo,jthree) - work(index+3);

    del = (fit(jone,jthree) - fit(jone,jtwo) - ...
           fit(jtwo,jthree))/3.0;

    fit(jone,jthree) = fit(jone,jthree) - del;
    fit(jone,jtwo) = fit(jone,jtwo) + del;
    fit(jtwo,jthree) = fit(jtwo,jthree) + del;

    work(index+1) = del;
    work(index+2) = -del;
    work(index+3) = del;

    index = index + 3;

    cr = cr + abs(p1-fit(jone,jtwo)) + abs(p2-fit(jone,jthree)) ...
          + abs(p3 - fit(jtwo,jthree));

    end
  end
end

for jone = 1:(n-1)
  for jtwo = (jone+1):n

    p1 = fit(jone,jtwo);
    fit(jone,jtwo) = fit(jone,jtwo) - work(index+1);

    if(fit(jone,jtwo) < 0.0)

      work(index+1) = -fit(jone,jtwo);
      fit(jone,jtwo) = 0.0;

    else

      work(index+1) = 0.0;

    end
  end
end

```

```

        index = index + 1;

        cr= cr + abs(p1-fit(jone,jtwo));
    end
end
end

for jone = 1:(n-1)
    for jtwo = (jone+1):n

        fit(jtwo,jone) = fit(jone,jtwo);

    end
end

aveprox = sum(sum(prox))/(n*(n-1));

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n
        if( i ~= j)
            proxave(i,j) = aveprox;
        else
            proxave(i,j) = 0;
        end
    end
end

diff = sum(sum((prox(inperm,inperm) - fit).^2));

% denom = sum(sum((prox(inperm,inperm) - proxave).^2));

denom = sum(sum((prox(inperm,inperm)).^2));

vaf = 1 - (diff/denom);
coord = zeros(n,1);

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n
        if(i>j)
            coord(i) = coord(i) + fit(i,j);
        end
        if(i<j)
            coord(i) = coord(i) - fit(i,j);
        end
    end
end

```

```

        end
    end

    coord(i) = coord(i)/n;
end

diff = (.5)*diff;

```

A.8 linfitac.m

```

function [fit, vaf, coord, addcon] = linfitac(prox,inperm)

%LINFITAC does a confirmatory fitting of a given unidimensional order
% using the Dykstra-Kaczmarz iterative projection least-squares method,
% but differing from LINFIT.M in including the estimation of an additive
% constant.
% INPERM is the given order;
% FIT is an  $n \times n$  matrix that is fitted to PROX(INPERM,INPERM) with
% variance-accounted-for VAF;
% COORD gives the ordered coordinates whose absolute differences
% could be used to reconstruct FIT; ADDCON is the estimated additive constant
% that can be interpreted as being added to PROX.

n=size(prox,1);
acondiff = 1.0;
addcon = 0.0;

while (acondiff >= 1.0e-005)

    for i =1:n
        for j=1:n

            if(i ~= j)
                fit(i,j) = prox(inperm(i),inperm(j)) + addcon;
            else
                fit(i,j) = 0.0;
            end
        end
    end
end
end

```

```

addconpv = addcon;

work = zeros(n*(n-1)*(n-2),1);
cr = 1.0;

while (cr >= 1.0e-006)

    cr = 0.0;
    index = 0;

    for jone = 1:(n-2)
        for jtwo = (jone+1):(n-1)
            for jthree = (jtwo+1):n

                p1 = fit(jone,jtwo);
                p2 = fit(jone,jthree);
                p3 = fit(jtwo,jthree);

                fit(jone,jtwo) = fit(jone,jtwo) - work(index+1);
                fit(jone,jthree) = fit(jone,jthree) - work(index+2);
                fit(jtwo,jthree) = fit(jtwo,jthree) - work(index+3);

                del = (fit(jone,jthree) - fit(jone,jtwo) - ...
                    fit(jtwo,jthree))/3.0;

                fit(jone,jthree) = fit(jone,jthree) - del;
                fit(jone,jtwo) = fit(jone,jtwo) + del;
                fit(jtwo,jthree) = fit(jtwo,jthree) + del;

                work(index+1) = del;
                work(index+2) = -del;
                work(index+3) = del;

                index = index + 3;

                cr = cr + abs(p1-fit(jone,jtwo)) + abs(p2-fit(jone,jthree)) ...
                    + abs(p3 - fit(jtwo,jthree));

            end
        end
    end

    for jone = 1:(n-1)
        for jtwo = (jone+1):n

```

```

    p1 = fit(jone,jtwo);

    fit(jone,jtwo) = fit(jone,jtwo) - work(index+1);

    if(fit(jone,jtwo) < 0.0)

        work(index+1) = -fit(jone,jtwo);
        fit(jone,jtwo) = 0.0;

    else

        work(index+1) = 0.0;

    end

    index = index + 1;

    cr= cr + abs(p1-fit(jone,jtwo));
end
end
end

for jone = 1:(n-1)
    for jtwo = (jone+1):n

        fit(jtwo,jone) = fit(jone,jtwo);

    end
end

addcon = -sum(sum(prox(inperm,inperm) - fit))/(n*(n-1));

acondiff = abs(addcon - addconpv);

end

aveprox = sum(sum(prox))/(n*(n-1));

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n
        if( i ~= j)

```



```

        proxave(i,j) = aveprox;
        fmadd(i,j) = fit(i,j) - addcon;
    else
        proxave(i,j) = 0;
        fmadd(i,j) = 0.0;
    end
end
end
end

diff = sum(sum((prox(inperm,inperm) - (fmadd)).^2));

denom = sum(sum((prox(inperm,inperm) - proxave).^2));

%denom = sum(sum((prox(inperm,inperm)).^2));

vaf = 1 - (diff/denom);

coord = zeros(n,1);

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n
        if(i>j)
            coord(i) = coord(i) + fit(i,j);
        end
        if(i<j)
            coord(i) = coord(i) - fit(i,j);
        end
    end
end

    coord(i) = coord(i)/n;
end

```

A.9 biscalqa.m

```

function [outpermone,outpermtwo,coordone,coordtwo,fitone,fittwo,addconone,addcontwo,vaf] =
bisalqa(prox,targone,targtwo,inpermone,inpermtwo,kblock,nopt)

```

```

%BISCALQA carries out a bidimensional scaling of a symmetric proximity
% matrix using iterative quadratic assignment.
% PROX is the input proximity matrix (with a zero main diagonal and a

```

```

% dissimilarity interpretation);
% TARGONE is the input target matrix for the first dimension (usually with
% a zero main diagonal and with a dissimilarity interpretation representing
% equally-spaced locations along a continuum); TARGTWO is the input target
% matrix for the second dimension;
% INPERMONE is the input beginning permutation for the first dimension
% (a permutation of the first $n$ integers); INPERMTWO is the input beginning
% permutation for the second dimension;
% the insertion and rotation routines use from 1 to KBLOCK
% (which is less than or equal to $n-1$) consecutive objects in
% the permutation defining the row and column orders of the data matrix.
% NOPT controls the confirmatory or exploratory fitting of the unidimensional
% scales; a value of NOPT = 0 will fit in a confirmatory manner the two scales
% indicated by INPERMONE and INPERMTWO; a value of NOPT = 1 uses iterative QA
% to locate the better permutations to fit;
% OUTPERMONE is the final object permutation for the first dimension;
% OUTPERMTWO is the final object permutation for the second dimension;
% COORDONE is the set of first dimension coordinates in ascending order;
% COORDTWO is the set of second dimension coordinates in ascending order;
% ADDCONONE is the additive constant for the first dimensional model;
% ADDCONTWO is the additive constant for the second dimensional model;
% VAF is the variance-accounted-for in PROX by the bidimensional scaling.

```

```

tic;
n = size(prox,1);
outpermone = inpermone;
outpermtwo = inpermtwo;
coordone = zeros(n,1);
coordtwo = zeros(n,1);
fitone = targone;
fittwo = targtwo;

addconone = 0.0;
addcontwo = 0.0;
fitonedim = zeros(n,n);
fittwodim = zeros(n,n);

proxone = prox;
proxtwo = zeros(n,n);
proxave = zeros(n,n);
aveprox = sum(sum(prox))/(n*(n-1));

for i = 1:n

```

```

    for j = 1:n
        if (i ~= j)
            proxave(i,j) = aveprox;
        else
            proxave(i,j) = 0.0;
        end
    end
end
end

vafdiff = 1.0;
vaf = 0.0;

while (vafdiff >= 1.0e-005)

vafprev = vaf;

if(nopt == 1)

beginindexone = sum(sum(proxone(outpermone,outpermone).*fitone));

    nchange = 1;

while (nchange == 1)

    nchange=0;

    for k = 1:(n-1)
        for j = (k+1):n

            intrperm = outpermone;

            intrperm(k) = outpermone(j);
            intrperm(j) = outpermone(k);

            tryindex = sum(sum(proxone(intrperm,intrperm).*fitone));

            if(tryindex > (beginindexone + 1.0e-008))
                nchange = 1;
                beginindexone = tryindex;
                outpermone = intrperm;
            end
        end
    end

end
end

```

```

end

for k = 1:kblock
    for insertpt = 1:(n+1)
        for nlimlow = 1:(n+1-k)

            intrperm = outpermone;

            if (nlimlow > insertpt)

                jtwo = 0;
                for j = insertpt:(insertpt+k-1)
                    intrperm(j) = outpermone(nlimlow+jtwo);
                    jtwo = jtwo + 1;
                end

                jone = 0;
                for j = (insertpt+k):(nlimlow+k-1);
                    intrperm(j) = outpermone(insertpt+jone);
                    jone = jone + 1;
                end

            elseif ((nlimlow+k) < insertpt)

                jtwo = 0;
                for j = (insertpt-k):(insertpt-1)
                    intrperm(j) = outpermone(nlimlow+jtwo);
                    jtwo = jtwo + 1;
                end

                jone = 0;
                for j = nlimlow:(insertpt-k-1)
                    intrperm(j) = outpermone(nlimlow+k+jone);
                    jone = jone + 1;
                end

            else

            end

            tryindex = sum(sum(proxone(intrperm,intrperm).*fitone));

            if(tryindex > (begindexone + 1.0e-008))
                nchange = 1;
            end
        end
    end
end

```

```

        beginindexone = tryindex;
        outpermone = intrperm;
    end

    end

    end
end

if (kblock > 1)

    for k = 2:kblock
        for nlimlow = 1:(n+1-k)

            intrperm = outpermone;

            for j = 1:k
                intrperm(nlimlow+j-1) = outpermone(nlimlow+k-j);
            end

            tryindex = sum(sum(proxone(intrperm,intrperm).*fitone));

            if(tryindex > (beginindexone + 1.0e-008))
                nchange = 1;
                beginindexone = tryindex;
                outpermone = intrperm;
            end

        end

    end

end
end
end

[fitone,vafone,coordone,addconone] = linfitac(proxone,outpermone);

fitonedim = fitone;

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n

        if(i ~= j)

            proxtwo(outpermone(i),outpermone(j)) = proxone(outpermone(i),outpermone(j)) - .

```

```

        fitonedim(i,j) + addconone;

    else
        proxtwo(outpermone(i),outpermone(j)) = 0.0;
    end
end
end
for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n
        if(i ~= j)
            proxtwo(outpermtwo(i),outpermtwo(j)) = ...
                proxtwo(outpermtwo(i),outpermtwo(j)) + fittwodim(i,j) - addcontwo;
        else

            end
        end
    end
end
end

if(nopt == 1)

    begindextwo = sum(sum(proxtwo(outpermtwo,outpermtwo).*fittwo));

    nchange = 1;

while (nchange == 1)

    nchange=0;

    for k = 1:(n-1)
        for j = (k+1):n

            intrperm = outpermtwo;

            intrperm(k) = outpermtwo(j);
            intrperm(j) = outpermtwo(k);

            tryindex = sum(sum(proxtwo(intrperm,intrperm).*fittwo));

            if(tryindex > (begindextwo + 1.0e-008))
                nchange = 1;
                begindextwo = tryindex;
                outpermtwo = intrperm;
            end
        end
    end

end
end

```

```

end

for k = 1:kblock
    for insertpt = 1:(n+1)
        for nlimlow = 1:(n+1-k)

            intrperm = outpermtwo;

            if (nlimlow > insertpt)

                jtwo = 0;
                for j = insertpt:(insertpt+k-1)
                    intrperm(j) = outpermtwo(nlimlow+jtwo);
                    jtwo = jtwo + 1;
                end

                jone = 0;
                for j = (insertpt+k):(nlimlow+k-1);
                    intrperm(j) = outpermtwo(insertpt+jone);
                    jone = jone + 1;
                end

            elseif ((nlimlow+k) < insertpt)

                jtwo = 0;
                for j = (insertpt-k):(insertpt-1)
                    intrperm(j) = outpermtwo(nlimlow+jtwo);
                    jtwo = jtwo + 1;
                end

                jone = 0;
                for j = nlimlow:(insertpt-k-1)
                    intrperm(j) = outpermtwo(nlimlow+k+jone);
                    jone = jone + 1;
                end

            else

            end

            tryindex = sum(sum(proxtwo(intrperm,intrperm).*fittwo));

            if(tryindex > (begindextwo + 1.0e-008))
                nchange = 1;
            end
        end
    end
end

```

```

        begindeftwo = tryindex;
        outpermtwo = intrperm;
    end

    end

    end
end

if (kblock > 1)

    for k = 2:kblock
        for nlimlow = 1:(n+1-k)

            intrperm = outpermtwo;

            for j = 1:k
                intrperm(nlimlow+j-1) = outpermtwo(nlimlow+k-j);
            end

            tryindex = sum(sum(proxtwo(intrperm,intrperm).*fittwo));

            if(tryindex > (begindeftwo + 1.0e-008))
                nchange = 1;
                begindeftwo = tryindex;
                outpermtwo = intrperm;
            end

        end

    end

end
end
end

[fittwo,vaftwo,coorftwo,addcontftwo] = linfitac(proxtwo,outpermtwo);

fittwodim = fittwo;

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n

        if(i ~= j)

            proxthree(outpermtwo(i),outpermtwo(j)) = proxtwo(outpermtwo(i),outpermtwo(j)) -
                fittwodim(i,j) + addcontftwo;

```



```

%TRISCALQA carries out a tridimensional scaling of a symmetric proximity
% matrix using iterative quadratic assignment.
% PROX is the input proximity matrix (with a zero main diagonal and a
% dissimilarity interpretation);
% TARGONE is the input target matrix for the first dimension (usually with
% a zero main diagonal and with a dissimilarity interpretation representing
% equally-spaced locations along a continuum); TARGTWO is the input target
% matrix for the second dimension; TARGTHREE is the input target matrix
% for the third dimension;
% INPERMONE is the input beginning permutation for the first dimension
% (a permutation of the first $n$ integers); INPERMTWO is the input beginning
% permutation for the second dimension; INPERMTHREE is the input beginning
% permutation for the third dimension;
% the insertion and rotation routines use from 1 to KBLOCK
% (which is less than or equal to $n-1$) consecutive objects in
% the permutation defining the row and column orders of the data matrix;
% NOPT controls the confirmatory or exploratory fitting of the unidimensional
% scales; a value of NOPT = 0 will fit in a confirmatory manner the three scales
% indicated by INPERMONE and INPERMTWO; a value of NOPT = 1 uses iterative QA
% to locate the better permutations to fit.
% OUTPERMONE is the final object permutation for the first dimension;
% OUTPERMTWO is the final object permutation for the second dimension;
% OUTPERMTHREE is the final object permutation for the third dimension;
% COORDONE is the set of first dimension coordinates in ascending order;
% COORDTWO is the set of second dimension coordinates in ascending order;
% COORDTHREE is the set of third dimension coordinates in ascending order;
% ADDCONONE is the additive constant for the first dimensional model;
% ADDCONTWO is the additive constant for the second dimensional model;
% ADDCONTHREE is the additive constant for the third dimensional model;
% VAF is the variance-accounted-for in PROX by the bidimensional scaling.

tic;
n = size(prox,1);
outpermone = inpermone;
outpermtwo = inpermtwo;
outpermthree = inpermthree;
coordone = zeros(n,1);
coordtwo = zeros(n,1);
coordthree = zeros(n,1);
fitone = targone;
fittwo = targtwo;
fitthree = targthree;

addconone = 0.0;

```

```

addcontwo = 0.0;
addconthree = 0.0;
fitonedim = zeros(n,n);
fittwodim = zeros(n,n);
fitthreedim = zeros(n,n);

proxone = prox;
proxtwo = zeros(n,n);
proxthree = zeros(n,n);
proxave = zeros(n,n);
aveprox = sum(sum(prox))/(n*(n-1));

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n
        if (i ~= j)
            proxave(i,j) = aveprox;
        else
            proxave(i,j) = 0.0;
        end
    end
end

vafdiff = 1.0;
vaf = 0.0;

while (vafdiff >= 1.0e-005)

vafprev = vaf;

if (nopt == 1)

beginindexone = sum(sum(proxone(outpermone,outpermone).*fitone));

    nchange = 1;

while (nchange == 1)

    nchange=0;

    for k = 1:(n-1)
        for j = (k+1):n

```

```

intrperm = outpermone;

intrperm(k) = outpermone(j);
intrperm(j) = outpermone(k);

tryindex = sum(sum(proxone(intrperm,intrperm).*fitone));

if(tryindex > (beginindexone + 1.0e-008))
    nchange = 1;
    beginindexone = tryindex;
    outpermone = intrperm;
end

end

end

for k = 1:kblock
    for insertpt = 1:(n+1)
        for nlimlow = 1:(n+1-k)

            intrperm = outpermone;

            if (nlimlow > insertpt)

                jtwo = 0;
                for j = insertpt:(insertpt+k-1)
                    intrperm(j) =outpermone(nlimlow+jtwo);
                    jtwo = jtwo + 1;
                end

                jone = 0;
                for j = (insertpt+k):(nlimlow+k-1);
                    intrperm(j) = outpermone(insertpt+jone);
                    jone = jone + 1;
                end

            elseif ((nlimlow+k) < insertpt)

                jtwo = 0;
                for j = (insertpt-k):(insertpt-1)
                    intrperm(j) = outpermone(nlimlow+jtwo);
                    jtwo = jtwo + 1;
                end

            end
        end
    end
end

```

```

        jone = 0;
        for j = nlimlow:(insertpt-k-1)
            intrperm(j) = outpermone(nlimlow+k+jone);
            jone = jone + 1;
        end

    else

    end

    tryindex = sum(sum(proxone(intrperm,intrperm).*fitone));

    if(tryindex > (begindexone + 1.0e-008))
        nchange = 1;
        begindexone = tryindex;
        outpermone = intrperm;
    end

    end

    end

    end

end

if (kblock > 1)

    for k = 2:kblock
        for nlimlow = 1:(n+1-k)

            intrperm = outpermone;

            for j = 1:k
                intrperm(nlimlow+j-1) = outpermone(nlimlow+k-j);
            end

            tryindex = sum(sum(proxone(intrperm,intrperm).*fitone));

            if(tryindex > (begindexone + 1.0e-008))
                nchange = 1;
                begindexone = tryindex;
                outpermone = intrperm;
            end

            end

            end

        end

    end

end

```

```

end
end

[fitone,vafone,coordone,addconone] = linfitac(proxone,outpermone);

fitonedim = fitone;

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n

        if(i ~= j)

            proxtwo(outpermone(i),outpermone(j)) = proxone(outpermone(i),outpermone(j)) - .
                fitonedim(i,j) + addconone;

            else
                proxtwo(outpermone(i),outpermone(j)) = 0.0;
            end
        end
    end
end

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n
        if(i ~= j)
            proxtwo(outpermtwo(i),outpermtwo(j)) = ...
                proxtwo(outpermtwo(i),outpermtwo(j)) + fittwodim(i,j) - addcontwo;
        else

            end
        end
    end
end

if (nopt == 1)

    begindeftwo = sum(sum(proxtwo(outpermtwo,outpermtwo).*fittwo));

    nchange = 1;

while (nchange == 1)

    nchange=0;

    for k = 1:(n-1)
        for j = (k+1):n

            intrperm = outpermtwo;

```

```

intrperm(k) = outpermtwo(j);
intrperm(j) = outpermtwo(k);

tryindex = sum(sum(proxtwo(intrperm,intrperm).*fittwo));

if(tryindex > (begindeftwo + 1.0e-008))
    nchange = 1;
    begindeftwo = tryindex;
    outpermtwo = intrperm;
end
end

end

end

for k = 1:kblock
    for insertpt = 1:(n+1)
        for nlimlow = 1:(n+1-k)

            intrperm = outpermtwo;

            if (nlimlow > insertpt)

                jtwo = 0;
                for j = insertpt:(insertpt+k-1)
                    intrperm(j) =outpermtwo(nlimlow+jtwo);
                    jtwo = jtwo + 1;
                end

                jone = 0;
                for j = (insertpt+k):(nlimlow+k-1);
                    intrperm(j) = outpermtwo(insertpt+jone);
                    jone = jone + 1;
                end

            elseif ((nlimlow+k) < insertpt)

                jtwo = 0;
                for j = (insertpt-k):(insertpt-1)
                    intrperm(j) = outpermtwo(nlimlow+jtwo);
                    jtwo = jtwo + 1;
                end

                jone = 0;

```

```

        for j = nlimlow:(insertpt-k-1)
            intrperm(j) = outpermtwo(nlimlow+k+jone);
            jone = jone + 1;
        end

    else

    end

    tryindex = sum(sum(proxtwo(intrperm,intrperm).*fittwo));

    if(tryindex > (begindextwo + 1.0e-008))
        nchange = 1;
        begindextwo = tryindex;
        outpermtwo = intrperm;
    end

    end

    end
end

if (kblock > 1)

    for k = 2:kblock
        for nlimlow = 1:(n+1-k)

            intrperm = outpermtwo;

            for j = 1:k
                intrperm(nlimlow+j-1) = outpermtwo(nlimlow+k-j);
            end

            tryindex = sum(sum(proxtwo(intrperm,intrperm).*fittwo));

            if(tryindex > (begindextwo + 1.0e-008))
                nchange = 1;
                begindextwo = tryindex;
                outpermtwo = intrperm;
            end

            end

        end

    end
end
end
end

```



```

end

[fittwo,vaftwo,coordtwo,addcontwo] = linfitac(proxtwo,outpermtwo);

fittwodim = fittwo;

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n

        if(i ~= j)

            proxthree(outpermtwo(i),outpermtwo(j)) = proxtwo(outpermtwo(i),outpermtwo(j)) -
                fittwodim(i,j) + addcontwo;

            else
                proxthree(outpermtwo(i),outpermtwo(j)) = 0.0;
            end
        end
    end
end

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n
        if(i ~= j)
            proxthree(outpermthree(i),outpermthree(j)) = ...
                proxthree(outpermthree(i),outpermthree(j)) + fitthreedim(i,j) - addconthree
            else
                end
            end
        end
    end
end

if (nopt == 1)

    beginindexthree = sum(sum(proxthree(outpermthree,outpermthree).*fitthree));

    nchange = 1;

while (nchange == 1)

    nchange=0;

    for k = 1:(n-1)
        for j = (k+1):n

            intrperm = outpermthree;

```

```

intrperm(k) = outpermthree(j);
intrperm(j) = outpermthree(k);

tryindex = sum(sum(proxthree(intrperm,intrperm).*fitthree));

if(tryindex > (beginindexthree + 1.0e-008))
    nchange = 1;
    beginindexthree = tryindex;
    outpermthree = intrperm;
end
end

end
end

for k = 1:kblock
    for insertpt = 1:(n+1)
        for nlimlow = 1:(n+1-k)

            intrperm = outpermthree;

            if (nlimlow > insertpt)

                jtwo = 0;
                for j = insertpt:(insertpt+k-1)
                    intrperm(j) =outpermthree(nlimlow+jtwo);
                    jtwo = jtwo + 1;
                end

                jone = 0;
                for j = (insertpt+k):(nlimlow+k-1);
                    intrperm(j) = outpermthree(insertpt+jone);
                    jone = jone + 1;
                end

            elseif ((nlimlow+k) < insertpt)

                jtwo = 0;
                for j = (insertpt-k):(insertpt-1)
                    intrperm(j) = outpermthree(nlimlow+jtwo);
                    jtwo = jtwo + 1;
                end

                jone = 0;

```

```

        for j = nlimlow:(insertpt-k-1)
            intrperm(j) = outpermthree(nlimlow+k+jone);
            jone = jone + 1;
        end

    else

    end

    tryindex = sum(sum(proxthree(intrperm,intrperm).*fitthree));

    if(tryindex > (begindexthree + 1.0e-008))
        nchange = 1;
        begindexthree = tryindex;
        outpermthree = intrperm;
    end

    end

    end

    end

if (kblock > 1)

    for k = 2:kblock
        for nlimlow = 1:(n+1-k)

            intrperm = outpermthree;

            for j = 1:k
                intrperm(nlimlow+j-1) = outpermthree(nlimlow+k-j);
            end

            tryindex = sum(sum(proxthree(intrperm,intrperm).*fitthree));

            if(tryindex > (begindexthree + 1.0e-008))
                nchange = 1;
                begindexthree = tryindex;
                outpermthree = intrperm;
            end

            end

            end

        end

    end

end

```

```

end

[fitthree,vafthree,coordthree,addconthree] = linfitac(proxthree,outpermthree);

fitthreedim = fitthree;

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n

        if(i ~= j)

            proxfour(outpermthree(i),outpermthree(j)) = proxthree(outpermthree(i), ...
                outpermthree(j)) - fitthreedim(i,j) + addconthree;

        else
            proxfour(outpermthree(i),outpermthree(j)) = 0.0;
        end
    end
end

diff = sum(sum(proxfour.^2));
denom = sum(sum((prox-proxave).^2));
vaf = 1 - (diff/denom);

vafdifff = abs(vaf-vafprev);

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n

        if (i ~= j)

            proxone(outpermone(i),outpermone(j)) = fitone(i,j) - addconone + ...
                proxfour(outpermone(i),outpermone(j));
            end

            if (i == j)

                proxone(outpermone(i),outpermone(j)) = 0.0;

            end
        end
    end
end

```

end

toc

A.11 bimonscalqa.m

```
function [outpermone,outpermtwo,coordone,coordtwo,fitone,fittwo,addconone, ...  
        addcontwo,vaf,monprox] = ...  
bimonscalqa(prox,targone,targtwo,inpermone,inpermtwo,kblock,nopt)
```

```
%BIMONCALQA carries out a bidimensional scaling of a symmetric proximity  
% matrix using iterative quadratic assignment, plus it provides an  
% optimal monotonic transformation (MONPROX) of the original input  
% proximity matrix.  
% PROX is the input proximity matrix (with a zero main diagonal and a  
% dissimilarity interpretation);  
% TARGONE is the input target matrix for the first dimension (usually with  
% a zero main diagonal and with a dissimilarity interpretation representing  
% equally-spaced locations along a continuum); TARGTWO is the input target  
% matrix for the second dimension;  
% INPERMONE is the input beginning permutation for the first dimension  
% (a permutation of the first $n$ integers); INPERMTWO is the input beginning  
% permutation for the second dimension;  
% the insertion and rotation routines use from 1 to KBLOCK  
% (which is less than or equal to $n-1$) consecutive objects in  
% the permutation defining the row and column orders of the data matrix;  
% NOPT controls the confirmatory or exploratory fitting of the unidimensional  
% scales; a value of NOPT = 0 will fit in a confirmatory manner the two scales  
% indicated by INPERMONE and INPERMTWO; a value of NOPT = 1 uses iterative QA  
% to locate the better permutations to fit;  
% OUTPERMONE is the final object permutation for the first dimension;  
% OUTPERMTWO is the final object permutation for the second dimension;  
% COORDONE is the set of first dimension coordinates in ascending order;  
% COORDTWO is the set of second dimension coordinates in ascending order;  
% ADDCONONE is the additive constant for the first dimensional model;  
% ADDCONTWO is the additive constant for the second dimensional model;  
% VAF is the variance-accounted-for in MONPROX by the bidimensional scaling.
```

```
[outpermone,outpermtwo,coordone,coordtwo,fitone,fittwo,addconone,addcontwo,vaf] = ...  
biscalqa(prox,targone,targtwo,inpermone,inpermtwo,kblock,nopt)
```

```

n = size(prox,1);
sumproxsq = sum(sum(prox.^2));
vafdiff = 1.0;
vaf = 0.0;

while (vafdiff >= 1.0e-005)

    vafprev = vaf;

    fit = zeros(n,n);

    for i = 1:n
        for j = 1:n
            if(i ~= j)
                fit(outpermone(i),outpermone(j)) = fitone(i,j) - addconone;
            end
        end
    end

    for i = 1:n
        for j = 1:n
            if(i ~= j)
                fit(outpermtwo(i),outpermtwo(j)) = fit(outpermtwo(i),outpermtwo(j)) + ...
                    fittwo(i,j) -addcontwo;
            end
        end
    end

    [monprox vaf diff] = proxmon(prox,fit);

summonnewsq = sum(sum(monprox.^2));
monprox = sqrt(sumproxsq)*(monprox/sqrt(summonnewsq));

targone = fitone;
targtwo = fittwo;
inpermone = outpermone;
inpermtwo = outpermtwo;

[outpermone,outpermtwo,coordone,coordtwo,fitone,fittwo,addconone, ...
    addcontwo,vaf] = ...
biscalqa(monprox,targone,targtwo,inpermone,inpermtwo,kblock,nopt);

```

```
vafdifff = abs(vaf - vafprev);
```

```
end
```

A.12 trimonscalqa.m

```
function [outpermone,outpermtwo,outpermthree,coordone,coor dtwo,coordthree, ...  
    fitone,fittwo,fitthree,addconone,addcontwo,addconthree,vaf,monprox] = ...  
trimonscalqa(prox,targone,targtwo,targthree,inpermone,inpermtwo, ...  
inpermthree,kblock,nopt)
```

```
%TRIMONSCALQA carries out a tridimensional scaling of a symmetric proximity  
% matrix using iterative quadratic assignment, plus it provides an  
% optimal monotonic transformation (MONPROX) of the original input  
% proximity matrix.  
% PROX is the input proximity matrix (with a zero main diagonal and a  
% dissimilarity interpretation);  
% TARGONE is the input target matrix for the first dimension (usually with  
% a zero main diagonal and with a dissimilarity interpretation representing  
% equally-spaced locations along a continuum); TARGTWO is the input target  
% matrix for the second dimension; TARGTHREE is the input target matrix  
% for the third dimension;  
% INPERMONE is the input beginning permutation for the first dimension  
% (a permutation of the first $n$ integers); INPERMTWO is the input beginning  
% permutation for the second dimension; INPERMTHREE is the input  
% beginning permutation for the third dimension;  
% the insertion and rotation routines use from 1 to KBLOCK  
% (which is less than or equal to $n-1$) consecutive objects in  
% the permutation defining the row and column orders of the data matrix;  
% NOPT controls the confirmatory or exploratory fitting of the unidimensional  
% scales; a value of NOPT = 0 will fit in a confirmatory manner the two scales  
% indicated by INPERMONE and INPERMTWO; a value of NOPT = 1 uses iterative QA  
% to locate the better permutations to fit;  
% OUTPERMONE is the final object permutation for the first dimension;  
% OUTPERMTWO is the final object permutation for the second dimension;  
% OUTPERMTHREE is the final object permutation for the third dimension;  
% COORDONE is the set of first dimension coordinates in ascending order;  
% COORDTWO is the set of second dimension coordinates in ascending order;  
% COORDTHREE is the set of second dimension coordinates in ascending order;  
% ADDCONONE is the additive constant for the first dimensional model;  
% ADDCONTWO is the additive constant for the second dimensional model;  
% ADDCONTHREE is the additive constant for the second dimensional model;
```

```
% VAF is the variance-accounted-for in MONPROX by the tridimensional scaling.
```

```
[outpermone,outpermtwo,outpermthree,coordone,coordtwo,coordthree,fitone,fittwo, ...  
    fitthree,addconone,addcontwo,addconthree,vaf] = ...  
triscalqa(prox,targone,targtwo,targthree,inpermone,inpermtwo,inpermthree, ...  
kblock,nopt)
```

```
n = size(prox,1);  
sumproxsq = sum(sum(prox.^2));  
vafdiff = 1.0;  
vaf = 0.0;
```

```
while (vafdiff >= 1.0e-005)
```

```
    vafprev = vaf;
```

```
    fit = zeros(n,n);
```

```
    for i = 1:n
```

```
        for j = 1:n
```

```
            if(i ~= j)
```

```
                fit(outpermone(i),outpermone(j)) = fitone(i,j) - addconone;
```

```
            end
```

```
        end
```

```
    end
```

```
    for i = 1:n
```

```
        for j = 1:n
```

```
            if(i ~= j)
```

```
                fit(outpermtwo(i),outpermtwo(j)) = fit(outpermtwo(i),outpermtwo(j)) + ...  
                    fittwo(i,j) -addcontwo;
```

```
            end
```

```
        end
```

```
    end
```

```
    for i = 1:n
```

```
        for j = 1:n
```

```
            if(i ~= j)
```

```
                fit(outpermthree(i),outpermthree(j)) = fit(outpermthree(i),outpermthree(j)) + ...  
                    fitthree(i,j) -addconthree;
```

```
            end
```

```
        end
```

```
    end
```



```

[monprox vaf diff] = proxmon(prox,fit);

summonnewsq = sum(sum(monprox.^2));
monprox = sqrt(sumproxsq)*(monprox/sqrt(summonnewsq));

targone = fitone;
targtwo = fittwo;
targthree = fitthree;
inpermone = outpermone;
inpermtwo = outpermtwo;
inpermthree = outpermthree;

[outpermone,outpermtwo,outpermthree,coordone,coordtwo,coordthree, ...
    fitone,fittwo,fitthree,addconone,addcontwo,addconthree,vaf] = ...
triscalqa(monprox,targone,targtwo,targthree,inpermone,inpermtwo, ...
inpermthree,kblock,nopt)

vafdiff = abs(vaf - vafprev);

end

```

A.13 linfitl1.m

```

function [fit,diff,coord,exitflag] = ...
    linfitl1(prox,inperm)

%LINFITL1 does a confirmatory fitting in the  $L_{1}$  norm of a given unidimensional
% order using linear programming.
% INPERM is the given order; FIT is an  $n \times n$  matrix that is fitted to
%  $\text{PROX}(\text{INPERM},\text{INPERM})$  with  $L_{1}$  value DIFF; COORD gives the ordered coordinates
% whose absolute differences could be used to reconstruct FIT.
% EXITFLAG indicates the success of the optimization ( > 0 indicates convergence;
% 0 indicates that the maximum number of function evaluations or iterations were
% reached; and < 0 denotes nonconvergence).

n=size(prox,1);
coord = zeros(n,1);

```

```

proxmat = prox(inperm,inperm);
nch2 = n*(n-1)/2;
xlength = 3*nch2 + n;
aequal = zeros(2*nch2+1,xlength);

index = 0;
for i = 2:n
    for j = 1:(i-1)
        index = index + 1;
        aequal(index,index+n) = -1;
        aequal(index,index+n+nch2) = 1;
        aequal(index,index+n+2*nch2) = 1;
        aequal(index+nch2,i) = -1;
        aequal(index+nch2,j) = 1;
        aequal(index+nch2,index+n+2*nch2) = 1;
    end
end

for i = 1:n
    aequal(2*nch2+1,i) = 1;
end

bconst = zeros(2*nch2+1,1);

index = 0;
for i = 2:n
    for j = 1:(i-1)
        index = index +1;
        bconst(index) = proxmat(i,j);
        bconst(index+nch2) = 0;
    end
end

bconst(2*nch2+1) = 0;

for i = 1:xlength
    lbound(i) = -inf;
    if (i > n )
        lbound(i) = 0;
    end
end

fweight = zeros(xlength,1);

for i = 1:xlength

```

```

        if((i > n) & (i < (2*nch2+n+1)))
            fweight(i) = 1;
        end
    end
end

options = optimset('LargeScale','off');
[xend,diff,exitflag,output] = ...
linprog(fweight,[],[],aequal,bconst,lbound,[],[],options);

for i = 1:n
    coord(i) = xend(i);
end

dev = 0;
fit = zeros(n,n);
for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n
        if (i ~= j)
            fit(i,j) = abs(coord(i) - coord(j));
            dev = dev + abs(proxmat(i,j) - fit(i,j));
        end
    end
end

if (exitflag <= 0)
    exitflag
end

```

A.14 linfitl1ac.m

```

function [fit,dev,coord,addcon,exitflag] = ...
    linfitl1ac(prox,inperm)

%LINFITL1AC does a confirmatory fitting in the  $L_{1}$  norm of a given unidimensional
% order using linear programming, with the estimation of
% an additive constant (ADDCON).
% INPERM is the given order; FIT is an  $n \times n$  matrix that is fitted to
% PROX(INPERM,INPERM) with deviance DEV; COORD gives the ordered coordinates
% whose absolute differences could be used to reconstruct FIT.
% EXITFLAG indicates the success of the optimization ( > 0 indicates convergence;
% 0 indicates that the maximum number of function evaluations or iterations were
% reached; and < 0 denotes nonconvergence).

```

```

n=size(prox,1);
coord = zeros(n,1);
proxmat = prox(inperm,inperm);
nch2 = n*(n-1)/2;
xlength = 3*nch2 + n + 1;
aequal = zeros(2*nch2+1,xlength);
proxvec = zeros(nch2,1);

index = 0;
for i = 2:n
    for j = 1:(i-1)
        index = index + 1;
        proxvec(index) = prox(i,j);
    end
end

medprox = median(proxvec);

index = 0;
for i = 2:n
    for j = 1:(i-1)
        index = index + 1;
        aequal(index,index+n) = -1;
        aequal(index,index+n+nch2) = 1;
        aequal(index,index+n+2*nch2) = 1;
        aequal(index,xlength) = -1;
        aequal(index+nch2,i) = -1;
        aequal(index+nch2,j) = 1;
        aequal(index+nch2,index+n+2*nch2) = 1;
    end
end

for i = 1:n
    aequal(2*nch2+1,i) = 1;
end

bconst = zeros(2*nch2+1,1);

index = 0;
for i = 2:n
    for j = 1:(i-1)

```

```

        index = index +1;
        bconst(index) = proxmat(i,j);
        bconst(index+nch2) = 0;
    end
end

bconst(2*nch2+1) = 0;

for i = 1:(xlength - 1)
    lbound(i) = -inf;
    if (i > n )
        lbound(i) = 0;
    end
end

lbound(xlength) = -inf;

fweight = zeros(xlength,1);

for i = 1:xlength
    if((i > n) & (i < (2*nch2+n+1)))
        fweight(i) = 1;
    end
end

options = optimset('LargeScale','off');
[xend,diff,exitflag,output] = ...
linprog(fweight, [], [], aequal,bconst,lbound, [], [], options);

for i = 1:n
    coord(i) = xend(i);
end

devnum = 0;
devdem = 0;
fit = zeros(n,n);
addcon = xend(xlength);

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n
        if (i ~= j)
            fit(i,j) = abs(coord(i) - coord(j)) - addcon;
        end
    end
end

```

```

                devnum = devnum + abs(proxmat(i,j) - fit(i,j));
                devdem = devdem + abs(proxmat(i,j) - medprox);
            end
        end
    end

dev = 1 - (devnum/devdem);
if (exitflag <= 0)
    exitflag
end

```

A.15 uniscallp.m

```
function [outperm,coord,dev,fit,addcon] = uniscallpac(prox,inperm)
```

```

%UNISCALLPAC carries out a unidimensional scaling of a symmetric proximity
% matrix using iterative linear programming, with the inclusion of an
% additive constant (ADDCON) in the model.
% PROX is the input proximity matrix (with a zero main diagonal and a
% dissimilarity interpretation);
% INPERM is the input beginning permutation (a permutation of the first $n$ integers).
% OUTPERM is the final permutation of PROX.
% COORD is the set of coordinates of the unidimensional scaling
% in ascending order;
% DEV is the value of deviance (the normalized $L_{1}$ loss function) for the
% coordinates and object permutation; and FIT is the matrix being fit to
% PROX(OUTPERM,OUTPERM) with the given deviance.
tic;
n = size(prox,1);
outperm = inperm;

[fit begindex coord addcon exitflag] = linfitl1ac(prox,inperm);

nchange = 1;

while (nchange == 1)

    nchange = 0;

    for k = 1:(n-1)

```

```

    for j = (k+1):n

        intrperm = outperm;
        intrperm(k) = outperm(j);
        intrperm(j) = outperm(k);

        [fit tryindex coord addcon exitflag] = linfitl1ac(prox,intrperm);

        if ((tryindex > (beginindex + 1.0e-008)) & (exitflag > 0))

            nchange = 1;
            beginindex = tryindex;
            outperm = intrperm;
        end
    end
end
end

[fit dev coord addcon exitflag] = linfitl1ac(prox,outperm);
toc

```

A.16 uniscallpac.m

```

function [outperm,coord,dev,fit,addcon] = uniscallpac(prox,inperm)

%UNISCALLPAC carries out a unidimensional scaling of a symmetric proximity
% matrix using iterative linear programming, with the inclusion of an
% additive constant (ADDCON) in the model.
% PROX is the input proximity matrix (with a zero main diagonal and a
% dissimilarity interpretation);
% INPERM is the input beginning permutation (a permutation of the first $n$ integers).
% OUTPERM is the final permutation of PROX.
% COORD is the set of coordinates of the unidimensional scaling
% in ascending order;
% DEV is the value of deviance (the normalized l1 loss function) for the
% coordinates and object permutation; and FIT is the matrix of absolute
% coordinate differences being fit to PROX(OUTPERM,OUTPERM).
tic;
n = size(prox,1);
outperm = inperm;

```

```

[fit begindex coord addcon exitflag] = linfit1lac(prox,inperm);

nchange = 1;

while (nchange == 1)

    nchange = 0;

    for k = 1:(n-1)
        for j = (k+1):n

            intrperm = outperm;
            intrperm(k) = outperm(j);
            intrperm(j) = outperm(k);

            [fit tryindex coord addcon exitflag] = linfit1lac(prox,intrperm);

            if ((tryindex > (begindex + 1.0e-008)) & (exitflag > 0))

                nchange = 1;
                begindex = tryindex;
                outperm = intrperm;
            end
        end
    end

    [fit dev coord addcon exitflag] = linfit1lac(prox,outperm);
toc

```

A.17 biscallp.m

```

function [outpermone,outpermtwo,coordone,coordtwo,fitone,fittwo,addconone,addcontwo,dev] =
biscallp(prox,inpermone,inpermtwo,nopt)

```

```

%BISCALLP carries out a bidimensional scaling of a symmetric proximity
% matrix using iterative linear programming.

```



```

% PROX is the input proximity matrix (with a zero main diagonal and a
% dissimilarity interpretation);
% INPERMONE is the input beginning permutation for the first dimension
% (a permutation of the first $n$ integers); INPERMTWO is the input beginning
% permutation for the second dimension;
% NOPT controls the confirmatory or exploratory fitting of the unidimensional
% scales; a value of NOPT = 0 will fit in a confirmatory manner the two scales
% indicated by INPERMONE and INPERMTWO; a value of NOPT = 1 uses iterative LP
% to locate the better permutations to fit;
% OUTPERMONE is the final object permutation for the first dimension;
% OUTPERMTWO is the final object permutation for the second dimension;
% COORDONE is the set of first dimension coordinates in ascending order;
% COORDTWO is the set of second dimension coordinates in ascending order;
% ADDCONONE is the additive constant for the first dimensional model;
% ADDCONTWO is the additive constant for the second dimensional model;
% DEV is the variance-accounted-for in PROX by the bidimensional scaling.

```

```

tic;
n = size(prox,1);
outpermone = inpermone;
outpermtwo = inpermtwo;
coordone = zeros(n,1);
coordtwo = zeros(n,1);
fitone = zeros(n,n);
fittwo = zeros(n,n);

addconone = 0.0;
addcontwo = 0.0;
fitonedim = zeros(n,n);
fittwodim = zeros(n,n);

proxone = prox;
proxtwo = zeros(n,n);
proxmed = zeros(n,n);

nch2 = n*(n-1)/2;
proxvec = zeros(nch2,1);

index = 0;

for i = 2:n
    for j = 1:(i-1)

```

```

        index = index + 1;
        proxvec(index) = prox(i,j);
    end
end
medprox = median(proxvec);

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n
        if (i ~= j)
            proxmed(i,j) = medprox;
        else
            proxmed(i,j) = 0.0;
        end
    end
end

devdiff = 1.0;
dev = 0.0;

while (devdiff >= 1.0e-005)

    devprev = dev;

    if (nopt == 1)
        [outperm, coordone, devone, fitone, addconone] = ...
            uniscallpac(proxone, outpermone);
        outpermone = outperm;
    end

    if (nopt == 0)
        [fitone, devone, coordone, addconone, exitflag] = linfitllac(proxone, outpermone);
    end

    fitonedim = fitone;

    for i = 1:n
        for j = 1:n

            if(i ~= j)

```

```

        proxtwo(outpermone(i),outpermone(j)) = proxone(outpermone(i),outpermone(j)) - .
            fitonedim(i,j);

    else
        proxtwo(outpermone(i),outpermone(j)) = 0.0;
    end
end
end
for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n
        if(i ~= j)
            proxtwo(outpermtwo(i),outpermtwo(j)) = ...
                proxtwo(outpermtwo(i),outpermtwo(j)) + fittwodim(i,j);
        else
            end
        end
    end
end

if (nopt == 1)
    [outperm,coordtwo,devtwo,fittwo,addcontwo] = ...
        uniscallpac(proxtwo,outpermtwo);
    outpermtwo = outperm;
end

if (nopt == 0)
    [fittwo,devtwo,coordtwo,addcontwo,exitflag] = linfitl1ac(proxtwo,outpermtwo);
end

fittwodim = fittwo;

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n

        if(i ~= j)

            proxthree(outpermtwo(i),outpermtwo(j)) = proxtwo(outpermtwo(i),outpermtwo(j)) -
                fittwodim(i,j);

        else
            proxthree(outpermtwo(i),outpermtwo(j)) = 0.0;
        end
    end
end

```

```

end

diff = sum(sum(abs(proxthree)));
denom = sum(sum(abs(prox-proxmed)));
dev = 1 - (diff/denom)

devdiff = abs(dev-devprev);

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n

        if (i ~= j)

            proxone(outpermone(i),outpermone(j)) = fitone(i,j) + ...
                proxthree(outpermone(i),outpermone(j));
            end

            if (i == j)

                proxone(outpermone(i),outpermone(j)) = 0.0;

            end

        end
    end
end

end

toc

```

Appendix B

utility program files

B.1 ransymat.m

```
function [prox, targlin, targcir] = ransymat(n)

% RANSYMAT produces a random symmetric proximity matrix of size
% $n \times n$, plus two fixed patterned symmetric proximity
% matrices, all with zero main diagonals.

% The size of all the generated matrices is n.
% PROX is symmetric with a zero main diagonal and entries uniform
% between 0 and 1.
% TARGLIN contains distances between equally and unit-spaced positions
% along a line: targlin(i,j) = abs(i-j).
% TARGCIR contains distances between equally and unit-spaced positions
% along a circle: targcir(i,j) = min(abs(i-j),n-abs(i-j)).

prox = zeros(n,n);
targlin = zeros(n,n);
targcir = zeros(n,n);
for i = 1:n-1
    for j = (i+1):n
        prox(i,j) = rand;
        prox(j,i) = prox(i,j);
        targlin(i,j) = abs(i-j);
        targlin(j,i) = targlin(i,j);
        targcir(i,j) = min(abs(i-j),n-abs(i-j));
        targcir(j,i) = targcir(i,j);
    end
end
end
```

B.2 A GAUSS procedure corresponding to uniscaldp.m

```
proc (4) = uniscaldp(prox);

local bigneg,nsum,valstore,idxstore,sub,subcomp,coord,permut,cumobfun;
local rowsum,index,mtc,nfirst,m2,nh,idxcomp,jone,sum,ione,incre,temp;
local idxtmp,comp,lastint,diff,jj,nk,n,et;

et = hsec;

n = rows(prox);
bigneg = -1.0e+20;
nsum = (2^n) - 1;
valstore = ones(nsum,1)*bigneg;
idxstore = zeros(nsum,1);
sub = zeros(n,1);
subcomp = zeros(n,1);
coord = zeros(n,1);
permut = zeros(n,1);
cumobfun = zeros(n,1);

for i (1,n,1);
    rowsum = 0;
    for j (1,n,1);
        rowsum = rowsum + prox[i,j];
    endfor;
    index = 2^(i-1);
    idxstore[index] = i;
    valstore[index] = rowsum^2;
endfor;

mtc = 0;
for k (1,n-1,1);
    nfirst = 0;
    if mtc == 0;
        m2 = 0;
        nh = k;
        for j (1,nh,1);
            sub[k+j-nh] = m2 + j;
        endfor;
    endfor;
```

```

    if sub[1] /= (n-k+1);
        mtc = 1;
    endif;
endif;

do while mtc == 1;
    if nfirst == 1;
        if m2 < (n-nh);
            nh = 0;
        endif;
        nh = nh + 1;
        m2 = sub[k+1-nh];
        for j (1,nh,1);
            sub[k+j-nh] = m2 + j;
        endfor;
        if sub[1] /= (n-k+1);
            mtc = 1;
        else;
            mtc = 0;
        endif;
    endif;

    if nfirst == 0;
        nfirst = 1;
    endif;
    index = 0;
    for i (1,k,1);
        index = index + 2^(sub[i]-1);
    endfor;

    jj = 1;
    subcomp = zeros(n,1);
    for i (1,n,1);
        idxcomp = 1;
        for j (1,k,1);
            if sub[j] == i;
                idxcomp = 0;
            endif;
        endfor;
        if idxcomp == 1;
            subcomp[jj] = i;
            jj = jj + 1;
        endif;
    endfor;
endfor;

```

```

nk = n - k;
for jj (1,nk,1);
    jone = subcomp[jj];
    sum = 0.0;
    for i (1,k,1);
        ione = sub[i];
        sum = sum + prox[jone,ione];
    endfor;
    for i (1,nk,1);
        ione = subcomp[i];
        if ione /= jone;
            sum = sum - prox[jone,ione];
        endif;
    endfor;

    incre = sum^2;
    temp = valstore[index] + incre;
    idxtmp = index + 2^(jone-1);
    comp = valstore[idxtmp];
    if temp > comp;
        valstore[idxtmp] = temp;
        idxstore[idxtmp] = jone;
    endif;
endfor;
endo;
endfor;

permut[n] = idxstore[nsum];
cumobfun[n] = valstore[nsum];
index = nsum;
lastint = permut[n];
for i (1,n-1,1);
    index = index - (2^(lastint-1));
    lastint = idxstore[index];
    permut[n-i] = lastint;
    cumobfun[n-i] = valstore[index];
endfor;

for i (1,n,1);
    for j (1,n,1);
        if i > j;
            coord[i] = coord[i] + prox[permut[i],permut[j]];
        endif;
        if i < j;
            coord[i] = coord[i] - prox[permut[i],permut[j]];
        endif;
    endfor;
endfor;

```



```

        endif;
    endfor;
    coord[i] = coord[i]/n;
endfor;

diff = 0;
for i (1,n-1,1);
    for j (i+1,n,1);
        diff = diff + (prox[permut[i],permut[j]] - abs(coord[i] - coord[j]))^2;
    endfor;
endfor;

et = hsec - et;
print et;

retp(coord,permut,cumobfun,diff);

endp;

```

B.3 Iterative improvement Quadratic Assignment procedures

B.3.1 pairwiseqa.m

```

function [outperm, rawindex, allperms, index] = ...
    pairwiseqa(prox, targ, inperm)

% PAIRWISEQA carries out an iterative Quadratic Assignment maximization task using the
% pairwise interchanges of objects in the permutation defining the row and column
% order of the data matrix.
% INPERM is the input beginning permutation (a permutation of the first $n$ integers).
% PROX is the $n \times n$ input proximity matrix.
% TARG is the $n \times n$ input target matrix.
% OUTPERM is the final permutation of PROX with the cross-product index RAWINDEX
% with respect to TARG.
% ALLPERMS is a cell array containing INDEX entries corresponding to all the
% permutations identified in the optimization from ALLPERMS{1} = INPERM to
% ALLPERMS{INDEX} = OUTPERM.

tic;
beginindex = sum(sum(prox(inperm,inperm).*targ));

```

```

outperm = inperm;
nchange = 1;
n = length(inperm);
index = 1;
allperms{index} = inperm;

while (nchange == 1)

    nchange=0;

    for k = 1:(n-1)
        for j = (k+1):n

            intrperm = outperm;

            intrperm(k) = outperm(j);
            intrperm(j) = outperm(k);

            tryindex = sum(sum(prox(intrperm,intrperm).*targ));

            if(tryindex > (beginindex + 1.0e-008))
                nchange = 1;
                beginindex = tryindex;
                outperm = intrperm;
                index = index + 1;
                allperms{index} = intrperm;
            end

        end
    end
end

rawindex = beginindex;
toc

```

B.3.2 rotateqa.m

```

function [outperm, rawindex, allperms, index] = ...
    rotateqa (prox, targ, inperm, kblock)

% ROTATEQA carries out a Quadratic Assignment maximization task using the
% rotation of from 2 to KBLOCK
% (which is less than or equal to $n-1$) consecutive objects in

```

```

% the permutation defining the row and column order of the data matrix.
% INPERM is the input beginning permutation (a permutation of the first $n$ integers).
% PROX is the $n \times n$ input proximity matrix.
% TARG is the $n \times n$ input target matrix.
% OUTPERM is the final permutation of PROX with the cross-product index RAWINDEX
% with respect to TARG.
% ALLPERMS is a cell array containing INDEX entries corresponding to all the
% permutations identified in the optimization from ALLPERMS{1} = INPERM to
% ALLPERMS{INDEX} = OUTPERM.

tic;
beginindex = sum(sum(prox(inperm,inperm).*targ));
outperm = inperm;
nchange = 1;
n = length(inperm);
index = 1;
allperms{index} = inperm;

while (nchange == 1)

    nchange=0;

    for k = 2:kblock
        for nlimlow = 1:(n+1-k)

            intrperm = outperm;

            for j = 1:k
                intrperm(nlimlow+j-1) = outperm(nlimlow+k-j);
            end

            tryindex = sum(sum(prox(intrperm,intrperm).*targ));

            if(tryindex > (beginindex + 1.0e-008))
                nchange = 1;
                beginindex = tryindex;
                outperm = intrperm;
                index = index + 1;
                allperms{index} = intrperm;
            end

        end
    end
end
end
end

```

```
rawindex = begindex;
toc
```

B.3.3 insertqa.m

```
function [outperm, rawindex, allperms, index] = ...
    insertqa(prox, targ, inperm, kblock)

% INSERTQA carries out an iterative Quadratic Assignment maximization task using the
% insertion of from 1 to KBLOCK
% (which is less than or equal to $n-1$) consecutive objects in
% the permutation defining the row and column order of the data matrix.
% INPERM is the input beginning permutation (a permutation of the first $n$ integers).
% PROX is the $n \times n$ input proximity matrix.
% TARG is the $n \times n$ input target matrix.
% OUTPERM is the final permutation of PROX with the cross-product index RAWINDEX
% with respect to TARG.
% ALLPERMS is a cell array containing INDEX entries corresponding to all the
% permutations identified in the optimization from ALLPERMS{1} = INPERM to
% ALLPERMS{INDEX} = OUTPERM.

tic;
begindex = sum(sum(prox(inperm,inperm).*targ));
outperm = inperm;
nchange = 1;
n = length(inperm);
index = 1;
allperms{index} = inperm;

while (nchange == 1)

    nchange=0;

    for k = 1:kblock
        for insertpt = 1:(n+1)
            for nlimlow = 1:(n+1-k)

                intrperm = outperm;

                if (nlimlow > insertpt)

                    jtwo = 0;
```

```

        for j = insertpt:(insertpt+k-1)
            intrperm(j) = outperm(nlimlow+jtwo);
            jtwo = jtwo + 1;
        end

        jone = 0;
        for j = (insertpt+k):(nlimlow+k-1);
            intrperm(j) = outperm(insertpt+jone);
            jone = jone + 1;
        end

elseif ((nlimlow+k) < insertpt)

    jtwo = 0;
    for j = (insertpt-k):(insertpt-1)
        intrperm(j) = outperm(nlimlow+jtwo);
        jtwo = jtwo + 1;
    end

    jone = 0;
    for j = nlimlow:(insertpt-k-1)
        intrperm(j) = outperm(nlimlow+k+jone);
        jone = jone + 1;
    end

else

end

tryindex = sum(sum(prox(intrperm,intrperm).*targ));

if(tryindex > (begindex + 1.0e-008))
    nchange = 1;
    begindex = tryindex;
    outperm = intrperm;
    index = index +1;
    allperms{index} = intrperm;
end

    end
end
end
end

rawindex = begindex;

```

toc

B.4 proxstd.m

```
function [stanprox, stanproxmult] = proxstd(prox,mean)

%PROXSTD produces a standardized proximity matrix (STANPROX) from the input
% $n \times n$ proximity matrix (PROX) with zero main diagonal and a dissimilarity
% interpretation.
% STANPROX entries have unit variance (standard deviation of one) with a
% mean of MEAN given as an input number;
% STANPROXMULT (upper-triangular) entries have a sum of squares equal to
% $n(n-1)/2$.

n = size(prox,1);
aveprox = sum(sum(prox))/(n*(n-1));
sumprxsq = sum(sum(prox.^2));
stddev = sqrt(((1/(n*(n-1)))*sumprxsq) - ((aveprox)*(aveprox)));
stanprox = zeros(n,n);
stanproxmult = zeros(n,n);

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n

        if(i ~= j)

            stanprox(i,j) = ((prox(i,j) - aveprox)/stddev) + mean;
            stanproxmult(i,j) = (n*(n-1))*(prox(i,j)/sqrt(sumprxsq));

        else

            stanprox(i,j) = 0.0;
            stanproxmult(i,j) = 0.0;

        end

    end
end
end
```

B.5 proxrand.m

```
function [randprox] = proxrand(prox)

%PROXRAND produces a symmetric proximity matrix with a zero main diagonal having
% entries that are a random permutation of those in the symmetric input proximity
% matrix PROX.

n= size(prox,1);
change = randperm((n*(n-1))/2);
randprox = prox;

for i = 1:(n-2)
    for j = (i+1):n

        k = i + j;

        for ione = 1:(n-2)
            for jone = (ione+1):n

                kk = ione + jone;

                if(change(k) == kk)

                    temp = randprox(i,j);
                    randprox(i,j) = randprox(ione,jone);
                    randprox(j,i) = randprox(i,j);
                    randprox(ione,jone) = temp;
                    randprox(jone,ione) = randprox(ione,jone);

                end
            end
        end
    end

end
end
```

B.6 proxmon.m

```

function [monproxpermut, vaf, diff] = proxmon(proxpermut, fitted)

%PROXMON produces a monotonically transformed proximity matrix (MONPROXPERMUT)
% from the order constraints obtained from each pair of entries in the input
% proximity matrix PROXPERMUT (symmetric with a zero main diagonal and a dissimilarity
% interpretation).
% MONPROXPERMUT is close to the  $n \times n$  matrix FITTED in the least-squares sense;
% The variance accounted for (VAF) is how much variance in MONPROXPERMUT can be accounted for
% FITTED; DIFF is the value of the least-squares criterion.

n = size(proxpermut,1);
work = zeros(n*(n-1)*n*(n-1),1);
targ = proxpermut;
fit = fitted;
cr = 1.0;

while (cr >= 1.0e-006)

    cr = 0.0;
    indexll = 0;

    for jone = 1:(n-1)
        for jtwo = (jone+1):n
            for jthree = 1:(n-1)
                for jfour = (jthree+1):n

                    if((jone ~= jthree) | (jtwo ~= jfour))

                        p1 = fit(jone,jtwo);
                        p2 = fit(jthree,jfour);
                        fit(jone,jtwo) = fit(jone,jtwo) - work(indexll+1);
                        fit(jthree,jfour) = fit(jthree,jfour) - work(indexll+2);

                        if((abs(targ(jone,jtwo) - targ(jthree,jfour)) ...
                            > 1.0e-006) & (targ(jone,jtwo) < ...
                                targ(jthree,jfour)))

                            if(fit(jone,jtwo) <= fit(jthree,jfour))

                                work(indexll+1) = 0;
                                work(indexll+2) = 0;

```



```

elseif(fit(jone,jtwo) > fit(jthree,jfour))

    ave = (fit(jone,jtwo) + fit(jthree,jfour))/2.0;
    work(indexll+1) = ave - fit(jone,jtwo);
    work(indexll+2) = ave - fit(jthree,jfour);

    fit(jone,jtwo) = ave;
    fit(jthree,jfour) = ave;

end

elseif((abs(targ(jone,jtwo) - targ(jthree,jfour)) ...
> 1.0e-006) & (targ(jone,jtwo) > ...
targ(jthree,jfour)))

if(fit(jone,jtwo) >= fit(jthree,jfour))

    work(indexll+1) = 0;
    work(indexll+2) = 0;

elseif(fit(jone,jtwo) < fit(jthree,jfour))

    ave = (fit(jone,jtwo) + fit(jthree,jfour))/2.0;
    work(indexll+1) = ave - fit(jone,jtwo);
    work(indexll+2) = ave - fit(jthree,jfour);
    fit(jone,jtwo) = ave;
    fit(jthree,jfour) = ave;

end
end

cr = cr + abs(p1-fit(jone,jtwo)) + ...
abs(p2-fit(jthree,jfour));
end

indexll = indexll + 2;

```

```

        end
    end
end
end
end

```

```

for jone = 1:(n-1)
    for jtwo = (jone+1):n

        fit(jtwo,jone) = fit(jone,jtwo);

    end
end

```

```

avefit = sum(sum(fit))/(n*(n-1));

```

```

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n
        if( i ~= j)
            proxave(i,j) = avefit;
        else
            proxave(i,j) = 0;
        end
    end
end
end

```

```

diff = sum(sum((fit - fitted).^2));

```

```

denom = sum(sum((fit - proxave).^2));

```

```

vaf = 1 - (diff/denom);

```

```

monproxpermut = fit;

```

```

diff = (.5)*diff;

```

B.7 matcolor.m

```
function matcolor(datamat,perms,numperms)

%MATCOLOR constructs a color movie of the effects of a series of
% permutations on a proximity matrix.
% DATAMAT is an $n \times n$ symmetric proximity matrix;
% PERMS is a cell array containing NUMPERMS permutations.

m=moviein(numperms);

for i=1:numperms
    pcolor(datamat(perms{i},perms{i}));
    axis ij off;
    colormap(bone(256));
    colorbar;
    m(:,i) = getframe;
end
movie(m);
```