

Psychology 594

Final Take Home Exercise; Fall 2012

November 5, 2012

Questions I and II are to be done with your chosen proximity matrix (from Michael Lee's web site; remember the adolescent drug use data are "off limits" as are the number data).

http://cda.psych.uiuc.edu/multivariate_class_final_2012.zip

I. (a) From routines available in the Matlab Statistical Toolbox, carry out a complete-link hierarchical clustering and interpret your results. Use at least the following three M-files:

`squareform.m`
`linkage.m`
`dendrogram.m`

(b) From the Cluster Analysis Toolbox M-files:

(i) use `order.m`; `ultrafit.m` (with a complete-link target); `ultrafnd.m` (with `randperm` several times).

(ii) use `ultrafnd_confit.m` (with the order found in (i)), and `ultrafnd_confnd.m`.

(iii) use `partitionfnd_averages.m`; `partitionfnd_diameters.m`; and then `partitionfit.m` after each.

(iv) use `cent_ultrafnd_confit.m` (with the order found in (i)), and `cent_ultrafnd_confnd.m`.

(v) use `atreefit.m` (with a complete-link target); `atreefnd.m` (with `randperm` several times); `atreedec.m`, and `ultraorder.m`.

(vi) use `consec_subsetfit.m` and `consec_subsetfit_alter.m`.

Again, interpret the results obtained from the various analyses.

II. Carry out multiple restart Monte Carlo on your data using an M-script parallel to `ms_script_yourdata_mds.m`. Interpret the results obtained in relation to the previous cluster analyses in I and II.

```
load decathlon.dat
```

```
decathlon_dissimilarities = 1 - decathlon;
```

```
decathlon_dissimilarities
```

```
n = 10;
```

```
tic;
```

```
opts = statset('Maxiter',1000);
```

```
best_vaf = 0.0;
```

```
store_vaf = zeros(100,1);
```

```
for k = 1:100
```

```
    [coords, stress] = ...  
    mdscale(decathlon_dissimilarities, 2, 'Criterion', ...  
    'metricsstress', 'Start', 'random', ...  
    'Replicates', 1, 'Options', opts);
```

```

n = size(coords,1);

distance_matrix = zeros(n,n);

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n

        distance_matrix(i,j) = ...
            sqrt(((coords(i,1) - coords(j,1))^2) + ...
                ((coords(i,2) - coords(j,2))^2));
    end
end

decathlon_vec = squareform(decathlon_dissimilarities);

distance_vec = squareform(distance_matrix);

r = corrcoef(decathlon_vec',distance_vec');

vaf = r(1,2)^2;

store_vaf(k) = vaf;

if(vaf > best_vaf)

    best_vaf = vaf;
    best_coords = coords;
    best_distance_vec = distance_vec;

end

```

```

end

sorted_vafs = sort(store_vaf');

sorted_vafs
best_vaf
best_coords
best_distance_vec

figure(1)

axis equal

plot(best_coords(:,1),best_coords(:,2),'ko')

hold on

for i = 1:n

    objectlabels{i,1} = int2str(i);

end

text(best_coords(:,1),best_coords(:,2),objectlabels,...
'fontsize',10,'verticalalignment','bottom')

toc;

euclidean_coordinates = [best_coords(:,1),best_coords(:,2)];

figure(2)

```

```

axis equal

plot(decathlon_vec,best_distance_vec,'bo')

hold on

xlabel('Dissimilarities')
ylabel('Distances')

tic;

best_vaf = 0.0;

store_vaf = zeros(100,1);

best_disparities = zeros(n,n);

for k = 1:100

[coords, stress, disparities] = ...
mdscale(decathlon_dissimilarities,2,'Criterion',...
'sstress','Start',...
'random','Replicates',1,'Options',opts);

n = size(coords,1);

distance_matrix = zeros(n,n);

for i = 1:n
    for j = 1:n

```

```

        distance_matrix(i,j) = ...
            sqrt(((coords(i,1) - coords(j,1))^2) + ...
                ((coords(i,2) - coords(j,2))^2));
    end
end

decathlon_vec = squareform(decathlon_dissimilarities);

distance_vec = squareform(distance_matrix);

r = corrcoef(decathlon_vec',distance_vec');

vaf = r(1,2)^2;

store_vaf(k) = vaf;

if(vaf > best_vaf)

    best_vaf = vaf;
    best_coords = coords;
    best_disparities = disparities;
    best_distance_vec = distance_vec;

end end

store_vaf;

sorted_vafs = sort(store_vaf');

sorted_vafs
best_vaf

```

```

best_coords

figure(3)

axis equal

plot(best_coords(:,1),best_coords(:,2),'ko')

hold on

for i = 1:n

    objectlabels{i,1} = int2str(i);

end

text(best_coords(:,1),best_coords(:,2),objectlabels,...
'fontsize',10,'verticalalignment','bottom')

toc;

euclidean_coordinates_nonmetric = ...
[best_coords(:,1),best_coords(:,2)];

best_disparities_vec = squareform(best_disparities);

best_distance_vec

best_disparities_vec

figure(4)

```

```

axis equal

[dum,ord] = sortrows([best_disparities_vec(:) decathlon_vec(:)]);

plot(decathlon_vec,best_distance_vec,'bo',...
decathlon_vec(ord),best_disparities_vec(ord),'r.-')

hold on

xlabel('Dissimilarities')
ylabel('Distance/Disparities')

legend({'Distances' 'Disparities'}, 'Location', 'NW')

[d,z,transform] = ...
procrustes(euclidean_coordinates_nonmetric,euclidean_coordinates);

figure(5)

axis equal

plot(euclidean_coordinates_nonmetric(:,1),...
euclidean_coordinates_nonmetric(:,2),'rx',...
euclidean_coordinates(:,1),...
euclidean_coordinates(:,2),'b.',...
z(:,1),z(:,2),'ko')

hold on

```



```
text(euclidean_coordinates_nonmetric(:,1),...
euclidean_coordinates_nonmetric(:,2),objectlabels,...
'fontsize',8,'verticalalignment','bottom')
```

```
text(z(:,1),z(:,2),objectlabels,'fontsize',8,...
'verticalalignment','bottom')
```

```
transform(1).b
```

```
transform(1).T
```

```
transform(1).c
```

III. The data matrix `supreme_court_11_12.dat` gives the proportion of (non-unanimous) cases that a given pair of Supreme Court justices *disagreed* on during the 2011/2012 court term. Thus, the numbers can be treated as dissimilarities. The order of the rows and columns is as follows:

- 1: Roberts
- 2: Scalia
- 3: Kennedy
- 4: Thomas
- 5: Ginsburg
- 6: Breyer
- 7: Alito
- 8: Sotomayor
- 9: Kagan

Using the M-files, `order.m`, `linfitac.m`, and `ultrafnd.m`, evaluate whether a unidimensional scaling (i.e., a “continuous” model) or an ultrametric (a “categorical” model) gives a better fit. Interpret the results of your analyses in terms of the political composition of the court in the 11/12 term. Does anyone stand out as a “swing vote”? How is this

shown in the unidimensional scaling and in the hierarchical clustering? If you wish some background reading, see Adam Liptak, *In Supreme Court Term, Striking Unity on Major Cases* (*New York Times*, June 30, 2011). The contents of the file `supreme_court_11_12.dat`:

```
0 14 16 12 36 30 9 29 27
14 0 24 7 44 43 12 36 34
16 24 0 19 27 23 22 22 17
12 7 19 0 44 37 12 36 31
36 44 27 44 0 17 43 16 15
30 43 23 37 17 0 33 20 15
9 12 22 12 43 33 0 36 30
29 36 22 36 16 20 36 0 16
27 34 17 31 15 15 30 16 0
```