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0.1 Necessary Matrix Algebra Tools For Regression

0.1.1 Preliminaries

A matrix is merely an array of numbers; for example,

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
4 & -1 & 3 & 1 \\
4 & 6 & 0 & 2 \\
7 & 2 & 1 & 4
\end{pmatrix}
\]

is a matrix. In general, we denote a matrix by an uppercase (capital) boldface letter such as \(A\) (or using a proofreader representation on the blackboard, a capital letter with a wavy line underneath to indicate boldface):

\[
A =
\begin{pmatrix}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1V} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2V} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{U1} & a_{U2} & a_{U3} & \cdots & a_{UV}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

This matrix has \(U\) rows and \(V\) columns and is said to have order \(U \times V\). An arbitrary element \(a_{uv}\) refers to the element in the \(u^{th}\) row and \(v^{th}\) column, with the row index always preceding the column index (and therefore, we might use the notation of \(A = \{a_{uv}\}_{U \times V}\) to indicate the matrix \(A\) as well as its order).

A \(1 \times 1\) matrix such as \((4)_{1 \times 1}\) is just an ordinary number, called a scalar. So without loss of any generality, numbers are just matrices. A vector is a matrix with a single row or column; we denote a column vector by a lowercase boldface letter, e.g., \(x\), \(y\), \(z\), and so on. The vector
\[ \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_U \end{pmatrix}_{U \times 1} \]

is of order \( U \times 1 \); the column indices are typically omitted since there is only one. A row vector is written as

\[ \mathbf{x}' = (x_1, \ldots, x_U)_{1 \times U} \]

with the prime indicating the transpose of \( \mathbf{x} \), i.e., the interchange of row(s) and column(s). This transpose operation can be applied to any matrix; for example,

\[ \mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 3 & 7 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{3 \times 2} \]

\[ \mathbf{A}' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 4 \\ -1 & 7 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{2 \times 3} \]

If a matrix is square, defined by having the same number of rows as columns, say \( U \), and if the matrix and its transpose are equal, the matrix is said to be symmetric. Thus, in \( \mathbf{A} = \{a_{uv}\}_{U \times U}, a_{uv} = a_{vu} \) for all \( u \) and \( v \). As an example,

\[ \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 3 \\ 4 & 7 & -1 \\ 3 & -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \]

For a square matrix \( \mathbf{A}_{U \times U} \), the elements \( a_{uu}, 1 \leq u \leq U \), lie along the main or principal diagonal. The sum of main diagonal entries of a square matrix is called the trace; thus,
trace(\(A_{U\times U}\)) \equiv \text{tr}(A) = a_{11} + \cdots + a_{UU}

A number of special matrices appear periodically in the notes to follow. A \(U \times V\) matrix of all zeros is called a \textit{null} matrix, and might be denoted by

\[
\emptyset = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

Similarly, we might at times need an \(U \times V\) matrix of all ones, say \(E\):

\[
E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

A \textit{diagonal} matrix is square with zeros in all the off main-diagonal positions:

\[
D_{U\times U} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & a_U \end{pmatrix}_{U\times U}
\]

Here, we again indicate the main diagonal entries with just one index as \(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_U\). If all of the main diagonal entries in a diagonal matrix are 1s, we have the \textit{identity} matrix denoted by \(I\):

\[
I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]
To introduce some useful operations on matrices, suppose we have two matrices $A$ and $B$ of the same $U \times V$ order:

$$
A = \begin{pmatrix}
a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1V} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{U1} & \cdots & a_{UV}
\end{pmatrix}_{U \times V}
$$

$$
B = \begin{pmatrix}
b_{11} & \cdots & b_{1V} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
b_{U1} & \cdots & b_{UV}
\end{pmatrix}_{U \times V}
$$

As a definition for equality of two matrices of the same order (and for which it only makes sense to talk about equality), we have:

$A = B$ if and only if $a_{uv} = b_{uv}$ for all $u$ and $v$.

Remember, the “if and only if” statement (sometimes abbreviated as “iff”) implies two conditions:

- if $A = B$, then $a_{uv} = b_{uv}$ for all $u$ and $v$;
- if $a_{uv} = b_{uv}$ for all $u$ and $v$, then $A = B$.

Any definition by its very nature implies an “if and only if” statement.

To add two matrices together, they first have to be of the same order (referred to as conformable for addition); we then do the addition component by component:

$$
A + B = \begin{pmatrix}
a_{11} + b_{11} & \cdots & a_{1V} + b_{1V} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{U1} + b_{U1} & \cdots & a_{UV} + b_{UV}
\end{pmatrix}_{U \times V}
$$

To preform scalar multiplication of a matrix $A$ by, say, a constant $c$, we again do the multiplication component by component:
Thus, if one wished to define the difference of two matrices, we could proceed rather obviously as follows:

\[ A - B \equiv A + (-1)B = \{a_{uv} - b_{uv}\} \]

One of the more important matrix operations is multiplication where two matrices are said to be conformable for multiplication if the number of rows in one matches the number of columns in the second. For example, suppose \( A \) is \( U \times V \) and \( B \) is \( V \times W \); then, because the number of columns in \( A \) matches the number of rows in \( B \), we can define \( AB \) as \( C_{U\times W} \), where \( \{c_{uw}\} = \{\sum_{k=1}^{V} a_{uk}b_{kw}\} \). This process might be referred to as row (of \( A \)) by column (of \( B \)) multiplication; the following simple example should make this clear:

\[
A_{3\times2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_{2\times4} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix};
\]

\[
AB = C_{3\times4} = \\
\begin{pmatrix}
1(-1) + 4(1) & 1(2) + 4(0) & 1(0) + 4(1) & 1(1) + 4(4) \\
3(-1) + 1(1) & 3(2) + 1(0) & 3(0) + 1(1) & 3(1) + 1(4) \\
-1(-1) + 0(1) & -1(2) + 0(0) & -1(0) + 0(1) & -1(1) + 0(4)
\end{pmatrix} = \\
\begin{pmatrix}
3 & 2 & 4 & 17 \\
-2 & 6 & 1 & 7 \\
1 & -2 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix}
\]
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Some properties of matrix addition and multiplication follow, where the matrices are assumed conformable for the operations given:

(A) matrix addition is commutative:

\[ A + B = B + A \]

(B) matrix addition is associative:

\[ A + (B + C) = (A + B) + C \]

(C) matrix multiplication is right and left distributive over matrix addition:

\[ A(B + C) = AB + AC \]

\[ (A + B)C = AC + BC \]

(D) matrix multiplication is associative:

\[ A(BC) = (AB)C \]

In general, \( AB \neq BA \) even if both products are defined. Thus, multiplication is not commutative as the following simple example shows:

\[
A_{2\times2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad B_{2\times2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad AB = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad BA = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

In the product \( AB \), we say that \( B \) is premultiplied by \( A \) and \( A \) is postmultiplied by \( B \). Thus, if we pre- or postmultiply a matrix by the identity, the same matrix is retrieved:

\[
I_{U\times U}A_{U\times V} = A_{U\times V}; \quad A_{U\times V}I_{V\times V} = A_{U\times V}
\]
If we premultiply $A$ by a diagonal matrix $D$, then each row of $A$ is multiplied by a particular diagonal entry in $D$:

$$D_{U \times U} A_{U \times V} = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 a_{11} & \cdots & d_1 a_{1V} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ d_U a_{U1} & \cdots & d_U a_{UV} \end{pmatrix}$$

If $A$ is post-multiplied by a diagonal matrix $D$, then each column of $A$ is multiplied by a particular diagonal entry in $D$:

$$A_{U \times V} D_{V \times V} = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 a_{11} & \cdots & d_V a_{1V} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ d_1 a_{U1} & \cdots & d_V a_{UV} \end{pmatrix}$$

Finally, we end this section with a few useful results on the transpose operation and matrix multiplication and addition:

$$(AB)' = B'A'; \ (ABC)' = C'B'A'; \ldots$$

$$(A')' = A; \ (A + B)' = A' + B'$$

### 0.1.2 The Data Matrix

A very common type of matrix encountered in multivariate analysis is what is referred to as a data matrix containing, say, observations for $N$ subjects on $P$ variables. We will typically denote this matrix by $X_{N \times P} = \{x_{ij}\}$, with a generic element of $x_{ij}$ referring to the observation for subject or row $i$ on variable or column $j$ ($1 \leq i \leq N$ and $1 \leq j \leq P$):

$$X_{N \times P} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \cdots & x_{1P} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \cdots & x_{2P} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{N1} & x_{N2} & \cdots & x_{NP} \end{pmatrix}$$
All right-thinking people always list subjects as rows and variables as columns, conforming also to the now-common convention for computer spreadsheets.

Any matrix in general, including a data matrix, can be viewed either as a collection of its row vectors or of its column vectors, and these interpretations can be generally useful. For a data matrix \( X_{N \times P} \), let \( x_i' = (x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{iP})_{1\times P} \) denote the row vector for subject \( i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq N \), and let \( v_j \) denote the \( N \times 1 \) column vector for variable \( j \):

\[
\mathbf{v}_j = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1j} \\ \vdots \\ x_{Nj} \end{pmatrix}_{N \times 1}
\]

Thus, each subject could be viewed as providing a vector of coordinates \((1 \times P)\) in \( P\)-dimensional “variable space,” where the \( P \) axes correspond to the \( P \) variables; or each variable could be viewed as providing a vector of coordinates \((N \times 1)\) in “subject space,” where the \( N \) axes correspond to the \( N \) subjects:

\[
X_{N \times P} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1' \\ x_2' \\ \vdots \\ x_N' \end{pmatrix}_{N \times P} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{v}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{v}_P \end{pmatrix}
\]

0.1.3 Inner Products

The inner product (also called the dot or scalar product) of two vectors, \( \mathbf{x}_{U \times 1} \) and \( \mathbf{y}_{U \times 1} \), is defined as
\[
\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{y} = (x_1, \ldots, x_U) \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_U \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{u=1}^{U} x_u y_u
\]

Thus, the inner product of a vector with itself is merely the sum of squares of the entries in the vector: \(\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x} = \sum_{u=1}^{U} x_u^2\). Also, because an inner product is a scalar and must equal its own transpose (i.e., \(\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{y})' = \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{x}\)), we have the end result that

\[\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{x}\]

If there is an inner product, there should also be an outer product defined as the \(U \times U\) matrices given by \(\mathbf{xy}'\) or as \(\mathbf{yx}'\). As indicated by the display equations below, \(\mathbf{xy}'\) is the transpose of \(\mathbf{yx}'\):

\[
\mathbf{xy}' = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_U \end{pmatrix} (y_1, \ldots, y_N) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 y_1 & \cdots & x_1 y_U \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_U y_1 & \cdots & x_U y_U \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\mathbf{yx}' = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_U \end{pmatrix} (x_1, \ldots, x_U) = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 x_1 & \cdots & y_1 x_U \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_U x_1 & \cdots & y_U x_U \end{pmatrix}
\]

A vector can be viewed as a geometrical vector in \(U\) dimensional space. Thus, the two \(2 \times 1\) vectors

\[\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\]

can be represented in the two-dimensional Figure 1 below, with the entries in the vectors defining the coordinates of the endpoints of the arrows.
The *Euclidean distance* between two vectors, \( \mathbf{x} \) and \( \mathbf{y} \), is given as:

\[
\sqrt{\sum_{u=1}^{U} (x_u - y_u)^2} = \sqrt{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})'(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})}
\]

and the *length* of any vector is the Euclidean distance between the vector and the origin. Thus, in Figure 1, the distance between \( \mathbf{x} \) and \( \mathbf{y} \) is \( \sqrt{10} \) with respective lengths of 5 and \( \sqrt{17} \).

The cosine of the angle between the two vectors \( \mathbf{x} \) and \( \mathbf{y} \) is defined by:

\[
\cos(\theta) = \frac{\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{y}}{(\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x})^{1/2}(\mathbf{y}'\mathbf{y})^{1/2}}
\]

Thus, in the figure we have

---

Figure 1: Two vectors plotted in two-dimensional space
Figure 2: Illustration of projecting one vector onto another

\[
\cos(\theta) = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}}{5\sqrt{17}} = \frac{16}{5\sqrt{17}} = .776
\]

The cosine value of .776 corresponds to an angle of 39.1 degrees or .68 radians; these later values can be found with the inverse (or arc) cosine function (on, say, a hand calculator, or using MATLAB as we suggest in the next section).

When the means of the entries in \( \mathbf{x} \) and \( \mathbf{y} \) are zero (i.e., deviations from means have been taken), then \( \cos(\theta) \) is the correlation between the entries in the two vectors. Vectors at right angles have \( \cos(\theta) = 0 \), or alternatively, the correlation is zero.

Figure 2 shows two generic vectors, \( \mathbf{x} \) and \( \mathbf{y} \), where without loss of any real generality, \( \mathbf{y} \) is drawn horizontally in the plane and \( \mathbf{x} \)
is projected at a right angle onto the vector \( \mathbf{y} \) resulting in a point defined as a multiple \( d \) of the vector \( \mathbf{y} \). The formula for \( d \) that we demonstrate below is based on the Pythagorean theorem that \( c^2 = b^2 + a^2 \):

\[
c^2 = b^2 + a^2 \Rightarrow \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x} - d\mathbf{y})'(\mathbf{x} - d\mathbf{y}) + d^2\mathbf{y}'\mathbf{y} \Rightarrow \]

\[
\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x} - d\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{y} - d\mathbf{y}'\mathbf{x} + d^2\mathbf{y}'\mathbf{y} + d^2\mathbf{y}'\mathbf{y} \Rightarrow \]

\[
0 = -2d\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{y} + 2d^2\mathbf{y}'\mathbf{y} \Rightarrow \]

\[
d = \frac{\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}'\mathbf{y}}
\]

The diagram in Figure 2 is somewhat constricted in the sense that the angle between the vectors shown is less than 90 degrees; this allows the constant \( d \) to be positive. Other angles might lead to negative \( d \) when defining the projection of \( \mathbf{x} \) onto \( \mathbf{y} \), and would merely indicate the need to consider the vector \( \mathbf{y} \) oriented in the opposite (negative) direction. Similarly, the vector \( \mathbf{y} \) is drawn with a larger length than \( \mathbf{x} \) which gives a value for \( d \) that is less than 1.0; otherwise, \( d \) would be greater than 1.0, indicating a need to stretch \( \mathbf{y} \) to represent the point of projection onto it.

There are other formulas possible based on this geometric information: the length of the projection is merely \( d \) times the length of \( \mathbf{y} \); and \( \cos(\theta) \) can be given as the length of \( d\mathbf{y} \) divided by the length of \( \mathbf{x} \), which is \( d\sqrt{\mathbf{y}'\mathbf{y}}/\sqrt{\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{y}/(\sqrt{\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x}}\sqrt{\mathbf{y}'\mathbf{y}}) \).
0.1.4 Determinants

To each square matrix, $A_{U \times U}$, there is an associated scalar called the determinant of $A$ that is denoted by $|A|$ or $\text{det}(A)$. Determinants up to a $3 \times 3$ can be given by formula:

$$
\text{det}(\begin{pmatrix} a \\ \end{pmatrix}_{1\times 1}) = a; \quad \text{det}(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}_{2\times 2}) = ad - bc;
$$

$$
\text{det}(\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{pmatrix}_{3\times 3}) = aei + dhc + gfb - (ceg + fha + idb)
$$

Beyond a $3 \times 3$ we can use a recursive process illustrated below. This requires the introduction of a few additional matrix terms that we now give: for a square matrix $A_{U \times U}$, define $A_{uv}$ to be the $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ submatrix of $A$ constructed by deleting the $u^{th}$ row and $v^{th}$ column of $A$. We call $\text{det}(A_{uv})$ the minor of the entry $a_{uv}$; the signed minor of $(-1)^{u+v} \text{det}(A_{uv})$ is called the cofactor of $a_{uv}$.

The recursive algorithm would choose some row or column (rather arbitrarily), and find the cofactors for the entries in it; the cofactors would then be weighted by the relevant entries and summed.

As an example, consider the $4 \times 4$ matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & -1 & 3 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\
3 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\
1 & 2 & 4 & 3
\end{pmatrix}
$$

and choose the second row. The expression below involves the weighted cofactors for $3 \times 3$ submatrices that can be obtained by formulas. Beyond a $4 \times 4$ there will be nesting of the processes:
Another strategy to find the determinant of a matrix is to reduce it to a form in which we might note the determinant more or less by simple inspection. The reductions could be carried out by operations that have a known effect on the determinant; the form which we might seek is a matrix that is either upper-triangular (all entries below the main diagonal are all zero), lower-triangular (all entries above the main diagonal are all zero), or diagonal. In these latter cases, the determinant is merely the product of the diagonal elements. Once found, we can note how the determinant might have been changed by the reduction process and carry out the reverse changes to find the desired determinant.

The properties of determinants that we could rely on in the above iterative process are as follows:

(A) if one row of \( A \) is multiplied by a constant \( c \), the new determinant is \( c \det(A) \); the same is true for multiplying a column by \( c \);

(B) if two rows or two columns of a matrix are interchanged, the sign of the determinant is changed;

(C) if two rows or two columns of a matrix are equal, the determinant is zero;
(D) the determinant is unchanged by adding a multiple of some row to another row; the same is true for columns;
(E) a zero row or column implies a zero determinant;
(F) \( \det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B) \)

### 0.1.5 Linear Independence/Dependence of Vectors

Suppose I have a collection of \( K \) vectors each of size \( U \times 1 \), \( \mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_K \). If no vector in the set can be written as a linear combination of the remaining ones, the set of vectors is said to be *linearly independent*; otherwise, the vectors are *linearly dependent*. As an example, consider the three vectors:

\[
\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \mathbf{x}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

Because \( 2\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{x}_3 \), we have a linear dependence among the three vectors; however, \( \mathbf{x}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{x}_2 \), or, \( \mathbf{x}_2 \) and \( \mathbf{x}_3 \), are linearly independent.

If the \( U \) vectors (each of size \( U \times 1 \), \( \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_U \), are linearly independent, then the collection defines a *basis*, i.e., any vector can be written as a linear combination of \( \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_U \). For example, using the *standard basis*, \( \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_U \), where \( \mathbf{e}_u \) is a vector of all zeros except for a single one in the \( u^{th} \) position, any vector \( \mathbf{x}' = (x_1, \ldots, x_U) \) can be written as:

\[
\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_U \end{pmatrix} = x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cdots + x_U \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]
\[ x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + \cdots + x_U e_U \]

Bases that consist of orthogonal vectors (where all inner products are zero) are important later in what is known as principal components analysis. The standard basis involves orthogonal vectors, and any other basis may always be modified by what is called the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process to produce a new basis that does contain all orthogonal vectors.

0.1.6 Matrix Inverses

Suppose \( A \) and \( B \) are both square and of size \( U \times U \). If \( AB = I \), then \( B \) is said to be an inverse of \( A \) and is denoted by \( A^{-1} (\equiv B) \). Also, if \( AA^{-1} = I \), then \( A^{-1}A = I \) holds automatically. If \( A^{-1} \) exists, the matrix \( A \) is said to be nonsingular; if \( A^{-1} \) does not exist, \( A \) is singular.

An example:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 3 \\
2 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
-1/5 & 3/5 \\
2/5 & -1/5 \\
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-1/5 & 3/5 \\
2/5 & -1/5 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 3 \\
2 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Given a matrix \( A \), the inverse \( A^{-1} \) can be found using the following four steps:

(A) form a matrix of the same size as \( A \) containing the minors for all entries of \( A \);

(B) multiply the matrix of minors by \((-1)^{u+v}\) to produce the matrix of cofactors;
(C) divide all entries in the cofactors matrix by det(A);
(D) the transpose of the matrix found in (C) gives A⁻¹.

As a mnemonic device to remember these four steps, we have the phrase “My Cat Does Tricks” for Minor, Cofactor, Determinant Division, Transpose” (I tried to work “my cat turns tricks” into the appropriate phrase but failed with the second to the last “t”). Obviously, an inverse exists for a matrix A if det(A) ≠ 0, allowing the division in step (C) to take place.

An example: for

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \text{det}(A) = -1
\]

Step (A), the matrix of minors:

\[
\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

Step (B), the matrix of cofactors:

\[
\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

Step (C), determinant division:

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

Step (D), matrix transpose:
\[
A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 2 & -1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

We can easily verify that \(AA^{-1} = I:\)
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 3 & 2 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 2 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 2 & -1
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

As a very simple instance of the mnemonic in the case of a \(2 \times 2\) matrix with arbitrary entries:
\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{pmatrix}
\]
the inverse exists if \(\text{det}(A) = ad - bc \neq 0:\)
\[
A^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix}
d & -b \\
-c & a
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Several properties of inverses are given below that will prove useful in our continuing presentation:

(A) if \(A\) is symmetric, then so is \(A^{-1};\)

(B) \((A')^{-1} = (A^{-1})';\) or, the inverse of a transpose is the transpose of the inverse;

(C) \((AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}; (ABC)^{-1} = C^{-1}B^{-1}A^{-1};\) or, the inverse of a product is the product of inverses in the opposite order;

(D) \((cA)^{-1} = (\frac{1}{c})A^{-1};\) or, the inverse of a scalar times a matrix is the scalar inverse times the matrix inverse;
(E) the inverse of a diagonal matrix, is also diagonal with the entries being the inverses of the entries from the original matrix (assuming none are zero):
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
a_1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & a_U
\end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{a_1} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & \frac{1}{a_U}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

0.1.7 Matrices as Transformations

Any \( U \times V \) matrix \( A \) can be seen as transforming a \( V \times 1 \) vector \( x_{V \times 1} \) to another \( U \times 1 \) vector \( y_{U \times 1} \):

\[
y_{U \times 1} = A_{U \times V}x_{V \times 1}
\]

or,

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
y_1 \\
\vdots \\
y_U
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1V} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{U1} & \cdots & a_{UV}
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
x_1 \\
\vdots \\
x_V
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where \( y_u = a_{u1}x_1 + a_{u2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{uV}x_V \). Alternatively, \( y \) can be written as a linear combination of the columns of \( A \) with weights given by \( x_1, \ldots, x_V \):

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
y_1 \\
\vdots \\
y_U
\end{pmatrix} = x_1 \begin{pmatrix}
a_{11} \\
\vdots \\
a_{U1}
\end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix}
a_{12} \\
\vdots \\
a_{U2}
\end{pmatrix} + \cdots + x_V \begin{pmatrix}
a_{1V} \\
\vdots \\
a_{UV}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

To indicate one common usage for matrix transformation in a data context, suppose we consider our data matrix \( \mathbf{X} = \{x_{ij}\}_{N \times P} \), where \( x_{ij} \) represents an observation for subject \( i \) on variable \( j \). We would
like to use matrix transformations to produce a standardized matrix
\[ Z = \{(x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j)/s_j\}_{N \times P}, \]
where \( \bar{x}_j \) is the mean of the entries in the \( j^{th} \) column and \( s_j \) is the corresponding standard deviation; thus, the columns of \( Z \) all have mean zero and standard deviation one. A matrix expression for this transformation could be written as follows:

\[
Z_{N \times P} = (I_{N \times N} - \left(\frac{1}{N}E_{N \times N}\right))X_{N \times P}D_{P \times P}
\]

where \( I \) is the identity matrix, \( E \) contains all ones, and \( D \) is a diagonal matrix containing \( \frac{1}{s_1}, \frac{1}{s_2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{s_P} \) along the main diagonal positions. Thus, \( (I_{N \times N} - \left(\frac{1}{N}E_{N \times N}\right))X_{N \times P} \) produces a matrix with columns deviated from the column means; a postmultiplication by \( D \) carries out the within column division by the standard deviations. Finally, if we define the expression \( \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)(Z'Z)_{P \times P} \equiv R_{P \times P} \), we have the familiar correlation coefficient matrix among the \( P \) variables.

0.1.8 Matrix and Vector Orthogonality

Two vectors, \( \mathbf{x} \) and \( \mathbf{y} \), are said to be orthogonal if \( \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{y} = 0 \), and would lie at right angles when graphed. If, in addition, \( \mathbf{x} \) and \( \mathbf{y} \) are both of unit length (i.e., \( \sqrt{\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x}} = \sqrt{\mathbf{y}'\mathbf{y}} = 1 \)), then they are said to be orthonormal. A square matrix \( T_{U \times U} \) is said to be orthogonal if its rows form a set of mutually orthonormal vectors. An example (called a Helmert matrix of order 3) follows:

\[
T = \begin{pmatrix}
1/\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{3} \\
1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\
-1/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{6} & 2/\sqrt{6}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

There are several nice properties of orthogonal matrices that we will see again in our various discussions to follow:
(A) $TT' = T'T = I$; thus, the inverse of $T$ is $T'$;

(B) the columns of $T$ are orthonormal;

(C) $\det(T) = \pm 1$;

(D) if $T$ and $R$ are orthogonal, then so is $TR$;

(E) vectors lengths do not change under an orthogonal transformation: to see this, let $y = Tx$; then

$$y'y = (Tx)'(Tx) = x'T'Tx = x'Ix = x'x$$

0.1.9 Matrix Rank

An arbitrary matrix, $A$, of order $U \times V$ can be written either in terms of its $U$ rows, say, $r'_1, r'_2, \ldots, r'_U$ or its $V$ columns, $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_V$, where

$$r'_u = \begin{pmatrix} a_{u1} & \cdots & a_{uV} \end{pmatrix}; \quad c_v = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1v} \\ \vdots \\ a_{Uv} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$A_{U \times V} = \begin{pmatrix} r'_1 \\ r'_2 \\ \vdots \\ r'_U \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_V \end{pmatrix}$$

The maximum number of linearly independent rows of $A$ and the maximum number of linearly independent columns is the same; this common number is defined to be the rank of $A$. A matrix is said to be of full rank is the rank is equal to the minimum of $U$ and $V$.

Matrix rank has a number of useful properties:
(A) $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{A}'$ have the same rank;
(B) $\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}'$, and $\mathbf{A}$ have the same rank;
(C) the rank of a matrix is unchanged by a pre- or postmultiplication by a nonsingular matrix;
(D) the rank of a matrix is unchanged by what are called elementary row and column operations: (a) interchange of two rows or two columns; (2) multiplication or a row or a column by a scalar; (3) addition of a row (or column) to another row (or column). This is true because any elementary operation can be represented by a premultiplication (if the operation is to be on rows) or a postmultiplication (if the operation is to be on columns) of a nonsingular matrix.

To give a simple example, suppose we wish to perform some elementary row and column operations on the matrix

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 2 \\
3 & 2 & 4
\end{pmatrix}
\]

To interchange the first two rows of this latter matrix, interchange the first two rows of an identity matrix and premultiply; for the first two columns to be interchanged, carry out the operation on the identity and post-multiply:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 2 \\
3 & 2 & 4
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 2 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
3 & 2 & 4
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 2 \\
3 & 2 & 4
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 2 \\
2 & 3 & 4
\end{pmatrix}
\]
To multiply a row of our example matrix (e.g., the second row by 5), multiply the desired row of an identity matrix and premultiply; for multiplying a specific column (e.g., the second column by 5), carry out the operation of the identity and post-multiply:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 5 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 2 \\
3 & 2 & 4
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
5 & 0 & 10 \\
3 & 2 & 4
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 2 \\
3 & 2 & 4
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 5 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 5 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 2 \\
3 & 10 & 4
\end{pmatrix}
\]

To add one row to a second (e.g., the first row to the second), carry out the operation on the identity and premultiply; to add one column to a second (e.g., the first column to the second), carry out the operation of the identity and post-multiply:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 2 \\
3 & 2 & 4
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
2 & 1 & 3 \\
3 & 2 & 4
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 2 \\
3 & 2 & 4
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 2 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 2 \\
3 & 5 & 4
\end{pmatrix}
\]

In general, by performing elementary row and column operations, any \( U \times V \) matrix can be reduced to a canonical form:
The rank of a matrix can then be found by counting the number of ones in the above matrix.

Given a $U \times V$ matrix, $A$, there exist $s$ nonsingular elementary row operation matrices, $R_1, \ldots, R_s$, and $t$ nonsingular elementary column operation matrices, $C_1, \ldots, C_t$ such that $R_s \cdots R_1 A C_1 \cdots C_t$ is in canonical form. Moreover, if $A$ is square ($U \times U$) and of full rank (i.e., $\det(A) \neq 0$), then there are $s$ nonsingular elementary row operation matrices, $R_1, \ldots, R_s$, and $t$ nonsingular elementary column operation matrices, $C_1, \ldots, C_t$, such that $R_s \cdots R_1 A = I$ or $A C_1 \cdots C_t = I$. Thus, $A^{-1}$ can be found either as $R_s \cdots R_1$ or as $C_1 \cdots C_t$. In fact, a common way in which an inverse is calculated “by hand” starts with both $A$ and $I$ on the same sheet of paper; when reducing $A$ step-by-step, the same operations are then applied to $I$, building up the inverse until the canonical form is reached in the reduction of $A$.

0.1.10 Using Matrices to Solve Equations

Suppose we have a set of $U$ equations in $V$ unknowns:
\[ a_{11}x_1 + \cdots + a_{1V}x_1 = c_1 \\
\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\
\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\
a_{U1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{UV}x_V = c_U \]

If we let
\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1V} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{U1} & \cdots & a_{UV}
\end{pmatrix}; \quad x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_V \end{pmatrix}; \quad c = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_U \end{pmatrix}
\]

then the equations can be written as follows: \( A_{U \times V} x_{V \times 1} = c_{U \times 1} \).

In the simplest instance, \( A \) is square and nonsingular, implying that a solution may be given simply as \( x = A^{-1}c \). If there are fewer (say, \( S < V \) linearly independent) equations than unknowns (so, \( S \) is the rank of \( A \)), then we can solve for \( S \) unknowns in terms of the constants \( c_1, \ldots, c_U \) and the remaining \( V - S \) unknowns. We will see how this works in our discussion of obtaining eigenvectors that correspond to certain eigenvalues in a section to follow. Generally, the set of equations is said to be **consistent** if a solution exists, i.e., a linear combination of the column vectors of \( A \) can be used to define \( c \):

\[
x_1 \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \\ \vdots \\ a_{U1} \end{pmatrix} + \cdots + x_V \begin{pmatrix} a_{1V} \\ \vdots \\ a_{UV} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_U \end{pmatrix}
\]

or the augmented matrix \((A \ c)\) has the same rank as \( A \); otherwise no solution exists and the system of equations is said to be **inconsistent**.
0.1.11 Quadratic Forms

Suppose $A_{U \times U}$ is symmetric and let $x' = (x_1, \ldots, x_U)$. A quadratic form is defined by

$$x'Ax = \sum_{u=1}^{U} \sum_{v=1}^{U} a_{uv}x_u x_v =$$

$$a_{11}x_1^2 + a_{22}x_2^2 + \cdots + a_{UU}x_U^2 + 2a_{12}x_1x_2 + \cdots + 2a_{1U}x_1x_U + \cdots + 2a_{(U-1)U}x_{U-1}x_U$$

For example, $\sum_{u=1}^{U} (x_u - \bar{x})^2$, where $\bar{x}$ is the mean of the entries in $x$, is a quadratic form because it can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_U \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} (U-1)/U & -1/U & \cdots & -1/U \\ -1/U & (U-1)/U & \cdots & -1/U \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -1/U & -1/U & \cdots & (U-1)/U \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_U \end{pmatrix}$$

Due to the ubiquity of sum-of-squares in statistics, it should be of no surprise that quadratic forms play a central role in multivariate analysis.

A symmetric matrix $A$ (and associated quadratic form) are called positive definite (p.d.) if $x'Ax > 0$ for all $x \neq 0$ (the zero vector); if $x'Ax \geq 0$ for all $x$, then $A$ is positive semi-definite (p.s.d). We could have negative definite, negative semi-definite, and indefinite forms as well. Note that a correlation or covariance matrix is at least positive semi-definite, and satisfies the stronger condition of being positive definite if the vectors of the variables on which the correlation or covariance matrix is based, are linearly independent.
0.1.12  Multiple Regression

One of the most common topics in any beginning statistics class is *multiple regression* that we now formulate (in matrix terms) as the relation between a dependent random variable $Y$ and a collection of $K$ independent variables, $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_K$. Suppose we have $N$ subjects on which we observe $Y$, and arrange these values into an $N \times 1$ vector:

$$
Y = \begin{pmatrix}
Y_1 \\
Y_2 \\
\vdots \\
Y_N
\end{pmatrix}
$$

The observations on the $K$ independent variables are also placed in vectors:

$$
X_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
X_{11} \\
X_{21} \\
\vdots \\
X_{N1}
\end{pmatrix} ; \quad
X_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
X_{12} \\
X_{22} \\
\vdots \\
X_{N2}
\end{pmatrix} ; \ldots ; \quad
X_K = \begin{pmatrix}
X_{1K} \\
X_{2K} \\
\vdots \\
X_{NK}
\end{pmatrix}
$$

It would be simple if the vector $Y$ were linearly dependent on $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_K$ because then

$$
Y = b_1 X_1 + b_2 X_2 + \cdots + b_K X_K
$$

for some values $b_1, \ldots, b_K$. We could always write for *any* values of $b_1, \ldots, b_K$:

$$
Y = b_1 X_1 + b_2 X_2 + \cdots + b_K X_K + e
$$
where

\[ e = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_N \end{pmatrix} \]

is an error vector. To formulate our task as an optimization problem (least-squares), we wish to find a good set of weights, \( b_1, \ldots, b_K \), so the length of \( e \) is minimized, i.e., \( e'e \) is made as small as possible.

As notation, let

\[ Y_{N \times 1} = X_{N \times K} b_{K \times 1} + e_{N \times 1} \]

where

\[ X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & \ldots & X_K \end{pmatrix}; \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_K \end{pmatrix} \]

To minimize \( e'e = (Y - Xb)'(Y - Xb) \), we use the vector \( b \) that satisfies what are called the normal equations:

\[ X'Xb = X'Y \]

If \( X'X \) is nonsingular (i.e., \( \det(X'X) \neq 0 \); or equivalently, \( X_1, \ldots, X_K \) are linearly independent), then

\[ b = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y \]

The vector that is “closest” to \( Y \) in our least-squares sense, is \( Xb \); this is a linear combination of the columns of \( X \) (or in other jargon, \( Xb \) defines the projection of \( Y \) into the space defined by (all linear combinations of) the columns of \( X \).
In statistical uses of multiple regression, the estimated variance-covariance matrix of the regression coefficients, \( b_1, \ldots, b_K \), is given as 
\[
(\frac{1}{N-K}) e'e (X'X)^{-1},
\]
where \((\frac{1}{N-K}) e'e\) is an (unbiased) estimate of the error variance for the distribution from which the errors are assumed drawn. Also, in multiple regression instances that usually involve an additive constant, the latter is obtained from a weight attached to an independent variable defined to be identically one.

In multivariate multiple regression where there are, say, \( T \) dependent variables (each represented by an \( N \times 1 \) vector), the dependent vectors are merely concatenated together into an \( N \times T \) matrix, \( Y_{N \times T} \); the solution to the normal equations now produces a matrix \( B_{K \times T} = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y \) of regression coefficients. In effect, this general expression just uses each of the dependent variables separately and adjoins all the results.